Date: May 8, 2024

To: David Postman, Board Chair

Ollie Garrett, Board Member Jim Vollendroff, Board Member

From: Daniel Jacobs, Policy and Rules Coordinator

Copy: Will Lukela, Agency Director

Toni Hood, Agency Deputy Director

Chandra Wax, Director of Enforcement and Education

Becky Smith, Licensing Director

Justin Nordhorn, Policy and External Affairs Director

Cassidy West, Policy & Rules Manager

Subject: Approval to file a pre-proposal statement of inquiry (CR 101)

regarding Flexible payment terms for cannabis.

The Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (Board) is considering amending WAC 314-55-115(1) and repealing or creating other sections of Title 314 WAC to allow cannabis licensees purchasing cannabis from other licensees greater flexibility when paying via check than is currently allowed in rule. The Board approved a petition in March 2023 to consider this topic.

Process

The Policy and Rules Coordinator requests approval to file the pre-proposal statement of inquiry (CR 101) for the rule making described above. A CR 101 Memorandum was presented at the Board meeting on May 8, 2024 and is attached to this order.

If approved for filing, the <u>tentative timeline</u> for the rule making process is outlined below:

May 8, 2024	Board is asked to approve filing pre-proposal statement of inquiry (CR 101). CR 101 is filed with the Office of the Code Reviser. Webpage is updated and notice circulated by GovDelivery distribution list. Informal comment period begins.
June 3, 2024	Stakeholder Survey sent out with Draft rule language.
June 5, 2024	Notice is published in the Washington State Register under WSR 24-11.
June 20, 2024	Informal comment period ends.

July 8, 2024	Survey deadline
July 17, 2024	Board is asked to approve filing proposed rules (CR 102). CR 102 is filed with the Office of the Code Reviser. Webpage is updated and notice circulated by the rules distribution list. Formal comment period begins.
August 7, 2024	Notice is published in the Washington State Register under WSR 24-15.
August 28, 2024	Public hearing is held and formal comment period ends.
September 11, 2024	Board is asked to adopt rules if no substantive changes are made (CR 103). Concise Explanatory Statement is provided to individuals offering written or oral comment at the public hearing or during the formal comment period, consistent with RCW 34.05.325. CR 103 and adopted rules are filed with the Office of the Code Reviser. Webpage is updated and notice circulated by GovDelivery distribution list.
October 2, 2024	Notice is published in the Washington State Register under WSR 24-19
October 12, 2024	Rules are effective 31 days after filing unless otherwise specified. See RCW 34.05.380(2).

Approve	Disapprove		
		David Postman, Chair	Date
Approve	Disapprove	Ollie Garrett, Board Member	Date
Approve	Disapprove	Jim Vollendroff, Board Member	 Date

Attachments: CR 101 Memorandum

March 29, 2023 Response to Petition for Rulemaking



CR 101 Memorandum

Re: Cannabis Payment Flexibility Rulemaking

Date: May 8, 2024

Presented by: Daniel Jacobs, Policy and Rules Coordinator

Background

In March 2023, the Liquor and Cannabis Board (Board) <u>approved</u> a rulemaking petition to consider amending <u>WAC 314-55-115(1)</u> to allow the mailing of checks up to three after delivery, for transactions where cannabis licensees purchase cannabis from other licensees.

Currently, rules provide that if payment is made by check, it must be provided prior to or at the time of delivery. Other payment methods identified in WAC 314-55-115 allow payment to be initiated up to one business day post-delivery and require payment be complete no later than 5 business days post-delivery.

Reasons Why Rulemaking Is Needed

Rulemaking is needed to consider amending WAC 314-55-115(1), and amending, repealing, or creating new sections of Title 314 WAC as necessary to allow cannabis licensees purchasing cannabis from other cannabis licensees greater flexibility when paying via check. The cannabis industry is limited in how financial transactions can occur due to Federal prohibition.

Process

The rulemaking process begins by announcing the Board's intent to consider changes to existing rules, adding new rule sections, or both, by filing a preproposal statement of inquiry (CR 101) form with the Office of the Code Reviser. This allows staff, stakeholders, industry partners, and all members of the authorizing environment to begin discussing proposed rule changes.

At this stage of the rulemaking process, no proposed language is offered. Any interested party may comment on this possible rulemaking during the designated comment period. Notice will be sent to all who have indicated that they want to receive notice of rule activity related to this preproposal statement of inquiry. The notice will identify the public comment period and where comments can be sent.

