



Washington State
Liquor and Cannabis Board

Date: May 26, 2021

To: David Postman, Board Chair
 Ollie Garrett, Board Member
 Russ Hauge, Board Member

From: Kathy Hoffman, Policy and Rules Manager

Copy: Rick Garza, Agency Director
 Chandra Brady, Director of Enforcement and Education
 Becky Smith, Licensing Director
 Justin Nordhorn, Policy and External Affairs Director

Subject: CR 102 Concerning Marijuana Producer and Marijuana Retailer Compliance with Washington State Board of Health prohibition of vitamin E acetate.

The Policy and Rules Manager requests approval to file a rule proposal (CR 102) for the rule making described in the CR 102 Memorandum attached to this order and presented at the Board meeting on May 26, 2021.

If approved for filing, the tentative timeline for this rule proposal is as follows:

May 26, 2021	Board is asked to approve filing proposed rules (CR 102). CR 102 filed with the Office of the Code Reviser. LCB webpage updated and notice circulated by GovDelivery distribution list. Formal comment period begins.
June 16, 2021	Notice published in the Washington State Register.
July 7, 2021	Public hearing held and formal comment period ends.
No earlier than July 21, 2021	Board is asked to adopt rules if no substantive changes are made (CR 103). Concise Explanatory Statement provided to individuals who offered written or oral comment at the public hearing, and during the formal comment period, consistent with RCW 34.05.325. CR 103 and adopted rules are filed with the Office of the Code Reviser. LCB webpage updated and notice circulated by GovDelivery distribution list.
August 21, 2021	Rules are effective 31 days after filing (unless otherwise specified), consistent with RCW 34.05.380(2).

Approve Disapprove



David Postman, Chair

5.26.2021
Date

Approve Disapprove



Ollie Garrett, Board Member

5.26.2021
Date

Approve Disapprove



Russ Hauge, Board Member

5.26.2021
Date

Attachments: CR 102 Memorandum



CR 102 Memorandum

Rules Concerning Marijuana Producer and Marijuana Retailer Compliance with Washington State Board of Health Prohibition of Vitamin E Acetate.

Date: March 26, 2021
Presented by: Kathy Hoffman, Policy and Rules Manger

Background

The Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (Board) proposes rule amendments that would allow the Board to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor or marijuana retailer failing to comply with the provisions of WAC 246-80-021, concerning the sale of vitamin E acetate.

EVALI Outbreak

In July 2019, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), state and local health departments, and other clinical and public health partners began investigating outbreaks of e-cigarette or vaping associated lung injury (EVALI). In September 2019, the CDC activated its Emergency Operations Center to aid in the investigation of the multi-state outbreak. As of its final update on February 18, 2020, the CDC has identified two thousand eight hundred seven confirmed cases reported across fifty states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the US Virgin Islands, including sixty-eight deaths confirmed in twenty-nine states and the District of Columbia. Twenty-seven cases of EVALI, including two deaths, have been reported in Washington State.

As part of the investigation into the multistate outbreak of EVALI, the CDC conducted laboratory tests of forty-eight samples of fluid collected from the lungs of patients with vaping-associated lung disease from ten states. An article released on November 8, 2019, showed that all of the samples contained vitamin E acetate, providing direct evidence of vitamin E acetate at the primary site of injury in the lungs. Vitamin E acetate is a chemical that is used as an additive or thickening ingredient in vapor products. The CDC has not determined that vitamin E acetate is present in only THC vapor products or only non-THC vapor products. THC was identified in eighty-two percent of the samples, and nicotine

was identified in sixty-two percent of the samples. A further study found ninety-four percent of EVALI patients tested had vitamin E acetate in the bronchoalveolar lavage but no samples from a health comparison group indicated evidence of vitamin E. Two samples showed presence of other toxicants (one each) in the EVALI group but did not provide sufficient evidence to identify another toxicant as the source of disease. The CDC has identified vitamin E acetate as a chemical strongly linked to EVALI and recommends that vitamin E acetate not be added to vapor products.

Washington State Board of Health Response

Based on these findings, the Washington State Board of Health (SBOH) adopted two emergency rules prohibiting the use of vitamin E acetate by a person licensed under chapter 69.50 or 70.345 RCW. The first was filed on March 19, 2020 as WSR 20-08-007, and the second was filed as WSR 20-15-117 on July 17, 2020. At the same time, the SBOH began rulemaking to make the emergency prohibition permanent on May 6, 2020, and the permanent prohibition of vitamin E acetate became effective November 15, 2020 as WSR 20-23-006, codified as WAC 246-80-021.

