

# The Dark Side of Cannabidiol: The Unanticipated Social and Clinical Implications of Synthetic $\Delta^8$ -THC

Michael Geci,<sup>1</sup> Mark Scialdone,<sup>2,\*,†</sup> and Jordan Tishler<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

**Introduction:** The explosive growth of the cannabis industry in the United States over the past decade has spurred a multitude of products derived from phytocannabinoids produced by *Cannabis sativa* L. Decades of cannabis prohibition coupled with the more recent 2018 Farm Bill have lead to several unanticipated consequences and the widespread availability of synthetic cannabinoids derived from hemp CBD, including  $\Delta^8$ -THC,  $\Delta^{10}$ -THC and HHC. **Methods:** Herein, we review the available literature of the complexity of the chemistry of its current manufacture, namely, the acid-catalyzed ring closure of cannabidiol (ACRCC), the myriad of issues involving the unsolved technical problems with quality control of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC and the multitude of isomerized byproducts, and the lack of consistent regulation regarding consumer safety and labeling.

**Results:** We provide what we believe is the first comprehensive listing of all the documented ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC byproducts. Perhaps, most importantly, we highlight the growing concern that, other than  $\Delta^8$ -THC itself, the compounds in ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC product mixtures have not been subjected to any human toxicological evaluation. This is especially troubling as ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products relate to vaping, and their contribution to a growing and lethal epidemic of electronic cigarette, or vaping, product use–associated lung injury (EVALI).

**Conclusions:** Quality control is totally inadequate in the newly emerging  $\Delta^8$ -THC industry. American consumers are ingesting products that are mislabeled with many compounds that have never received any toxicological testing. EVALI cases continue to be reported with a fatality rate approaching 2% (in California).

**Keywords:** CBD; 2018 Farm Bill;  $\Delta^8$ -THC; synthetic cannabinoids; chemical conversion

#### Introduction

The explosive growth of the cannabis industry in the United States over the past decade has spurred a multitude of new and innovative products derived from the naturally occurring phytocannabinoids produced by *Cannabis sativa* L. Entrepreneurs have incorporated CBD I (Table 1), the wunderkind of cannabinoids, into nearly everything imaginable, from chocolates to pet treats to massage oils to bed sheets, marketing CBD as the cure-all for nearly every conceivable ailment and condition.<sup>1</sup>

The 2018 Farm Bill<sup>2</sup> expressly removed industrial hemp from the definition of marihuana, defined as can-

nabis with <0.3% by weight  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^9$ -THC) II\* and its derivatives, in the 1970 Controlled Substance Act (CSA),<sup>3</sup> resulting in a market glut of CBD. The following year, domestic-licensed hemp cultivation skyrocketed 445%, with about 510,000 acres being cultivated. The subsequent hemp surplus resulted in depressed prices, which motivated

<sup>\*</sup>The  $\Delta$  symbol followed by a number indicates the position of a critical carbon-carbon double bond that characterizes different ring isomers of THC. Isomers are related compounds that have identical formulas, but slightly different chemical structures and sometimes have very different physical and pharmacological properties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Whole Health & Healing Integrative Clinic, Cherry Valley, New York, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>BetterChem, Wilmington, Delaware, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Current address: BetterChem Consulting, Vermont, USA.

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to: Mark Scialdone, PhD, BetterChem Consulting, 1396 Marble Island Road Unit 4 Colchester, VT 05446, USA, E-mail: scialdon@gmail.com

## Table 1. Chemical Structures

| No. | Name  | Structure   |
|-----|---|-------------|
| I   | CBD   | OH<br>HO    |
| ΙΙ  | $\Delta^9$ -Tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^9$ -THC)                   | OH<br>OH    |
| 111 | $\Delta^8$ -Tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^8$ -THC)                   | OH<br>TOTOT |
| IV  | $\Delta^9$ -Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid ( $\Delta^9$ -THCA)           |             |
| v   | 11-Нуdroxy- $\Delta^8$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (11-ОН- $\Delta^8$ -ТНС) | OH<br>OH    |
| VI  | $\Delta^7$ -Tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^7$ -THC)                   | OH<br>OH    |
| VII | $\Delta^{10}$ -Tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^{10}$ -THC)             | ОН          |

(continued)

/

0

| Table 1. Continued |   |            |  |
|--------------------|---|------------|--|
| No.                | Name  | Structure  |  |
| VIII               | $\Delta^{9,11}$ -Tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^{9,11}$ -THC)         | OH<br>TOTA |  |
| IX                 | $\Delta^8$ -Iso-tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^8$ -iso-THC)           | OH<br>COL  |  |
| х                  | $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -Iso-tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-THC) | OH<br>OH   |  |
| XI                 | 9-Methoxy-hexahydrocannabinol (9-MeO-HHC)                             |            |  |
| XII                | 10-Methoxy-hexahydrocannabinol (10-MeO-HHC)                           | O OH       |  |
| XIII               | 9-Ethoxy-hexahydrocannabinol (9-EtO-HHC)                              | OH<br>OH   |  |
| XIV                | 10-Ethoxy-hexahydrocannabinol (10-EtO-HHC)                            | O OH       |  |

Table 1. Continued

(continued)

Table 1. Continued

| No.   | Name   | Structure                             |
|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
| xv    | $\Delta^9$ -lso-tetrahydrocannabifuran ( $\Delta^9$ -iso-THCBF)                | H OH                                  |
| XVI   | Olivetol (3,5-dihydroxy-pentylbenzene)   | но                                    |
| XVII  | Ortho- $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (o- $\Delta^9$ -THC)                   | Госон                                 |
| XVIII | Ortho- $\Delta^8$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (o- $\Delta^8$ -THC)                   | - ОН                                  |
| XIX   | Ortho- $\Delta^8$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol (o- $\Delta^8$ -iso-THC)           | С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С |
| хх    | Ortho- $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol (o- $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-THC) | СОСОН                                 |
| XXI   | p-Cymene (4-isopropyl toluene)   |                                       |



producers to consider new markets for the overabundance of CBD.<sup>4</sup> The unanticipated result has been the widespread production and commercial introduction of psychoactive cannabinoid products synthesized by acid-catalyzed ring closure of cannabidiol (ACRCC).