CODE REVISER USE ONLY



PREPROPOSAL STATEMENT OF INQUIRY

CR-101 (October 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.310)

Do NOT use for expedited ru	lle making				
Agency: Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board	<u>'</u>				
Subject of possible rule making: Title 314 WAC. The Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB) is considering amending WAC 314-55-115(1) and amending, repealing or creating other sections of Title 314 WAC as necessary to allow cannabis licensees who buy cannabis from other cannabis licensees more flexibility than currently exists when paying via check. Rules currently require the check to be provided prior to or at the time of delivery.					
Statutes authorizing the agency to adopt rules on this su	ubject: RCW 69.50.328, RCW 69.50.342				
	is subject and the process coordinating the rule with these				
agencies: None.					
Process for developing new rule (check all that apply): ☐ Negotiated rule making ☐ Pilot rule making ☐ Agency study ☐ Other (describe) Collaborative rule making.					
Interested parties can participate in the decision to adop	ot the new rule and formulation of the proposed rule before				
publication by contacting:	• •				
	(If necessary)				
Name: Daniel Jacobs, Policy and Rules Coordinator	Name:				
Address: PO Box 43080, Olympia, WA 98504	Address:				
Phone: 360-480-1238	Phone:				
Fax: 360-704-5027	Fax:				
TTY:	TTY:				
Email: rules@lcb.wa.gov	Email:				
Web site: lcb.wa.gov Other:	Web site: Other:				
Additional comments: Interested persons can participate in the submitting written comments, and are encouraged to sign up https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/WALCB/subscriber/ropportunities will be emailed via GovDelivery and posted to the submitted of the submitted in the	o for the interested parties list (GovDelivery) at new. Rulemaking notices and stakeholder engagement the LCB website at lcb.wa.gov.				
Date: May 8, 2024	Signature:				
Name: David Postman	- Place signature here				
Title: Chair					

Topic: Petition for Adoption, Amendment, or Repeal of a State

Administrative Rule - (WAC 314-55-115(1)) related

mailing checks to purchase cannabis.

Date: March 29, 2023

Presented by: Cassidy West, Policy and Rules Coordinator

Background

On February 6, 2023, Micah Sherman submitted a petition for adoption, amendment, or repeal of a state administrative rule. The petitioner requests that the agency amend WAC 314-55-115(1) to allow checks used as payment for cannabis transactions between licensees be mailed and postmarked within three business days of delivery, including the date of delivery. Under the current rule, payment is required prior to or at the time of delivery.

List rule number (WAC), if known: 314-55	-115 (1)	
☐ I am requesting the following change:	Checks, mailed and post marked within 3 business days of delivery, including day of delivery	
★ This change is needed because:	Currently checks are not allowed to be mailed and it causes unnecessary logistical issues. With this simple change we can allow a COD system to continue without the check being required to be handed to the delivery driver, who is often a 3rd party.	
∑ The effect of this rule change will be:	to allow checks to be mailed within a few days of the delivery.	
☐ The rule is not clearly or simply stated	;	

Issue

Whether the Board should initiate the rulemaking process to consider amending WAC 314-55-115(1) to allow checks used as payment for cannabis be mailed and postmarked within three business days of delivery, including the date of delivery.

Authority

Laws

<u>RCW 69.50.328</u> provides that a producer and processor are prohibited from having a direct or indirect financial interest in a licensed cannabis retailer.

<u>RCW 69.50.342</u> authorizes the board to adopt rules that are consistent with of the provisions of chapter 3, Laws of 2023, or adopt rules that are consistent with the spirit of the law when the board deems that it is necessary or advisable.

Rules

<u>WAC 314-55-018(1)</u> provides that industry members and/or licensees are prohibited from entering into an agreement, written or unwritten, which causes undue influence over another licensee and/or industry member, and that business transactions made in accordance with usual and common business practices are not subject to this rule.

<u>WAC 314-55-115</u> provides that cannabis licensees may only use cash, or other form of payment that the board recognizes as cash, and that payment is required prior to or at the time of delivery.

WAC 314-55-115(1) provides that the board recognizes checks as a form of cash payment for purposes of the rule.

<u>WAC 314-55-115(4)</u> provides that in transactions in which prepaid accounts are used, both parties are required to keep accurate accounting records to ensure a cash deposit is not overextended, which is considered to be an extension of credit.

<u>WAC 314-55-115(5)</u> provides that any attempt by a cannabis licensee to delay payment on money transmitter transactions is considered to be an unlawful extension of credit.

WAC 314-55-115(6) provides that any transaction reported as having nonsufficient funds will be considered an extension of credit.

Analysis

Consistent with RCW 69.50.342, the Board has statutory authority to adopt regulations concerning financial transactions between licensees, including the method of payment that licensees are required to use to purchase cannabis from another licensee. The petitioner requests the Board to amend WAC 314-55-115(1) to allow checks used for purchasing cannabis be mailed and postmarked prior to or at the time of delivery.

WAC 314-55-115 provides that cash, or another method of payment that the Board recognizes as a cash payment, must be used in the transaction, and that licensees must pay for cannabis prior to or at the time of delivery. Checks, prepaid accounts, credit/debit cards, electronic funds transfer (EFT) and transactions using a money transmitter are considered to be cash payments for purposes of the rule. Payments made using cash, checks, or prepaid accounts are required prior to or at the time of delivery. However, payments using credit/debit cards, electronic transfer funds (EFT), and money transmitters may be initiated up to one business day following the delivery. If a transaction is reported as having nonsufficient funds, the purchaser must pay the full amount of the transaction to the seller by 3:00 pm on the first business day following the delivery. Until the NSF transaction is paid, the cannabis licensee who received the transaction may not deliver cannabis to the purchaser, and it is the responsibility of the purchaser to not receive additional cannabis from another cannabis licensee.

