CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: June 12, 2019

WSR 19-13-039

TIME: 12:17 PM

PROPOSED	RULE	MAKING
----------	------	--------



## CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington State Liquor & Cannabis Board					
⊠ Original Notice					
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR					
□ Continuance of WSR					
☑ Preproposal State	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR <u>19-03-168</u>	; or		
Expedited Rule Ma	kingProp	osed notice was filed as WSR	; or		
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or					
Proposal is exemp	t under RC	w			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 314-11-025 What are the forms of acceptable identification?					
Hearing location(s):					
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:		
August 7, 2019	10:00 am	1025 Union Ave, Olympia, WA			
August 7, 2015	10.00 am	98504			
Date of intended ado	ption: On or	r after August 21, 2019 (Note: This	is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)		
Submit written comm	ents to:				
Name: Janette Benhar	n				
Address: PO Box 430	80, Olympia	, WA 98504			
Email: rules@lcb.wa.g	OV				
Fax: 360-664-9689					
Other:					
By (date) <u>August 7, 20</u>	<u>19</u>				
Assistance for perso	ns with disa	abilities:			
Contact <u>Claris Nnanab</u>	u, ADA Coo	<u>rdinator, Human Resources</u>			
Phone: 360-664-1642					
Fax: 360-664-9689					
TTY: 7-1-1 or 1-800-833-6388					
Email: Claris.Nnanabu@lcb.wa.gov					
Other:					
By (date) <u>July 31, 2019</u>					
<b>Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:</b> The revised rule will allow consideration of tribal enrollment cards from federally recognized Indian tribes located outside of Washington as acceptable forms of identification to verify a person's age for the purpose of selling, serving, or allowing a person to possess or consume alcohol. Revisions to the rule add the process for consideration of tribal enrollment cards and make other clarifying and technical changes.					

<b>Reasons supporting proposal:</b> The proposed rule changes clarify the acceptable forms of identification, what is required on the identification, update language, and provide clear guidance on requirements and processes.						
Statutory author	Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 66.08.030 and chapter 66.44 RCW					
Statute being im	plemented:					
Is rule necessary	y because of a:					
Federal Lav	w?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No			
Federal Co	ourt Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No			
State Court	t Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No			
If yes, CITATION:	:					
matters: None		, as to statutory language, implementation,				
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board		<ul><li>□ Private</li><li>□ Public</li><li>⊠ Governmental</li></ul>				
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:					
	Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting: Coordinator	Janette Benham, Rules	3000 Pacific Ave SE, Olympia, WA After 7/1/19: 1025 Union Ave, Olympia, WA	360-664-1760			
Implementation: Director	Becky Smith, Licensing	3000 Pacific Ave SE, Olympia, WA After 7/1/19: 1025 Union Ave, Olympia, WA	360-664-1615			
Enforcement: Chief	Justin Nordhorn, Enforcement	3000 Pacific Ave SE, Olympia, WA After 7/1/19: 1025 Union Ave, Olympia, WA	360-664-1726			
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:						
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?						
☐ Yes: A pro Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:		ay be obtained by contacting:				
☑ No: Please explain: A cost benefit analysis is not required because the subject of proposed rulemaking does not qualify as a significant legislative rule or other rule requiring a cost benefit analysis under RCW 34.05.328(5).						

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sn	nall Busin	ess Economic Impact Statement:			
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be exempt</b> from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):					
<ul> <li>This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal staturegulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply adopted.</li> <li>Citation and description:</li> <li>This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposal.</li> </ul>	with, and o with, and o npt becaus proposed r	lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not e the agency has completed the pilot rule process ule.			
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem adopted by a referendum.	npt under tl	ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	not under F	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
		requirements for applying to an agency for a license			
		or permit)			
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exem	npt under F	2CW			
Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:					
COMPLETE THIS SECTION If the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-t					
In the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-t		costs (as defined by RCW 19.65.020(2)) of businesses?			
<ul> <li>No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. <u>There are no costs or reporting requirements associated with this rule. The proposed rule allows consideration of tribal enrollment cards from federally recognized tribes located outside of Washington as acceptable forms of identification. The proposed rule also provides clarifying and technical updates. The proposed rule does not impose any additional requirements or costs on licensees or other businesses.</u></li> <li>Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:</li> </ul>					
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:					
Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	0				
Date: June 12, 2019	Signat				
Name: Jane Rushford		Jon Findly al			
Title: Chair					

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-08-094, filed 4/4/18, effective 5/5/18)

WAC 314-11-025 What are the ((forms of)) acceptable forms of identification? (1) ((Following are the)) Acceptable forms of identification ((that are acceptable)) to verify a person's age for the purpose of selling, serving, or allowing a person to possess or consume alcohol must include:

(a) The identification holder's photo;

(b) The identification holder's date of birth; and

(c) The identification holder's signature, except on federally issued identification where a visible signature is not required.

(2) If the identification has an expiration date, it cannot be used to verify age after the expiration date.

(3) Acceptable forms of identification include:

(a) <u>A</u> driver's license, instruction permit, or identification card of any state,  $((\frac{\Theta r}{P}))$  province of Canada,  $((\frac{from a}{P}))$  U.S. territory or the District of Columbia, or <u>an</u> "identicard" issued by the Washington state department of licensing per RCW 46.20.117;

(b) <u>A</u> United States armed forces identification card issued to active duty, reserve, and retired personnel and the personnel's dependents ((, which may include an embedded, digital signature in lieu of a visible signature));

(c) A passport, passport card, or NEXUS card;

(d) <u>A</u> Merchant Marine identification card issued by the United States Coast Guard; ((and)) <u>or</u>

(e) <u>An enrollment card issued by the governing authority of a</u> federally recognized Indian tribe ((<del>located in Washington</del>)), if the enrollment card incorporates <u>reasonable</u> security features ((<del>comparable</del>)) <u>sufficient to deter counterfeiting</u>, <u>which may include features</u> <u>similar</u> to those ((<del>implemented</del>)) <u>used</u> by the department of licensing for <u>standard</u> Washington driver's licenses.

((<del>(2)</del> If the identification document has an expiration date, a person may not use the document after the expiration date to verify his or her age.))

(i) An enrollment card must be approved by the board's enforcement division prior to use as an acceptable form of identification. The tribe may request approval by submitting the following for review and inspection:

(A) A letter requesting approval and describing the security features of the enrollment card;

(B) A physical sample of an enrollment card; and

(C) For tribes located outside of Washington, a contact phone number where enforcement officers may call at any time to verify the validity of the enrollment card.

(ii) After review and inspection, the board's designee will send a letter approving or denying the enrollment card as an acceptable form of identification.

(iii) The board may rescind approval if the enrollment card no longer meets the requirements of this section.