The focus of this article is to highlight the myriad of non-natural THC isomers formed in the ACRCC conversion reaction, including  $\Delta^8$ -tetrahydrocannabinol, ( $\Delta^8$ -THC) **III**, the issues related to their accurate identification and quantification, the sale of finished goods in the absence of any human safety data, the without appropriate regulatory oversight mandatory throughout the regulated cannabis industry today, and the clinical implications for a new type of pulmonary pathology that needs to be recognized appropriately, diagnosed and treated in the cannabis vaping population. Although the ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC problem is currently isolated to the United States, it is simply a matter of time before this issue becomes problematic throughout the developed world.

## The Legal Landscape

Despite the 2018 legislation exempting the hemp plant and its derivatives from the regulatory prohibition of the CSA, all other naturally occurring cannabinoids produced from *C. sativa*, including the psychoactive cannabinoid,  $\Delta^9$ -THC, are still listed as Schedule 1 substances. According to the CSA,<sup>5</sup> a Schedule 1 substance is a drug that has

- (1) no currently accepted medical use
- (2) a high potential for abuse or addiction
- (3) a lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision.

By default, Schedule 1 substances like cannabis (marijuana) remain illegal under federal statutes and in the 32 states in which recreational cannabis has not been legalized; it is in these states where the emerging market for  $\Delta^8$ -THC, which has psychoactivity similar to  $\Delta^9$ -THC, is most lucrative. Ironically, despite the Schedule 1 designation for the phytocannabinoid  $\Delta^9$ -THC (and its isomeric derivatives), the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved a number of pharmaceutically produced  $\Delta^9$ -THC drugs such as Marinol<sup>®</sup> and Syndros<sup>®</sup>, prescribed for the treatment of severe nausea and vomiting,<sup>6</sup> and Epidiolex,<sup>®</sup> a plant-derived CBD for the treatment of intractable forms of epilepsy.<sup>7</sup>

There is no known biosynthetic pathway that synthesizes  $\Delta^8$ -THC in *C. sativa*; however, to a small extent, the  $\Delta^9$  isomer can isomerize to the more thermodynamically stable  $\Delta^8$  isomer.  $^8$  Through the actions of the plant enzyme tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (THCA) synthase, the plant produces the  $\Delta^9$  isomer exclusively. Within the glandular trichomes of C. sativa,  $\Delta^9$ -THC is produced as its acidic precursor, namely  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinolic acid ( $\Delta^9$ -THCA) IV.9 When cannabis is heated or exposed to light, decarboxylation occurs, whereby the  $\Delta^9$ -THCA molecule loses a carbon dioxide molecule, becoming the psychoactive neutral cannabinoid  $\Delta^9$ -THC.<sup>10</sup> A certificate of analysis that notes small amounts of  $\Delta^8$ -THC in aged dried plant material is the result of nominal isomerization from  $\Delta^9$ -THC.<sup>11</sup>

Through an unforeseen loophole in the 2018 Farm Bill, ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC has been construed as legal

because it may be produced in a chemical process directly from CBD isolated from industrial hemp. Unfortunately, the Bill's language directly contradicts the CSA, where all tetrahydrocannabinol isomers (code 7370) specifically list  $\Delta^8$ -THC along with its isomeric cousin  $\Delta^9$ -THC as a Schedule 1 substance.<sup>12</sup> Further ambiguity regarding the legality of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC comes from a recent September 2021 letter to the Alabama Board of Pharmacy in which the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) wrote as follows:

cannabinoids extracted from the cannabis plant that have a  $\Delta^9$ -THC concentration of not more than 0.3 percent on a dry weight basis meet the definition of "hemp" and thus are not controlled under the CSA.<sup>13</sup>

Since being introduced to consumer markets, ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products have become a substantial revenue generator in many states where cannabis-derived products containing  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC are not legal, either medicinally or recreationally. These ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products, often promoted to consumers as a less potent form of  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC, are currently being produced and sold without any regulatory control or quality assurance oversight. ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products are not legally subjected to the same type of third-party testing at certified testing laboratories as required under state cannabis regulations.<sup>14</sup>

Throughout the era of federal cannabis prohibition, illicit products have been, and will continue to be, made available to consumers to meet market demand. The principles of contraband economics dictate that if there's a market for an illegal product, producers will provide that product particularly when substantial profit can be made.

## The Chemistry of THC Isomers

 $\Delta^8$ -THC is an isomer closely resembling  $\Delta^9$ -THC as seen from their three-dimensional structures (Figs. 1 and 2).<sup>15,16</sup> The sole distinction is the position of the double bond in the methyl-cyclohexene ring between carbons 9 and 10 in  $\Delta^9$ -THC and 8 and 9 in  $\Delta^8$ -THC. However, even with this subtle conformational shift, a change in their corresponding pharmacological properties is observed. This includes their respective psychoactivity where  $\Delta^8$ -THC is reported to be less potent than  $\Delta^9$ -THC isomer in receptor binding studies.<sup>17,18</sup>

Structurally speaking, CBD and all the THC isomers, including  $\Delta^7$ ,  $\Delta^8$ ,  $\Delta^9$ , and  $\Delta^{10}$ , have the identical molecular formula,  $C_{21}H_{30}O_2$ . It is the subtle variation of how the atoms are three dimensionally arranged that confers major pharmacological differences between isomers. This is classically represented by the tremendous pharmacological differences between CBD and  $\Delta^9$ -THC. The differences in molecular topologies (shape) and spatial flexibility center around the fact that CBD is a constitutional isomer of THC with free rotation of the terpene ring along the axis of the phenyl ring of CBD.