Any transaction reported as having NSF is considered to be an extension of credit. If a prepaid account is used and a cash deposit is overextended, it is also considered to be an extension of credit (WAC 314-55-115(4)). Furthermore, if a cannabis licensee makes any attempt to delay payment on a money transmitter transaction for any period of time it is considered to be an attempt to purchase products on credit (WAC 314-55-115(5)).

Divisional, Interagency, Intergovernmental, DEIB, Social Equity and Other Impacts

Divisional

Licensing

Accepting this rule petition may conflict with RCW 69.50.328, which states "Neither a licensed cannabis producer nor a licensed cannabis processor shall have a direct or indirect financial interest in a licensed cannabis retailer." The agency has defined an extension of credit as direct financial interest WAC 314-55-018. For similar reasons, liquor licenses are required to make payment prior to or at the time of delivery.

Furthermore, WAC 314-55-115 provides alternatives for payment in addition to checks, including cash, credit/debit cards, electronic funds transfer, prepaid accounts and money transmitters.

Enforcement & Education

The topic of direct or indirect financial interests within RCW 69.50.328 are broadly construed. This law establishes the boundaries for the tier system for the cannabis industry. RCW 69.50.585 was created as a carveout to RCW 69.50.328. The types of fairly minor promotions activities listed in RCW 69.50.585 were considered indirect financial interest between the industry tiers.

It is our Cannabis staff's belief that 69.50.585 sought a carveout to tier restrictions, akin to carveouts existing in liquor. The liquor law equivalent to RCW 69.50.585 is RCW 66.28.310. Liquor has a whole host of carveouts in statute, especially after I-1183. To best understand how broadly construed the currently existing cannabis tier restrictions are, I think it's helpful to compare RCW 69.50.328 (cannabis) to RCW 66.28.280, RCW 66.28.290, and RCW 66.28.295. The lack of carveouts in RCW 69.50.328 is glaring, especially considering that the language in the statute was directly informed by liquor law.

Another significant detail is that RCW 66.28.305 specifically calls out money advances (credit) as a violation tier restrictions in liquor. All that to say, we believe that allowing what Micah Sherman is requesting would require a legislative rather than a rule change. He is requesting payment privileges that exceed those allowed under liquor law – RCW 66.28.270.

Finance

The finance division did not identify any impacts.

Information Technology/Infrastructure

The IT division did not identify any impacts.

Public Health/Prevention

The Public Health/Prevention division did not identify any impact or specific comments, except to reiterate the concern about undue influence and the potential for that impact how certain products are marketed and sold onsite.

Department of Health

The requested rule revision would not impact Department of Health operations, rules or standards.

Labor & Industries

The requested rule revision would not impact Department of Labor & Industries operations, rules, or standards

Intergovernmental

Tribes

The requested rule revision would not impact tribal government.

DEIB, Social Equity

Providing additional flexibility for cannabis licensees to conduct business transactions in accordance with usual and common business practices supports the agency's overarching goal of reducing or eliminating barriers or existing disparities in the application and operationalization of the current rule. While this policy shift may provide current licensees with some additional flexibility, it also helps to dismantle an existing structure that may have a disproportionate or undesirable impact on new social equity licensees.

Petition Response Options

Option 1: Deny the petition.

- <u>Risk</u>: The logistical issues related to mailing checks in advance of the delivery that
 were cited by the petitioner will not be mitigated. Additionally, cannabis businesses
 will not have the flexibility to conduct business operations that are consistent with
 usual and common business practices.
- Benefit: Maintain the status quo.

Option 2: Accept the petition and agree to amend WAC-314-55-115(1) and allow checks for purchasing cannabis be mailed and postmarked within three business days of delivery, including the date of delivery.

- <u>Risk</u>: It is possible that even if the Board approves the petition and the agency enters rule inquiry, that no rule change will occur, or change will occur that may not necessarily align with the requested rule change. This can occur for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to stakeholder feedback during rule workshops and feedback received on the CR 101 if filed.
- <u>Benefit</u>: Cannabis businesses will have additional flexibility to conduct business in a manner that is consistent with common and usual business practices, which supports the agency's overarching social equity goal.

Board Action

After considering the various options identified by Director's Office staff, the Board accepts/denies the petition for rulemaking received from Micah Sherman on February 6, 2023.

X Accept Deny	DAR	3.29.2023
	David Postman, Chair	Date
Accept Deny	Not Present	3.29.2023
AcceptDelly	Ollie Garrett, Board Member	<u>3.29.2023</u> Date
X Accept Deny	Junff	3.29.2023
	Jim Vollendroff, Board Member	Date

Attachments

1. Email from Micah Sherman received February 6, 2023, containing rule petition.