LCB Response

Consistent with the statutory authority provided to the Board by House Bill (HB) 2826 (Chapter 133, Laws of 2020) concerning marijuana vapor products, now codified in RCW 69.50.101, RCW 69.50.327, RCW 69.50.342, and upon the determination of the SBOH that vitamin E acetate is a drug of concern and should be prohibited, the Board adopted an emergency rule on May 27, 2020 as WAC 314-55-1065 under WSR 20-12-035, prohibiting the sale of vitamin E acetate.

Emergency amendments concerning WAC 314-55-077 and -079 were adopted on May 27, 2020 allowing the Board to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor or marijuana retailer failing to comply with the provisions of WAC 314-55-1065. These emergency amendments were extended on September 16, 2020 and on January 6, 2021.

On April 28, 2021, the Board rescinded its emergency prohibition of vitamin E acetate. Since the SBOH prohibition was permanent, the emergency rule was no longer needed. The Board also rescinded emergency amendments to WAC 314-55-077 and -079 referencing WAC 314-55-1065.

Following this, the Board filed new emergency amendments to WAC 314-55-077 and -079 referencing the permanent SBOH vitamin E acetate as WSR 21-10-044.

Stakeholder Engagement

Emergency rules allowing the Board to take action if a licensed marijuana producer or retailer sells a product containing vitamin E acetate have been in place continuously for a year. There have been no petitions to rescind the emergency rules, and no comment has been received regarding these rules. For these reasons, the agency did not host any virtual Listen and Learn sessions regarding the substance of these proposed rules.

Estimated Costs of Compliance

Agencies are required to consider costs **imposed** on business and costs associated with compliance with proposed rules. Agencies are **not** required under chapter 19.85 RCW to consider indirect costs not associated with compliance. Fines, penalties, or defense costs associated with enforcement actions for failure to comply with the proposed rules are considered to be indirect costs that are not associated with compliance. As a result, these costs were not analyzed. However, an analysis of potential administrative costs was conducted, and is described more fully in the CR 102 form. That analysis indicates that these rules are not anticipated to result in more than minor costs on businesses as defined in RCW 19.85.020(2).

Rule Necessity

Consistent with RCW 69.50.342(1)(m), the Board is authorized to prohibit the use of any type of additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound in the production and processing of marijuana products, including marijuana vapor products, when the Board determines, following consultation with the department of health or any other authority the board deems appropriate, that the device, additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound may pose a risk to public health or youth access.

Emergency rules regarding the Board's ability to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor or retailer failing to comply with prohibition of the use of vitamin E acetate have been in place continuously since May 27, 2020. The proposed rule amendments are necessary to provide permanent, rather than temporary enforcement continuity of WAC 246-80-021 concerning the permanent prohibition of vitamin E acetate.

Description of Rule Changes

Amended section. WAC 314-55-077: The proposed rule amendment would allow the Board to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor for failing to comply with the provisions of WAC 246-80-021 concerning the sale of vitamin E acetate.

Amended section. WAC 314-55-079: The proposed rule amendment would allow the Board to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor for failing to comply with the provisions of WAC 246-80-021 concerning the sale of vitamin E acetate.

PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board

Original Notice

Supplemental Notice to WSR

Continuance of WSR _____

Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 21-08-035 ; or

Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or

Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or

Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 314-55-077 – Marijuana processor license – Privileges, requirements and fees; WAC 314-55-079 – Marijuana retailer license – Privileges, requirements and fees. The Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (Board) proposes rule amendments that would allow the Board to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor or retailer failing to comply with the provisions of WAC 246-80-021, concerning the sale of vitamin E acetate. No other amendments or revisions to these sections are being considered at this time.

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
July 7, 2021	10:00 am	In response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) public health emergency, the Board will not provide a physical location for this hearing to promote social distancing and the safety of the citizens of Washington state. A virtual public hearing, without a physical meeting space, will be held instead. Board members, presenters, and staff will all participate remotely. The public may login using a computer or device, or call-in using a phone, to listen to the meeting through the Webex application. The public may provide verbal comments during the specified public comment and rules hearing segments.	For more information about Board meetings, please visit https://lcb.wa.gov/Boardmeetings/Board_meetings .