Another way of looking at these differences is that CBD is a resorcinol with two *meta*-phenolic groups,





while THC possesses one phenol, which provides for a significantly different and more varied pharmacological action. It is this nuanced difference that affects the isomer's respective receptor binding affinity to cannabinoid receptors. In this case, with both cannabinoid-1 receptor (CB<sub>1</sub>R) and cannabinoid-2 receptor (CB<sub>2</sub>R),  $\Delta^{8}$ -THC acts pharmacologically similar to  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC, as a partial agonist, with receptor binding at CB<sub>1</sub>R > CB<sub>2</sub>R. Also similar to  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC, the  $\Delta^{8}$ -isomer is metabolized in its first pass through the liver by the P450 cytochrome system to 11-hydroxy- $\Delta$ 8-tetrahydrocannabinol (11-OH- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC) V.<sup>19</sup>

When organic compounds are used as reactants in chemical reactions, conducted both at small, researchscale and at larger production-scale facilities, the process chemistry is often complicated. In the case of ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC, depending on the reaction conditions, numerous additional THC isomers are formed with unknown pharmacological and safety profiles in humans. The crux of the problem is that ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products are currently being manufactured and sold without any appreciable consideration for customer safety. The concern stems from the complexity of chemical processes involved in the manufacture of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC. The issue in the crosshairs of the safety debate is that ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC is a "designer drug" synthesized from hemp-derived CBD and not extracted from naturally grown C. sativa material.

Historically, ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products are not the first cannabinoid designer drugs. Professor James W. Huffman, a medicinal chemist at Clemson University, never anticipated that his library of laboratory synthe-

sized CB<sub>1</sub>R agonists, such as JWH-018 and JWH-073, would end up on the street as psychoactive synthetic cannabinoids of abuse, namely K2 and Spice.<sup>20</sup> These compounds were designed solely for research purposes to study the pharmacological effects of potent CB<sub>1</sub>R full agonists, some exceeding 100 times greater binding affinity than  $\Delta^9$ -THC. These synthetic cannabinoids carry serious adverse side effects that often require emergent medical attention, including severe cardiovascular, neurological, gastrointestinal, renal, metabolic, and psychiatric sequelae.<sup>21</sup>

The chemical conversion process of CBD to  $\Delta^8$ -THC was first described in the early 1940s by Professor Roger Adams and published by Drs. Yechiel Gaoni and Raphael Mechoulam in 1966.22 The technical information describing this acid-catalyzed cyclization reaction can be easily accessed on various internet sites in step-by-step detail.<sup>23</sup> However, minor changes in reaction conditions, including reaction temperature, type of acid, solvent, exposure to atmosphere, the presence of water or alcohol, and duration of the reaction, can significantly affect the yield and mix of reaction byproducts.<sup>24</sup> After the passage of the 2018 Farm Bill, professional and inexperienced, amateur chemists began looking at the synthetic possibilities of the surplus of CBD; thus, the race to market new psychoactive products containing laboratory manufactured cannabinoids began.

ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC production requires the use of flammable reaction solvents (e.g., benzene), highly corrosive acidic reagents such as boron trifluoride, sulfuric acid, and hydrochloric acid, and heat to drive the

cyclization reaction to completion. Typically, under most reaction conditions, CBD is converted to  $\Delta^{8}$ -THC, as well as several other non-natural isomers of THC, which could include  $\Delta^{7}$ -tetrahydrocannabinol **VI**,  $\Delta^{10}$ -tetrahydrocannabinol **VII**, and  $\Delta^{11}$ tetrahydrocannabinol **VIII**, also known as exo-THC, in addition to  $\Delta^{9}$ -THC. These isomers represent five of the seven possible double-bond isomers of the THC tricyclic ring structure. In addition, there are two iso-THC isomers that possess a different tricyclic ring structure, namely  $\Delta^{8}$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol **IX** and  $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol **X**.<sup>25</sup>

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that even under physiological conditions, CBD may be converted to both  $\Delta^8$ -THC and  $\Delta^9$ -THC through this same ACRCC reaction in simulated gastric fluid<sup>26</sup> and in Wistar rats.<sup>27</sup> This may potentially explain reports of many patients reporting somnolence and psychoactivity after oral CBD ingestion. In fairness, the physiological conversion of CBD into THC isomers and derivatives is still being debated.<sup>28–30</sup>

Interestingly, studies have indicated the presence of other oxygenated cannabinoids in CBD vape products.<sup>31</sup> When the conversion reaction is performed using alcohol solvents, such as methanol or ethanol, a mixture of the corresponding 9- and 10-methoxyhexahydrocannabinols **XI** and **XII** and 9- and 10ethoxy-hexahydrocannabinols **XIII** and **XIV** is formed in the product.<sup>32</sup> The presence of these ACRCC oxygenated byproducts is the result of carbonium ion intermediates with those oxygen nucleophiles producing ether products when reacted with alcohols, and hydroxylated products when reacted with water.

In another recent report, a full stoichiometric equivalent of an extremely reactive acidic reagent, phosphorus oxychloride (POCl<sub>3</sub>),<sup>33</sup> produced ACRCC.<sup>34</sup> It was found that, in addition to ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC and  $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol **X**, several other hydroxylated derivatives of non-natural THC isomers were formed upon hydrolytic workup of the reaction mixture.

#### **The Quality Control Dilemma**

Similar to other aspects of the cannabis industry, serious product quality control issues plague this new ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC marketplace.<sup>35</sup> The most important consideration of these products is the current absence of accepted product specifications or proper analytical standards and techniques for testing commercially produced ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products. As this article

was completed, a new certified analytical standard was made commercially available for the identification and quantification of  $\Delta^8$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol IX.<sup>36</sup>

Traditionally, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been the analytical instrumentation utilized by most laboratories to analyze and quantify cannabinoid mixtures.<sup>37</sup> However, the mixtures of THC isomers formed in the ACRCC reaction present unique challenges in their identification, isolation, quantification, and purification, since some isomers co-elute with the other known isomers of THC. In practical terms, because of their similarity in polarity, the ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC byproducts may appear as a single unresolved peak in the HPLC chromatogram (Personal communication; Sams R, February 14, 2022).

