CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 13, 2021

TIME: 10:58 AM

WSR 21-21-041

| PROPOSED | RULE | MAKING |
|----------|------|--------|
|----------|------|--------|



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

| Agency: Washington | State Liquor | and Cannabis Board | |
|---|---|--|---|
| ☑ Original Notice | | | |
| Supplemental Noti | ice to WSR | | |
| Continuance of Water | SR | | |
| ☑ Preproposal State | ment of Inq | uiry was filed as WSR 21-14-117 | ; or |
| Expedited Rule Ma | akingProp | osed notice was filed as WSR | ; or |
| Proposal is exemp | ot under RC | W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or | |
| Proposal is exempled | ot under RC | W | |
| Additives, Solvents, In and Cannabis Board (\ ingredients or compou tetrahydrocannabinol (compound that is not c Administrative Code (\ | gredients, o WSLCB) pro nds used in THC), as we currently ide | r Compounds Used in the Production oposes a new rule section that would the production and processing of m ell as CBD, hemp, or both converted ntified or defined in the Revised Coo | ew rule section, WAC 314-55-560 – Evaluation of n of Marijuana Products. The Washington State Liquor I allow the WSLCB to evaluate additives, solvents, arijuana products other than delta-9 I to delta-8 THC, delta-9 THC, or any other marijuana le of Washington (RCW), the Washington ances pose a risk to public health or youth access. |
| Hearing location(s): | | | |
| Date: | Time: | Location: (be specific) | Comment: |
| December 8, 2021 | 10:00AM | In response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) public health emergency, the Board will not provide a physical location for this hearing to promote social distancing and the safety of the citizens of Washington state. A virtual public hearing, without a physical meeting space, will be held instead. Board members, presenters, and staff will all participate remotely. The public may login using a computer or device, or call-in using a phone, to listen to the meeting through the WebEx application. The public may provide verbal comments during the specified public comment and rules hearing segments | For more information about board meetings, please visit <u>https://lcb.wa.gov/boardmeetings/board_meetings</u> . |
| Date of intended ado | ption: <u>Not e</u> | earlier than December 22, 2021 (No | te: This is NOT the effective date) |
| Submit written comm | nents to: | | |
| Name: Katherine Hoffr Address: 1025 Union Email: rules@lcb.wa.g Fax: Other: By (date) <u>December 8</u> | Avenue SE, ov | - | |
| Assistance for perso | ns with dis | abilities: | |
| Contact Anita Binghan | n, ADA Cool | rdinator, Human Resources | |
| | | Page 1 of 4 | |

Phone: 360-664-1739] Fax: 360-664-9689 TTY: 7-1-1 or 1-800-833-6388 Email: Anita.Bingham@lcb.wa.gov Other: By (date) December 1, 2021

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: RCW 69.50.326(1)(m) provides, among other things, that the Board may adopt rules prohibiting the use of any type of additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound in the production and processing of marijuana products. Proposed new rule section, WAC 314-55-560, establishes a procedure for the Board to prohibit the use of any type of additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound used in the production and processing of marijuana products, and defines these terms in a way that will assist the Board in determining whether an additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound may pose a risk to public health or youth access.

Reasons supporting proposal: In mid-2020, WSLCB became aware of products entering the regulated market with labeling noting the presence of cannabinoids other than delta-9 tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). In early 2021, the agency also became aware of the conversion of CBD, hemp, or both to delta-8 THC, delta-9 THC, and other marijuana compounds not currently identified or defined in the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), the Washington State Administrative Code (WAC), or both. These products include, but are not limited to marijuana infused edibles and marijuana concentrates. WSLCB also became aware of products with labeling noting the presence of THC compounds other than delta-9 THC in markets it does not regulate.