Date of intended adoption: Not earlier than July 14, 2021. (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: Rules Coordinator

Address: 1025 Union Avenue, Olympia, WA 98501

Email: rules@lcb.wa.gov

Fax: 360-704-5027

Other:

By (date) July 7, 2021

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Claris Nhanabu, ADA Coordinator, Human Resources

Phone: 360-664-1642
Fax: 360-664-9689
TTY: 7-1-1 or 1-800-833-6388
Email: Claris.Nhanabu@lcb.wa.gov
Other:
By (date) July 1, 2021

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of this proposal is to make current emergency rules, most recently adopted on April 28, 2021 as WSR 21-10-044, permanent. The emergency rules reference the Washington State Board of Health (SBOH) prohibition of the use of vitamin E acetate by any person licensed under chapter 69.50 RCW. WAC 314-55-077 and WAC 314-55-079 would be permanently amended to reference the permanent prohibition of vitamin E acetate as described in WAC 246-80-021.

Reasons supporting proposal: Consistent with RCW 69.50.342(1)(m), the Board is authorized to prohibit the use of any type of additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound in the production and processing of marijuana products, including marijuana vapor products, when the board determines, following consultation with the department of health or any other authority the board deems appropriate, that the device, additive, solvent, ingredient, or compound may pose a risk to public health or youth access. Emergency rules regarding the Board's ability to take disciplinary action against any licensed marijuana processor or retailer failing to comply with prohibition of the use of vitamin E acetate have been in place since September 16, 2020 as WSR 20-19-080, by extension on January 6, 2021 as WSR 21-02-093, and finally on April 28, 2021. The proposed rule amendments are necessary to provide enforcement continuity of WAC 246-80-021 concerning the permanent prohibition of vitamin E acetate.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 69.50.342; RCW 69.50.345.

Statute being implemented: N/A

Is rule necessary because of a:

Federal Law? Yes No
Federal Court Decision? Yes No
State Court Decision? Yes No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None.

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board Private
 Public
 Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting: Rules Manager	Katherine Hoffman, Policy and	1025 Union Avenue, Olympia WA, 98501	360-664-1622
Implementation:	Chandra Brady, Director of Enforcement and Education	1025 Union Avenue, Olympia, WA. 98501	360-664-1726
Enforcement:	Chandra Brady, Director of Enforcement and Education	1025 Union Avenue, Olympia, WA, 98501	360-664-1726

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? Yes No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:

Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

No: Please explain: A cost benefit analysis is not required under RCW 34.05.328 because the subject of the proposed rulemaking does not qualify as a significant legislative rule or other rule requiring a cost benefit analysis under RCW 34.05.328(5)(c).

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)
(Internal government operations) | <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
(Dictated by statute) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)
(Incorporation by reference) | <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
(Set or adjust fees) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)
(Correct or clarify language) | <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) |

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW .

Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES

If the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated.

Agencies are required to consider costs **imposed** on business and costs associated with compliance with proposed rules. Agencies are **not** required under chapter 19.85 RCW to consider indirect costs not associated with compliance. Fines, penalties, or defense costs associated with enforcement actions for failure to comply with the proposed rules are considered to be indirect costs that are not associated with compliance. Here, the agency considered potential **administrative** costs that a licensee may incur for failure to comply with the proposed rules.

LCB applied the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes 453998 for marijuana stores, both medicinal and recreational, and 424590 for marijuana processors. The industry descriptions for each of these codes is presented in the table below, and can be accessed at <https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2017/econ/2017-naics-manual.html>.

LCB applied a default cost when analyzing whether the rules would have a disproportionate impact on small businesses as defined in RCW 19.85.020(3). This reflects 4 hours of administrative time at \$50 per hour, for a total of \$200. The agency assumes this activity would include activities such as completing and submitting forms to LCB, and telephone calls.