Simply put, with current methodology, HPLC is unable to separate, identify, and quantify these myriad byproducts present in ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products. Consequently, proper analysis and quantification of the full spectrum of these substances require different and more experienced data analysis, including the utilization of more sophisticated analytical techniques such as gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GCMS), which have been demonstrated to be fit-for-purpose for the determination of  $\Delta^8$ -THC.<sup>38</sup>

A recent publication illustrated the necessity for a more sophisticated analytical methodology. Researchers performed detailed chemical analysis of fluid from several commercially available vaporizers (vape pens) containing ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC using nuclear magnetic resonance, GCMS, and ion-coupled plasma/mass spectrometry.<sup>39</sup> The authors found that none of the products tested had accurate labeling and significant discrepancies were discovered in actual  $\Delta^{8}$ -THC content. Many of the products tested contained known ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC byproducts such as  $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol **X** and 9-ethoxy-hexahydrocannabinol **XIV**, as well as reporting the identification and characterization of  $\Delta^{9}$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabifuran ( $\Delta^{9}$ -iso-THCBF) **XV**, a newly reported THC isomer.

Several of the products contained unlabeled cutting agents used in vape pen fluid formulations such as medium-chain triglycerides (MCT), triethyl citrate, and parts per billion levels of heavy metals such as chromium, nickel, copper, zinc, lead, mercury, and others, which likely leached from the vape pen hardware.

Perhaps most troubling was the finding of olivetol (3,5-dihydroxy-pentylbenzene) **XVI**,<sup>40</sup> in 22 out of 27 samples tested. Olivetol is reported to be a respiratory, eye, and skin irritant. Remember that olivetol is the

decarboxylated form of olivetolic acid, which is the immediate upstream precursor in the cannabinoid biosynthetic pathway that creates cannabigerolic acid (CBGA).<sup>41</sup> While olivetol can be used as a precursor to synthesize cannabinoids, its presence in commercial vape products is likely due to a retro-Friedel-Crafts reaction of CBD and subsequent degradation of the limonene cation formed.<sup>42</sup>

The detection of olivetol further supports the formation of abnormally ortho-substituted THC regioisomers such as ortho- $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol **XVII**, ortho- $\Delta^8$ -tetrahydrocannabinol **XVIII**, ortho- $\Delta^8$ -iso-tetrahydrocannabinol **XIX**, and ortho- $\Delta^{4(8)}$ iso-tetrahydrocannabinol **XX**.<sup>†</sup> These olivetol-based regioisomers are formed in the  $\Delta^8$ -THC,  $\Delta^9$ -THC,  $\Delta^8$ -iso- and  $\Delta^{4(8)}$ -iso-configurations having both *cis* and *trans* isomers present because the recombination of olivetol and the accompanying generated limonene cation is not likely to be stereoselective.<sup>43</sup> Furthermore, residual amounts of the conversion catalysts used may also be present if care is not taken to remove them from the ACRCC reaction products.

The presence of olivetol in the ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products further implies that the recombination of the olivetol and the limonene cation in the reaction leads to the formation of the terpene p-cymene (4isopropyl toluene) XXI as the likely degradant species. Unfortunately, at this time, the toxicological and pharmacological properties of p-cymene are inconsistent. While p-cymene is indeed a naturally occurring terpene found in numerous citrus and aromatic plants and has a plethora of bioactivity, including anticancer and anti-inflammatory properties,<sup>44</sup> the material safety data sheet states that it is harmful if swallowed or absorbed by the skin, considered to be irritating to mucous membranes and the upper respiratory tract.<sup>45</sup> Whether p-cymene, or any of the other dozen or more secondary ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC endproducts that have been discussed possess a significant health risk, either acutely or in the long term, is an issue to be further investigated.

## Vaping and ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC Pathology

A sizable amount of the ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC is sold for the vape market with no safety data for inhalation exposures. The FDA considers both polyethylene glycol (PG) and vegetable glycerin (VG) as "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS). However, the GRAS designation applies only to dermal application or oral ingestion and does not address or imply the safety of inhalation exposure to these products. Goods meant to be eaten or swallowed are not necessarily meant to be inhaled deeply into the vast microenvironment of the pulmonary alveoli system. PG/VG-containing e-liquids, when heated, generate pulmonary irritants as well as known and suspected carcinogenic carbonyl compounds such as formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acrolein.<sup>46,47</sup>

Since the advent of the commercial availability of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC in 2019, there has been a marked increase in reports of electronic cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury (EVALI).<sup>48</sup> Patients with EVALI present with a constellation of respiratory, gastrointestinal, and constitutional symptoms, including shortness of breath, cough, chest pain, diarrhea, abdominal pain, fever, and fatigue. To meet the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) criteria for a "confirmed" EVALI case, patients must have vaped within 90 days before symptom onset, have bilateral infiltrates on chest imaging, have a negative evaluation for infection, and have no other plausible alternative diagnosis.<sup>49</sup>

In the current marketplace, ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC mixtures are solubilized with a multitude of diluents, including limonene, which individually have been suspected as being problematic for inhalation. Vape pen base fluids such as PG and VG, which when heated can generate pulmonary irritants including carcinogenic carbonyl compounds (formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acrolein) in addition to various metals contained within the heating coils and cartridge casings in vaping devices, leach into the inhaled vapor as well.<sup>50</sup> The CDC has postulated that the additive vitamin E acetate (VEA), a tocopherol, is a causative factor for EVALI,<sup>51</sup> as is diacetyl, a common buttery flavoring agent, has welldocumented pulmonary toxicity leading to bronchiolitis obliterans, sometimes called "popcorn lung."<sup>52,53</sup>

The role of VEA as a causative factor for EVALI has been postulated to be associated with its long, 16-carbon aliphatic tail that is thought to penetrate into the surfactant layer within the alveoli. Increasing amounts of tocopherols affect the transition of surfactant from a gel to a liquid, which affects its ability to maintain the necessary surface tension within the alveoli, and therefore has been postulated as a mechanism for EVALI lung injury.<sup>54</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Naturally occurring cannabinoids such as  $\Delta^9$ -THC are para-substituted such that the terpenyl ring and 5-carbon pentyl chain are para (1,4 disubstituted on the benzene ring) to each other. The Razdan article refers to abnormal THC regioisomers that are ortho-substituted where the terpenyl ring and 5-carbon pentyl chain are ortho (1,2 disubstituted on the benzene ring) to each other.