Currently, the only products that may be produced, processed, and sold in the I-502 system are products defined as "marijuana" in statute. RCW 69.50.101(y) defines "marijuana" as all parts of the plant Cannabis with a THC concentration greater than 0.3 percent. RCW 69.50.101(uu) provides that "THC concentration" means percent of delta-9 THC content of any part of the plant Cannabis. The production and processing of marijuana products may involve the use of potentially harmful additives, solvents, ingredients or compounds. For example, the chemicals used to create the concentration of delta-8 THC claimed in the current market place may be harmful, and may pose a risk to public health and safety. The proposed rules provide a framework for the Board to evaluate whether additives, solvents, ingredients or compounds used in the production of marijuana products pose or may pose, a risk to public health or youth access.

| Statutory authority | y for adoption: RCW 69.50.342 | 2; RCV | V 69.50.345 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Statute being impl | lemented: RCW 69.50.342(1)(r | n) | | | |
| Is rule necessary | because of a: | | | | |
| Federal Law | | | | □ Yes | 🛛 No |
| Federal Court Decision? | | | | | ⊠ No |
| State Court | □ Yes □ Yes | ⊠ No | | | |
| If yes, CITATION: | | | | | |
| Name of proponer | nt: (person or organization) Was | shingto | on State Liquor and Cannabis Board | □ Privat □ Public ⊠ Gove | - |
| Name of agency p | ersonnel responsible for: | | | | |
| | Name | Office | e Location | Phone | |
| Drafting: Rules Manager | Katherine Hoffman, Policy and | 1025 | Union Avenue SE, Olympia WA 98502 | 360-664 | -1664 |
| Licensing | Becky Smith, Director of | 1025 | Union Avenue SE, Olympia WA 98502 | 360-664 | -1753 |
| Enforcement: Chandra Brady, Director or 1025 Union Avenue SE, Olympia WA 98502 360-664-1726 Education and Enforcement | | | | | |
| s a school distric | t fiscal impact statement requ | ired u | nder RCW 28A 305 135? | 🗆 Yes | 🖾 No |

| lf yes, inse | rt statement here: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The put | alia may obtain a cany of the achool district fi | agal impact o | totoment by contracting | | |
| | olic may obtain a copy of the school district fill | scal impact s | tatement by contacting: | | |
| | Address: | | | | |
| | Phone: | | | | |
| | Fax: | | | | |
| | Fax. TTY: | | | | |
| E | Email: | | | | |
| C | Other: | | | | |
| ls a cost-b | enefit analysis required under RCW 34.05 | 5.328? | | | |
| 🗆 Yes | : A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be | obtained by | contacting: | | |
| N | lame: | | | | |
| A | Address: | | | | |
| | Phone: | | | | |
| - | ax: | | | | |
| | TY: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| | king does not qualify as significant legislative | | d under RCW 34.05.325 because the subject of proposed rule requiring a cost benefit analysis under RCW | | |
| | y Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a | Small Busin | ess Economic Impact Statement: | | |
| | This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s): | | | | |
| | | | RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being | | |
| adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. | | | | | |
| | d description: | | | | |
| | □ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule. | | | | |
| □ This rul | □ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum. | | | | |
| | | omat under F | P(W 10.95,025/2) Check all that apply | | |
| | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex | • | | | |
| | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) | \boxtimes | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) | | |
| | (Internal government operations) | | (Dictated by statute) | | |
| | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) | | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) | | |
| | (Incorporation by reference) | | (Set or adjust fees) | | |
| | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) | | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) | | |
| | (Correct or clarify language) | | ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process | | |
| | | | requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) | | |
| \Box This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW. | | | | | |
| Explanation | n of exemptions, if necessary: | | | | |
| | COMPLETE THIS SECTI | ON ONLY IF | NO EXEMPTION APPLIES | | |
| If the prope | | | costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses? | | |
| 🛛 No | Briefly summarize the agency's analysis sl | howing how o | costs were calculated. | | |
| propose with cor propose | ed rule compliance. Agencies are not require mpliance. Fines, penalties, or defense costs ed rules are considered to be indirect costs th | d under chap associated w nat are not as | ts imposed on businesses and costs associated with ter 19.85 RCW to consider indirect costs unassociated ith enforcement actions for failure to comply with associated with compliance. Here, the agency considered tive, solvent, ingredient, or compound used in the | | |

production and processing of marijuana products is determined to pose a risk to public health or youth access, and the Board prohibits its presence in the I-502 market.