2017 Industry NAICS Code	Estimated Cost of Compliance	Industry Description	NAICS Code Title	Minor Cost Estimate	1% of Avg Annual Payroll (Threshold)	0.3% of Avg Annual Gross Business Income (Threshold)
453998	\$200	Marijuana stores, medicinal and recreational	All Other Miscellaneous Store Retailers (except Tobacco Stores)	\$3,615.53	\$3,024.31 2018 Dataset pulled from ESD	\$3,615.53 2018 Dataset pulled from DOR
424590	\$200	Marijuana merchant wholesalers	Other Farm Product Raw Material Merchant Wholesalers	\$6,733.79	\$3,684.24 2018 Dataset pulled from USBLS	\$6,733.79 2018 Dataset pulled from DOR

As the table demonstrates, the estimated cost of compliance does not exceed the thresholds for either of the license types. Therefore, implementation of these rules are not anticipated to result in more than minor costs on businesses as defined in RCW 19.85.020(2).

Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Date: May 26, 2021	Signature: 
Name: David Postman	
Title: Chair	

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-22-055, filed 10/31/18, effective 12/1/18)

WAC 314-55-079 Marijuana retailer license—Privileges, requirements, and fees. (1) A marijuana retailer license allows the licensee to sell only useable marijuana, marijuana concentrates, marijuana-infused products, marijuana paraphernalia, and lockable boxes to store marijuana at retail in licensed retail outlets to persons twenty-one years of age and older, except as allowed for persons under twenty-one years of age consistent with RCW 69.50.357 and WAC 314-55-080.

(2) The WSLCB may accept applications for marijuana retail licenses at time frames published on its website at www.lcb.wa.gov. Using estimated consumption data and population data obtained from the office of financial management (OFM) population data, the WSLCB will determine the maximum number of marijuana retail locations per county.

(a) The number of retail locations will be determined using a method that distributes the number of locations proportionate to the most populous cities within each county and to accommodate the medical needs of qualifying patients and designated providers. Locations not

assigned to a specific city will be at large. At large locations can be used for unincorporated areas in the county or in cities within the county that have no retail licenses designated.

(b) The number of retail licenses determined by the board can be found on the WSLCB website at www.lcb.wa.gov.

(3) Any entity and/or principals within any entity are limited to no more than five retail marijuana licenses.

(4) **Application and license fees.**

(a) The application fee for a marijuana retailer's license is two hundred fifty dollars. The applicant is responsible for fees required by the approved vendor for fingerprint evaluation.

(b) The annual fee for issuance and renewal of a marijuana retailer license is one thousand three hundred eighty-one dollars. The WSLCB will conduct random criminal history checks at the time of renewal that will require the licensee to submit fingerprints for evaluation from the approved vendor. The licensee is responsible for all fees required for the criminal history checks.

(5) Internet sales and delivery of product to customers are prohibited.

(6) Sales of marijuana-infused products not permissible under WAC 314-55-077 are prohibited.

(7) Marijuana retailers may not sell marijuana products below the current acquisition cost.

(8) All marijuana products must be stored behind a counter or other barrier to ensure a customer does not have direct access to the product.

(9) A marijuana retailer may not sell lockable boxes for less than the cost of acquisition or sell boxes received as a donation. The donation of lockable boxes must come from a person or entity that is not a licensed marijuana producer, processor, or retailer.

(10) Marijuana retailer licensees are allowed to have a maximum of four months of their average inventory on their licensed premises at any given time.

(11) A marijuana retailer may transport product to other locations operated by the licensee or to return product to a marijuana processor as outlined in WAC 314-55-085.

(12) A marijuana retailer may accept returns of open marijuana products. Products must be returned in their original packaging with the lot, batch, or inventory ID number fully legible.

(13) A marijuana retailer may dispose of marijuana products as provided in WAC 314-55-097.

(14) The board may take disciplinary action against any marijuana retailer that fails to comply with the provisions of WAC 246-80-021.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.325, 69.50.342, 69.50.345, and 69.50.369. WSR 18-22-055, § 314-55-079, filed 10/31/18, effective 12/1/18. Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.342, 69.50.345, 2016 c 170, 2016 c 171, and 2016 c 17. WSR 16-19-102, § 314-55-079, filed 9/21/16, effective 10/22/16. Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.342 and 69.50.345. WSR 16-11-110, § 314-55-079, filed 5/18/16, effective 6/18/16; WSR 15-11-107, § 314-55-079, filed 5/20/15, effective 6/20/15; WSR 14-10-044, § 314-55-079, filed 4/30/14, effective 5/31/14. Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.325, 69.50.331, 69.50.342, 69.50.345. WSR 13-21-104, § 314-55-079, filed 10/21/13, effective 11/21/13.]