Despite the aliphatic tail of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC and its byproducts being only five carbons in length, we postulate that this may still be long enough to affect the phase state of the phosphatidylcholines acting as a surfactant in the alveoli, although likely not to the same degree as VEA. Regrettably, there are no toxicological data on ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC and its byproducts, yet their contributive role in EVALI is circumstantial. Definitive pulmonary vaping studies need to be done to definitively tie ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products to the EVALI epidemic. To ignore the coincidence of the sudden appearance of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC, vaping with the emergence of EVALI seems shortsighted.

A newly published national study reveals the breadth of the EVALI epidemic and its connection to cannabis vaping products, including ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC: as of January 2020, a total of 2558 nonfatal hospitalized patients and 60 patients with fatal cases of EVALI have been reported to the CDC.<sup>55</sup> This group observed a direct relationship between the frequency and duration of vaping with patient morbidity and mortality. Of note, secondary to the COVID-19 pandemic, the CDC stopped tracking EVALI cases in February 2020. In addition, because of the novelty of EVALI, the potential pulmonary morbidity seen with ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products substances may be significant, and clinically is oftentimes untested, overlooked, and goes undiagnosed; therefore, the true breadth of the EVALI epidemic remains unknown.

Recently, 13 adolescents were admitted to a large university-teaching hospital for clinical signs consistent with EVALI, 30% of whom necessitated intensive care unit, and one required intubation with prolonged mechanical ventilation. Ninety-two percent of those patients admitted tested positive for  $\Delta^9$ -THC.<sup>56</sup> What number of those patients were also vaping ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC? We will never know as few hospital laboratories test for  $\Delta^{8}$ -THC exposure, but considering the large and growing market for ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC vape products, we know this number is not likely zero. In a study of computed tomography-diagnosed EVALI, the researchers looked at 160 cases in 14 states, and 133 cases (83%) were found in states where recreational cannabis was not legal.<sup>57</sup> It is in these states where ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC vape products are most prevalent.

## **Consumer Protection Concerns**

The purification and isolation of purified ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC reaction products are problematic because the byproducts formed are chemically similar to both  $\Delta^{8}$  and  $\Delta^9$ -THC. The process chemistry expertise needed to develop a suitable purification and analytical method to establish product specifications is not something that most manufacturers currently possess. Any perturbation in the reaction conditions such as reaction temperature, reaction time, concentration, and type of acid catalyst used changes the distribution of the various components in the final end-product mixture.

Consequently, finished consumer goods are being produced using ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC, which contain various amounts of the isomeric and degradation byproducts in substantial amounts (more than 30%) and being sold to consumers labeled as solely containing  $\Delta^8$ -THC (Personal communication; Sams R, February 14, 2022). As emphasized earlier, critical to the quality control and safety issue of these products is the lack of standardization of quality assurance or analytical methods being performed by accredited third-party testing laboratories.

A principal issue with the ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products is the lack of relevant human toxicological data to the numerous isomeric byproducts and degradants found in commercial products today. Although extrapolation of animal data may be misleading, it is an important first step in understanding potential human toxicity. The toxicology data for  $\Delta^8$ -THC date from 1978 with a relative flurry of studies done in the early 1970's. Perhaps the most interesting finding was the lethal toxicity seen with both  $\Delta^8$ -THC and  $\Delta^9$ -THC in rats, dogs, and monkeys, between 225 and 3600 mg/kg.<sup>58</sup> Available data suggest that the bioactivity of  $\Delta^8$ -THC is similar to that of  $\Delta^9$ -THC, including euphoria, paranoia, dry mouth, reddened eyes, dizziness, blurred vision, relaxation, and small increases in heart rate.<sup>59</sup>

## Adverse Effects of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC Products

Ultimately, consumer safety should drive the need for appropriate quality control and regulation of all products whether they are derived from cannabis or industrial hemp. Notably, health concerns regarding the use of ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products have not been infrequent. From December 2020 through July 2021, the FDA received adverse event reports from both consumers and law enforcement agencies describing 22 patients who consumed ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC products; of these, 14 presented to a hospital or emergency room for treatment following its ingestion.<sup>60</sup> Adverse events included vomiting, hallucinations, trouble standing, respiratory distress, and loss of consciousness. In addition, poison control centers across the United States reported 660 exposure cases of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products between January 1, 2021, and July 31, 2021. Of these cases, 41% involved unintentional exposure to  $\Delta^8$ -THC with 77% of those affected being pediatric patients <18 years of age. Eighteen percent of these patients required hospitalization, including several children who required intensive care unit admission following exposure to these products, and one required emergency intubation and ventilatory support.<sup>61</sup>

On September 14, 2021, the FDA stated that there could be serious health risks to humans who use ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products and CDC issued a health advisory to health care professionals and the public of the

increased availability of cannabis products containing delta-8 tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and the potential for adverse events due to insufficient labeling of products containing THC and cannabidiol (CBD).<sup>62</sup>

Although the CDC health advisory was welcomed, there is concern regarding the FDA's lack of enforcement to labeling requirements of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products from its manufacturers. If the FDA can require both tobacco and alcohol manufacturers to accurately label their products, warning consumers of health risks they accept by consuming their products, surely the FDA should mandate that all product manufacturers do the same. At the very least, consumers should be aware of the potential health risks associated with the use of all ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC-containing products.

Currently, a plethora of ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products are being produced without any regulatory oversight, process standardization, product specification, or standardized third-party testing requirement. Without industry-wide regulation and governmental oversight, these companies may be, or may not be, following strict pharmaceutical standards for quality control in this manufacturing process.