LCB applied the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes 111419 for marijuana grown under cover, 111998 for marijuana grown in an open field, and 424590 for marijuana processors. The industry descriptions for each of these codes is presented in the table below, and can be accessed at https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2017/econ/2017-naics-manual.html.

LCB applied a default cost when analyzing whether the rules would have a disproportionate impact on small businesses as defined in RCW 19.85.020(3). This reflects 4 hours of administrative time at \$50 per hour, for a total of \$200. The agency assumes this activity would include activities such as completing and submitting forms to LCB, and telephone calls.

| 2017 Industry NAICS Code | Estimated Cost of Compliance | Industry Description | NAICS Code Title | Minor Cost Estimate - Max of 1%Pay, 0.3%Rev, and \$100 | 1% of Avg Annual Payroll . (0.01*AvgPay) | 0.3% of Avg Annual Gross Business Income (0.003*AvgGBI) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| 111419 | \$ 200.00 | Marijuana Producers | Other Food Crops Grown Under Cover | \$2,349.42 | \$2,349.42 2018 Dataset pulled from USBLS | \$2,324.68 2018 Dataset pulled from DOR |
| 111998 | \$ 200.00 | Marijuana Producers | All Other Miscellaneous Crop Farming | \$9,125.03 | \$9,125.03 2018 Dataset pulled from USBLS | \$2,834.77 2018 Dataset pulled from DOR |
| 424590 | \$200.00 | Marijuana Processors | Marijuana merchant wholesalers | \$6,733.79 | \$3,864.24 2018 Dataset pulled from USBLS | \$6,733.79 2018 Dataset pulled from DOR |

These calculations indicate that estimated administrative costs do not exceed minor cost thresholds, and will not impose more than minor costs on those who must comply with the rules.

□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:

| Date: October 13, 2021 | Signature: |
|------------------------|------------|
| Name: David Postman | () M Com |
| Title: Board Chair | |

WAC 314-55-560 Evaluation of additives, solvents, ingredients or compounds used in the production of marijuana products. (1) Purpose and scope. The purpose of this section is to establish a procedure for the board to evaluate additives, solvents, ingredients or compounds used in the production of marijuana products, as those products are defined in chapter 69.50 RCW.

(2) **Definitions.** For purposes of this chapter, the following definitions apply unless the context clearly states otherwise:

(a) "Additive" means any substance the use of which results or may reasonably be expected to result, directly or indirectly, in its becoming a component or otherwise affecting the characteristics of any marijuana product;

(b) "Compound" means a chemical substance composed from more than one separate chemical element;

(c) "Ingredient" means something that enters into a mixture or is a component part of any combination or mixture;

(d) "Nonmarijuana additive" means a substance or a group of substances that are derived from a source other than marijuana.

(i) "Nonmarijuana additive" includes, but is not limited to, purified compounds, essential oils, oleoresins, essences, or extractives, protein hydrolysates, distillates, or isolates;

(ii) "Nonmarijuana additive" does not include plant material that is in the whole, broken, or ground form.

(e) "Solvent" means a substance capable of being used in dissolving a solute with the exception of water.

(3) **Procedure**.

(a) The board may prohibit the use of any additive, solvent, ingredient or compound in the production of marijuana products that may pose a risk to public health or youth access including, but not limited to:

(i) Verifiable case report data;

(ii) Other local, state and federal agency findings, reports, etc.;

(iii) A product or substance that is the subject of a recall under WAC 314-55-225;

(iv) Any other information sourced and confirmed from reliable entities.

(b) The board may prohibit the use of a product or substance by adoption of emergency or permanent rules. The board will provide notices of rule making consistent with the requirements of chapter 34.05 RCW.

(c) The board will maintain a list of prohibited substances prohibited by emergency or permanent rules on its website.

(d) The list of prohibited substances will be reviewed on at least an annual basis.

(e) Prohibited substances may be removed from the list of prohibited substances if the board determines, after a review consistent with (a)(i) through (iv) of this subsection, that it no longer poses a risk to public health or youth access.