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 20-01-172, filed 12/18/19, effective 1/1/20)

WAC 314-55-077 Marijuana processor license—Privileges, requirements, and fees. (1) A marijuana processor license allows the licensee to process, dry, cure, package, and label useable marijuana, marijuana concentrates, and marijuana-infused products for sale at wholesale to marijuana processors and marijuana retailers.

(2) **Application and license fees.**

(a) The application fee for a marijuana processor license is two hundred fifty dollars. The applicant is also responsible for paying the fees required by the approved vendor for fingerprint evaluation.

(b) The annual fee for issuance and renewal of a marijuana processor license is one thousand three hundred eighty-one dollars. The board will conduct random criminal history checks at the time of renewal that will require the licensee to submit fingerprints for evaluation from the approved vendor. The licensee is responsible for all fees required for the criminal history checks.

(c) The application window for marijuana processor licenses is closed. The board may reopen the marijuana processor application window at subsequent times when the board deems necessary.

(3) Any entity and/or principals within any entity are limited to no more than three marijuana processor licenses.

(4) (a) A marijuana processor that makes marijuana-infused solid or liquid product meant to be ingested orally (marijuana edibles) must obtain a marijuana-infused edible endorsement from the department of agriculture as required under chapter 15.125 RCW and rules adopted by the department to implement that chapter (chapter 16-131 WAC). A licensee must allow the board or their designee to conduct physical visits and inspect the processing facility, recipes, and records required under WAC 314-55-087 during normal business hours or at any time of apparent operation without advance notice.

(b) A marijuana processor licensed by the board must ensure marijuana-infused edible processing facilities are constructed, kept, and maintained in a clean and sanitary condition in accordance with rules and as prescribed by the Washington state department of agriculture under chapter 15.125 RCW and rules promulgated to implement chapters 16-131, 16-165 and 16-167 WAC.

(5) (a) A marijuana processor may blend tested useable marijuana from multiple lots into a single package for sale to a marijuana retail licensee so long as the label requirements for each lot used in the blend are met and the percentage by weight of each lot is also included on the label.

(b) A processor may not treat or otherwise adulterate useable marijuana with any organic or nonorganic chemical or other compound whatsoever to alter the color, appearance, weight, or smell of the useable marijuana.

(6) Recipes, product, packaging, and labeling approval.

(a) A marijuana processor licensee must obtain label and packaging approval from the board for all marijuana-infused products meant for oral ingestion prior to offering these items for sale to a marijuana retailer. The marijuana processor licensee must submit a picture of the product, labeling, and packaging to the board for approval. More information on the product, packaging, and label review process is available on the board's website.

(b) All recipes for marijuana-infused products meant for oral ingestion (marijuana edible products) must be approved by the department of agriculture under chapter 16-131 WAC. Licensees must obtain recipe approval from the department of agriculture prior to

submitting any marijuana edible products, packages, and labels for review and approval by the board. The recipe for any marijuana-infused solid or liquid products meant to be ingested orally must be kept on file at the marijuana processor's licensed premises and made available for inspection by the board or its designee.

(c) If the board denies a marijuana-infused product for sale in marijuana retail outlets, the marijuana processor licensee may request an administrative hearing under chapter 34.05 RCW, Administrative Procedure Act.

(7) With the exception of the marijuana, all ingredients used in making marijuana-infused products for oral ingestion must be a commercially manufactured food as defined in WAC 246-215-01115.

(8) Marijuana-infused edible products in solid or liquid form must be homogenized to ensure uniform disbursement of cannabinoids.

(9) A marijuana processor may infuse food or drinks with marijuana, provided that:

(a) The product or products do not require cooking or baking by the consumer;

(b) Coatings applied to the product or products are compliant with the requirements of this chapter;

(c) The product and package design is not similar to commercially available products marketed for consumption by persons under twenty-one years of age, as defined by WAC 314.55.105 (1)(c).

(10) To reduce the risk to public health, potentially hazardous foods as defined in WAC 246-215-01115 may not be infused with marijuana. Potentially hazardous foods require time-temperature control to keep them safe for human consumption and prevent the growth of pathogenic microorganisms or the production of toxins. Any food that requires refrigeration, freezing, or a hot holding unit to keep it safe for human consumption may not be infused with marijuana.