Our apprehension centers around the possibility that ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products may potentially parallel the classic example of a designer drug disaster: the story of MPTP (1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine) **XXII**. In the summer of 1982, reports emerged from the San Francisco Bay Area of young intravenous heroin addicts suddenly stricken with an acute form of Parkinson's disease, and termed "frozen addicts."<sup>63</sup> The common thread was that all the "frozen addicts" had recently used a new form of synthetic heroin

made by an underground chemist who failed to strictly maintain a critical temperature during a chemical reaction. Instead of producing a batch of relatively pure MPPP (desmethylprodine) **XXIII**, it produced a quantity containing the contaminant MPTP in the final product, which produced neurotoxic effects.<sup>64</sup>

The case of the "frozen addicts" represents the potential for serious long-term and unintended health consequences when the steps of a chemical reaction are not strictly followed, resulting in the formation of unforeseen (and unquantifiable) byproducts. The MPTP story clearly demonstrates that even very minor differences in chemical structure may produce radically different pharmacological and toxicological effects in humans. The lesson from MPTP highlights the importance of caution when considering the use of a synthetically produced drug and the potential catastrophic consequences that may occur when drugs are manufactured using nonpharmaceutical quality control standards. Currently, the nonpharmaceutical production and widespread consumer use of cannabinoids like ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC exist and should prompt concern among regulators, medical professionals, and consumers alike.

Fortunately, there has been no report in the literature of "frozen vapers" from consumption of products containing  $ACRCC-\Delta^8$ -THC, but the emergence of EVALI aligns exactly with the appearance of ACRCC- $\Delta^{8}$ -THC. The lesson of the MPTP "frozen addicts" should be that we do not know what could seriously hurt us. There is compelling data to show that these products being sold contain various reaction derivatives formed in the production process, resulting in inaccurate product quality testing and labeling. Introduction of these products into the cannabis consumer marketplace has been done without any thoughtful and empirically driven discussion regarding the issues and possible dangers of these designer cannabinoids. We have no understanding of their potential clinical impact for both the casual and chronic consumer.

We assert that the public deserves a consistent and accurate cannabinoid testing program for all cannabisbased products being sold, within both the recreational and medical marketplaces. These products need to be produced reproducibly with a focus on quality assurance much like that required of over the counter and pharmaceutical ingredients. In addition, these products need to be consistently tested by certified laboratories using standardized methods and accurately labeled, just like other consumer products. Cannabis consumers are witnessing a large-scale human experiment with the introduction of these synthetically produced ACRCC- $\Delta^8$ -THC products. Ultimately, the issues surrounding these products are simply another chapter in the continuing saga of cannabis prohibition, unregulated capitalism, and the political and racially motivated intentions of the CSA.

Instead, we envision a futuristic and enlightened Congress and FDA to implement a well-regulated cannabis industry (both medical and recreational) in which consumer safety and product reliability are paramount over profits.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation and gratitude to Richard A. Sams, PhD, Scientific Director at KCA Laboratories in Nicholasville, KY, whose contributions, support, and editorial direction made this article possible.

#### **Author Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

#### **Funding Information**

No funding was received for this article.

#### References

- Nelson KM, Bisson J, Singh G, et al. The essential medicinal chemistry of cannabidiol (CBD) J Med Chem 2020;63(21):12137–12155; doi: 10.1021/ acs.jmedchem.0c00724
- US Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2018 Farm Bill. Available from: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/programs/ farmbill [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- US Drug Enforcement Agency (US DEA). Controlled Substance Act. Available from: https://www.dea.gov/drug-information/csa [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Sabet K. The Unintended Consequences of Legalization of Hemp. September 13, 2021. Available from: https://www.msn.com/en-us/money/ markets/the-unintended-consequences-of-legalizing-hemp-opinion/ ar-AAOnQpu [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- US Department of Justice (US DOJ). DEA Controlled Substance Schedules. Available from: https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/schedules [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. NDA for Marinol<sup>®</sup>. 2004. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2005/ 018651s021lbl.pdf [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Sekar K, Pack A. Epidiolex as adjunct therapy for treatment of refractory epilepsy: A comprehensive review with a focus on adverse effects. F1000Res 2019;8:F1000 Faculty Rev-234; doi: 10.12688/f1000research .16515.1
- Dalzell HC, Uliss DB, Handrick GR, et al. Hashish. 26. Factors influencing double-bond stability in cannabinoids J Org Chem 1981;46(5):949–953; doi: 10.1021/jo00318a021
- Tahir MN, Shahbazi F, Rondeau-Gagné S, et al. The biosynthesis of the cannabinoids. J Cannabis Res 2021;3(1):7; doi: 10.1186/s42238-021-00062-4
- Wang M, Wang YH, Avula B, et al. Decarboxylation study of acidic cannabinoids: A novel approach using ultra-high-performance supercritical fluid chromatography/photodiode array-mass spectrometry. Cannabis Cannabinoid Res 2016;1(1):262–271; doi: 10.1089/can.2016.0020

- Hively RL, Mosher WA, Hoffman FW. Isolation of trans-deltatetrahydrocannabinol from marijuana. J Am Chem Soc 1966;88(8): 1832–1833; doi: 10.1021/ja00960a056
- US Department of Justice (US DOJ). List of Controlled Substances. June 27, 2022. Available from: https://deadiversion.usdoj.gov/schedules/ orangebook/c\_cs\_alpha.pdf [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Bougenies N. The DEA Declares that Delta-8 THC Is Not a Controlled Substance ... But Does It? November 19, 2021. Available from: https:// abovethelaw.com/2021/11/the-dea-declares-delta-8-thc-is-not-acontrolled-substance-but-does-it [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Erickson BE. Delta-8-THC Craze Concerns Chemists, Chem Eng News American Chemical Society, Washington DC. August 30, 2021, Vol. 99, Issue 31. Available from: https://cen.acs.org/biological-chemistry/naturalproducts/Delta-8-THC-craze-concerns/99/i31 [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- 15. PubChem, NIH National Library of Medicine, National Center for Biotechnology Information. The structure of  $\Delta^9$ -THC. Available at: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/delta9-trans-Tetrahydrocannabinol [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- PubChem, NIH National Library of Medicine, National Center for Biotechnology Information. The structure of 
  <sup>Δ8</sup>-THC. Available at: https:// pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/638026#section=3D-Conformer [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Gong H, Tashkin DP, Simmons MS, et al. Acute and subacute bronchial effects of oral cannabinoids. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1984;35(1):26–32; doi: 10.1038/clpt.1984.4
- Husni AS, McCurdy CR, Radwan MM, et al. Evaluation of phytocannabinoids from high potency *Cannabis sativa* using in vitro bioassays to determine structure-activity relationships for cannabinoid receptor 1 and cannabinoid receptor 2. Med Chem Res 2014;23(9):4295–4300; doi: 10.1007/s00044-014-0972-6
- Watanabe K, Yamamoto I, Oguri K, et al. Metabolic disposition of delta 8-tetrahydrocannabinol and its active metabolites, 11-hydroxy-delta 8-tetrahydrocannabinol and 11-oxo-delta 8-tetrahydrocannabinol, in mice. Drug Metab Dispos 1981;9(3):261–264.
- Brents LK, Prather PL. The K2/Spice phenomenon: Emergence, identification, legislation and metabolic characterization of synthetic cannabinoids in herbal incense products. Drug Metab Rev 2014;46(1):72–85; doi: 10.3109/03602532.2013.839700
- Castaneto MS, Gorelick DA, Desrosiers NA, et al. Synthetic cannabinoids: Epidemiology, pharmacodynamics, and clinical implications. Drug Alcohol Depend 2014;144:12–41; doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.08
- Gaoni Y, Mechoulam R. The isomerization of cannabidiol to tetrahydrocannabinols. Tetrahedron 1966;22(4):1481–1488; doi: 10.1016/S0040-4020(01)99446-3
- Babalonis S, Raup-Konsavage WM, Akpunonu PD, et al. D8-THC: Legal status, widespread availability and safety concerns. Cannabis noid Res 2021;6(5):362–365; doi: 10.1089/can.2021.0097
- Marzullo P, Foschi F, Coppini DA, et al. Cannabidiol as the substrate in acid-catalyzed intramolecular cyclization. J Nat Prod 2020;83(10):2894– 2901; doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.0c00436
- Kiselak TD, Koerber R, Verbeck GF. Synthetic route sourcing of illicit athome cannabidiol (CBD) isomerization to psychoactive cannabinoids using ion mobility-coupled-LC-MS/MS. Forensic Sci Int 2020;308:110173; doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2020.110173
- Watanabe K, Itokawa Y, Yamaori S, et al. Conversion of cannabidiol to Δ9tetrahydrocannabinol and related cannabinoids in artificial gastric juice, and their pharmacological effects in mice Forensic Toxicol 2007;25(1): 16–21; doi: 10.1007/s11419-007-0021-y
- Hložek T, Uttl L, Kadeřábeck L, et al. Pharmacokinetic and behavioural profile of THC, CBD, and THC + CBD combination after pulmonary, oral, and subcutaneous administration in rats and confirmation of conversion in vivo of CBD to THC. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2017;27(12):1223– 1237; doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.10.037
- Merrick J, Lane B, Sebree T, et al. Identification of psychoactive degradants of cannabidiol in simulated gastric and physiological fluid. Cannabis Cannabinoid Res 2016;1(1):102–112; doi: 10.1089/can.2015.0004
- Nahler G, Grotenhermen F, Zuardi AW, et al. A conversion of oral cannabidiol to delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol seems not to occur in humans. Cannabis Cannabinoid Res 2017;2(1):81–86; doi: 10.1089/can.2017.0009

- Ma H, Li H, Liu C, et al. Evaluation of cannabidiol's inhibitory effect on alpha-glucosidase and its stability in simulated gastric and intestinal fluids. J Cannabis Res 2021;3(1):20; doi: 10.1186/s42238-021-00077-x
- Czégény Z, Nagy G, Babinszki B, et al. CBD, a precursor of THC in e-cigarettes. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):8951; doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-88389-z
- 32. Golombek P, Műller M, Barthlott I, et al. Conversion of cannabidiol (CBD) into psychotropic cannabinoids including tetrahydrocannabinol (THC): A controversy in the scientific literature. Toxics 2020;8(2):41; doi: 10.3390/ toxics8020041
- NIH National Library of Medicine, National Center for Biotechnology Information. Phosphorus Oxychloride on PubChem. Available from: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Phosphorus-oxychloride [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Nalli Y, Jan S, Lauro G, et al. Isolation, synthesis and structure determination of cannabidiol derivatives and their cytotoxic activities. Nat Prod Res 2021;35(3):471–480; doi: 10.1080/14786419.2019.1638381
- MacCallum CA, Lo LA, Pistawka CA, et al. A clinical framework for evaluating cannabis product quality and safety. Cannabis Cannabinoid Res 2022 [Epub ahead of print]; doi: 10.1089/can.2021.0137
- Cayman Chemical. Iso-∆<sup>8</sup>-THC Certified Reference Analytical Standard. Available from: https://www.caymanchem.com/product/33864/%CE% B48-iso-thc [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Pellati F, Brighenti V, Sperlea J, et al. New methods for the comprehensive analysis of bioactive compounds in *Cannabis sativa* L. (hemp). Molecules (Basel, Switzerland) 2018;23(10):2639–2660; doi: 10.3390/molecules23102639
- Boyar K. Moderator, Conference Call on Delta 8 THC, American Chemical Society, Cannabis Chemistry Subdivision, August 2, 2021. Available from: https://youtu.be/rdbQXLfKREg [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Meehan J, Rahman I. Novel ∆8-tetrahydrocannabinol vaporizers contain unlabeled adulterants, unintended byproducts of chemical synthesis, and heavy metals. Chem Res Toxicol 2022;35(1):73–76; doi: 10.1021/ acs.chemrestox.1c00388
- NIH National Library of Medicine, National Center for Biotechnology Information. Olivetol, PubChem. Available from: https://pubchem.ncbi .nlm.nih.gov/compound/Olivetol [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Anderson LL, Udoh M, Everett-Morgan D, et al. Olivetolic acid, a cannabinoid precursor in *Cannabis sativa*, but not CBGA methyl ester exhibits a modest anticonvulsant effect in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. J Cannabis Res 2022;4(1):2; doi: 10.1186/s42238-021-00113-w
- Razdan RK, Dalzell HC, Handrick GR. Hashish. A simple one-step synthesis of (–)-delta-1-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) from p-Mentha-2,8-dien-1-ol and olivetol. J Am Chem Soc 1974;96(18):5860–5865; doi: 10.1021/ja00825a026
- Crombie L, Crombie WML, Jamieson SV, et al. Acid-catalysed terpenylations of olivetol in the synthesis of cannabinoids. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1988;1(5):1243–1250; doi: 10.1039/P19880001243
- Balahbib A, El Omari N, El Hachlafi N, et al. Health beneficial and pharmacological properties of p-cymene. Food Chem Toxicol 2021;153: 112259; doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2021.112259
- NIH National Library of Medicine, National Center for Biotechnology Information. P-Cymene, PubChem. Available from: https://pubchem.ncbi .nlm.nih.gov/compound/p-Cymene [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Fik M, et al. Carbonyl compounds in electronic cigarette vapors: Effects of nicotine solvent and battery output voltage. Nicotine Tob Res 2014;16(10):1319–1326; doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntu078
- Pankow JF, Kim K, McWhirter KJ, et al. Benzene formation in electronic cigarettes. PLoS One 2017;12(3):e0173055; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone .0173055
- Cao DJ, Aldy K, Hsu S, et al. Review of health consequences of electronic cigarettes and the outbreak of electronic cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury. J Med Toxicol 2020;16(3):295–310; doi: 10.1007/s13181-020-00772-w
- Crotty Alexander LE, Ware LB, Calfee CS, et al. E-cigarette or vaping product use-associated lung injury: Developing a research agenda. An NIH workshop report. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;202(6):795–802; doi: 10.1164/rccm.201912-2332WS
- McDaniel C, Mallampati SR, Wise A. Metals in cannabis vaporizer aerosols: Sources, possible mechanisms, and exposure profiles. Chem Res Toxicol 2021;34(11):2331–2342; doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrestox.1c00230
- Blount BC, Karwoski MP, Shields PG, et al. Vitamin E acetate in bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid associated with EVALI. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(8):697–705; doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1916433