(11) Other food items that may not be infused with marijuana to be sold in a retail store include:

(a) Any food that has to be acidified to make it shelf stable;

(b) Food items made shelf stable by canning or retorting;

(c) Fruit or vegetable juices (this does not include shelf stable concentrates);

(d) Fruit or vegetable butters;

(e) Pumpkin pies, custard pies, or any pies that contain egg;

(f) Dairy products of any kind such as butter, cheese, ice cream, or milk; and

(g) Dried or cured meats.

(h) Vinegars and oils derived from natural sources may be infused with dried marijuana if all plant material is subsequently removed from the final product. Vinegars and oils may not be infused with any other substance, including herbs and garlic.

(i) Marijuana-infused jams and jellies made from scratch must utilize a standardized recipe in accordance with 21 C.F.R. Part 150, revised as of April 1, 2013.

(12) Consistent with WAC 314-55-104, a marijuana processor may infuse dairy butter or fats derived from natural sources, and use that extraction to prepare allowable marijuana-infused solid or liquid products meant to be ingested orally, but the dairy butter or fats derived from natural sources may not be sold as stand-alone products.

The board may designate other food items that may not be infused with marijuana.

(13) Marijuana processor licensees are allowed to have a maximum of six months of their average useable marijuana and six months average of their total production on their licensed premises at any time.

(14) **Processing service arrangements.** A processing service arrangement is when one processor (processor B) processes useable

marijuana or an altered form of useable marijuana (marijuana product) for another licensed processor (processor A) for a fee.

(a) Processor A is the product owner. However, processor B may handle the product under its license as provided in chapter 69.50 RCW and this chapter. Processor B is not allowed to transfer the product to a retailer and may only possess marijuana or marijuana products received from processor A for the limited purposes of processing it for ultimate transfer back to processor A.

(b) Processing service arrangements must be made on a cash basis only as provided in WAC 314-55-115 and payment for the service and return of the processed product must be made within thirty calendar days of delivery to processor B. Failure to do so as provided by the preceding sentence is a violation of this section and any marijuana or marijuana product involved in the transaction will be subject to seizure and destruction. Payment with any marijuana products, barter, trade, or compensation in any form other than cash for processing service arrangements is prohibited under processing service arrangements.

(c) Each processor that enters into a processing service arrangement must include records for each service arrangement in

recordkeeping documents which must be maintained consistent with this chapter.

(15) Marijuana may not be returned by any retail licensee to any processor except as provided in this section.

(a) Every processor must maintain on the licensed premises for a period of five years complete records of all refunds and exchanges made under this section including an inventory of marijuana and marijuana products returned to the processor by any retail licensee.

(b) Marijuana may be returned by a retail licensee in the event a retailer goes out of the business of selling marijuana at retail and a cash refund, as defined by WAC 314-55-115, may be made upon the return of the marijuana or marijuana products, so long as WSLCB approval is acquired prior to returns and refunds under this subsection.

(c) Marijuana products different from that ordered by a retailer and delivered to the retailer may be returned to a processor and either replaced with marijuana products which were ordered or a cash refund, as defined by WAC 314-55-115, may be made. These incorrect orders must be discovered and corrected within eight days of the date the delivery was made to be eligible for returns and refunds under this subsection.

(d) A marijuana processor may accept returns of products and sample jars from marijuana retailers for destruction, but is not required to provide refunds to the retailer. It is the responsibility of the retailer to ensure the product or sample jar is returned to the processor.

(16) The board may take disciplinary action against any marijuana processor that fails to comply with the provisions of WAC 246-80-021.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.342, 69.50.345 and 2019 c 393. WSR 20-01-172, § 314-55-077, filed 12/18/19, effective 1/1/20. Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.325, 69.50.342, 69.50.345, and 69.50.369. WSR 18-22-055, § 314-55-077, filed 10/31/18, effective 12/1/18. Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.342 and 69.50.345. WSR 16-11-110, § 314-55-077, filed 5/18/16, effective 6/18/16; WSR 15-11-107, § 314-55-077, filed 5/20/15, effective 6/20/15; WSR 14-10-044, § 314-55-077, filed 4/30/14, effective 5/31/14. Statutory Authority: RCW 69.50.325, 69.50.331, 69.50.342, 69.50.345. WSR 13-21-104, § 314-55-077, filed 10/21/13, effective 11/21/13.]