- Smith ML, Gotway MB, Cotty Alexander LE, et al. Vaping-related lung injury. Virchows Arch 2021;478(1):81–88; doi: 10.1007/s00428-020-02943-0
- 53. Allen JG, Flanigan SS, LeBlanc M, et al. Flavoring chemicals in e-cigarettes: diacetyl, 2,3-pentanedione, and acetoin in a sample of 51 products, including fruit-, candy-, and cocktail-flavored e-cigarettes. Environ Health Perspect 2016;124(6):733–739; doi: 10.1289/ehp.1510185
- 54. Reboul E. Vitamin E bioavailability: Mechanisms of intestinal absorption in the spotlight. Antioxidants (Basel) 2017;6(4):95; doi: 10.3390/antiox6040095
- Werner AK, Koumans EH, Chatham-Stephens K, et al. Hospitalizations and deaths associated with EVALI. N Engl J Med 2020;382(17):1589–1598; doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1915314
- Rao DR, Maple KL, Dettori A, et al. Clinical features of e-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury in teenagers. Pediatrics 2020; 146(1):e20194104; doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-4104
- 57. Kligerman SJ, Kay FU, Raptis CA, et al. CT findings and patterns of e-cigarette or vaping product use-associated lung injury: A multicenter cohort of 160 cases. Chest 2021;160(4):1492–1511; doi: 10.1016/j.chest .2021.04.054
- Thompson GR, Rosenkrantz H, Schaeppi UH, at al. Comparison of acute oral toxicity of cannabinoids in rats, dogs and monkeys. Toxicol App Pharm 1973;25(3):363–372; doi: 10.1016/0041-008x(73)90310-4
- Hollister LE, Gillespie HK. Delta-8- and delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol comparison in man by oral and intravenous administration. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1973;14(3):353–357; doi: 10.1002/cpt1973143353
- 60. US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA). Five Things to Know About Delta-8 THC. May 5, 2022. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/ consumers/consumer-updates/5-things-know-about-delta-8tetrahydrocannabinol-delta-8-thc [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- Akpunonu P, Baum RA, Reckers A, et al. Sedation and acute encephalopathy in a pediatric patient following ingestion of delta-8tetrahydrocannabinol gummies. Am J Case Rep 2021;22:e933488; doi: 10.12659/AJCR.933488
- Center for Disease Control (CDC). Official Health Advisory. September 14, 2021. Available from: https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/2021/pdf/CDC\_ HAN\_\_451.pdf [Last accessed: August 16, 2022].
- 63. Langston JW. The MPTP story. J Park Dis 2017;7(Suppl 1):S11–S19; doi: 10.3233/JPD-179006
- Langston JW, Ballard P, Tetrud JW, et al. Chronic parkinsonism in humans due to a product of meperidine-analog synthesis. Science 1983; 219(4587):979–980; doi: 10.1126/science.6823561

**Cite this article as:** Geci M, Scialdone M, Tishler J (2022) The dark side of cannabidiol: the unanticipated social and clinical implications of synthetic  $\Delta^8$ -THC, *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research* X:X, 1–13, DOI: 10.1089/can.2022.0126.

#### Abbreviations Used

- 3D = three dimensional
- ACRCC = acid-catalyzed ring closure of cannabidiol
- $CB_1R = cannabinoid-1$  receptor
- $CB_2R = cannabinoid-2$  receptor
- CBD = cannabidiol
- CDC = Centers for Disease Control
- CSA = Controlled Substance Act
- EVALI = electronic cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury
- FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration
- GCMS = gas chromatography/mass spectrometry
- GRAS = Generally Recognized as Safe
- HPLC = high performance liquid chromatography MPTP = 1-methyl-4-phenyl- 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine
- MPTP = 1-metry 4-prieny 1,2,3,0-ter
- PG = polyethylene glycolTHC = tetrahydrocannabinol
- THCA = tetrahydrocannabinolic acid
- VEA = vitamin E acetate
- VG = vegetable glycerin