

DATA REPORT 09-032

Post-Assessment Seattle, Washington Alcohol Impact Areas Appendix A

June 2009

Prepared for

The Washington State Liquor Control Board and The City of Seattle, Washington

Submitted by

John Tarnai, PhD. Director

SESRC

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC)

P.O. Box 644014

Washington State University Pullman, Washington 99164-4014

Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116

WASHINGTON STATE
UNIVERSITY

Post-Assessment Seattle, Washington Alcohol Impact Areas

APPENDIX-A

DATA REPORT 09-032

WAIS June 2009

Prepared for

The Washington State Liquor Control Board and
The City of Seattle, Washington

Submitted by

John Tarnai, Ph.D. *Principal Investigator*

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center PO Box 644014; Wilson Hall 133 Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164-4014 509-335-1511 509-335-0116 (fax) SESRC@wsu.edu tarnai@wsu.edu

Appendix-A

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
I.	RESIDENTIAL TELEPHONE SURVEY	2
A. B.	Telephone Questionnaire Crosstab Data	2 15
11.	RETAILER MAIL SURVEY	49
A.	English Questionnaire & Cover Letter	49
B.	Korean Questionnaire & Cover Letter	58
C.	Crosstab Data	67

I. RESIDENTIAL TELEPHONE SURVEY

A. Telephone Questionnaire

25: **BEGIN** Phone: <Phone> Access Code: 208-728-105 Hello, my name is \$I, and I'm calling from WSU on behalf of the Washington State Liquor Control Board. We have been asked to talk with residents of <CITY> to find out their opinions on chronic public inebriation in your area. (INTRO #2) Hi, I'm calling on behalf of the Washington State Liquor Control Board, I was hoping that you would willing to answering some questions about chronic public inebriation in <CITY> for me? (INTRO #3) Hello, my name is \$I, calling on behalf of the Washington State Liquor Control Board. I was hoping that you would help me out (tonight/today) by answering a few questions about public inebriation in <CITY> . (IWR: continue to next screen to select correct respondent) (IWR: DEFINITION: a "chronic public inebriate" is a person with a severe alcohol problem who is frequently drunk in public.) =>/BDAY=>/INT01=> /INT02 =>/F10=>/INT07Communication barrier (DF, HC, LG)......6 =>/INT03=>/INT04=>/INT05Ineligible (Business/Government) - Ask "Is this a business or a household". If it is a household, use Continue. 9 => /INT05 =>/INT05=> /INT99 Web/Mail codes 12 I =>/WMAIL26: **BDAY** Are you eighteen years or older and have had the most recent birthday in your household? (If no, then ask "May I please speak to the person who is 18 years of age or older, living in your household, who has had the most recent birthday?") Self 1 => /CELL => ELSE Someone else/not available (SAY: "When would be a good time to call back to talk to this person? Can I have =>/INT0127: **ELSE** Hello, my name is \$I, and I'm calling from the Social and Economic Sciences Research Center at Washington State University. We have been asked to talk with residents of <CITY> to find out their opinions on chronic public inebriation. (IWR: DEFINITION: a "chronic public inebriate" is a person with a severe alcohol problem who is frequently drunk in public.) =>/CELLSomeone else/not available (SAY: "When would be a good time to call back to talk to this person? Can I have

=>/INT01

28: I'd like to verify that I dialed the right number. Is this phone number: \$N Yes	VERFY => /NUMBR => /WRONG => /DEAD => /NUMBR => /NUMBR
29:	WRONG
I'm sorry, I have dialed the wrong number. INTERVIEWER: - DO NOT CALL DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE - PRESS "ENTER" TO JUMP BACK TO THE INTRODUCTION - DI THE NUMBER AGAIN PRESS "ENTER" TO CONTINUE	IAL => /BEGIN
30:	NUMBR
I am trying to reach (FNAME) (LNAME) in <city>. Do you know this person and how reach them? (Do you have a phone number where I can contact this person?) Yes</city>	v to =>/TEL1
31:	DEAD
IWR: Call Directory assistance for:	
=> CELL si STYPE=2	
YES, I GOT A NEW NUMBER	=> /INT07
32: - If you are speaking to someone, thank them and hang up Enter phone number you from directory assistance Press "enter" to return to the introduction Hand dial rephone number.	
33: AUTOMATIC BRANCH BACK TO BEGIN	BACK
=> /BEGIN	
si 1>0	

34: Is this a cellular phone? (IWR read only if necessary "By cellular telephone we m	CELL nean a
telephone that is mobile and usable outside of your neighborhood.") Yes	=> CONFD => CONFD
35: For safety reasons please tell me if you are currently driving a motor vehicle. (If you "Sorry to have bothered you, we can call you back at another time." Do not take time to call back.) Yes	
This interview is completely voluntary and has been approved by Washington University. The information you provide will remain both confidential and anonymous come to any question that you would prefer not to answer, just let me know and I will over it. OK? Continue with survey	s. If I
56: How would you rate the overall quality of life in your neighborhood? Would you say quality of life is EXCELLENT	Q1 ay the
57: How often do you walk around or shop in the neighborhood in which you live? Woul say ABOUT EVERY DAY	Q2

58:	Q3
When you are out and about in your neighborhood, do you ever notice chronic p inebriates in your neighborhood?	ublic
Yes	
No2	
Don't knowD	
Refuse	
59:	Q4
How much of a problem is the presence of chronic public inebriates in your neighborh	nood,
to you? Would you say	
A BIG PROBLEM 1	
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	
ONLY A SLIGHT PROBLEM	
OR, NOT A PROBLEM4	0.64
Not sure - Don't know	=> Q6A
Refuse R	=> Q6A
60:	Q5
Why do you feel this way?	
Enter response	
Don't knowD	
Refuse	
61:	Q6A
I am going to read a list of problems that may exist in neighborhoods. As I read each	one,
please tell me how much of a problem this is in your neighborhood. The first one is	
The amount of trash and litter. Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big prob	
somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?	,
Big problem1	
Somewhat of a problem	
Only a slight problem3	
Not a problem4	
Don't knowD	
RefuseR	
62:	Q6B
The next one is The number of homeless people on the street. Would you say in	•
neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or problem?	not a
Big problem1	
Somewhat of a problem	
Only a slight problem	
Not a problem4	
Not a problem 4 Don't know D	
Refuse Refuse R	
NCIUSC	

63:	Q6C
(The next one is) The number of people drinking alcohol in public. (Would you say in	_
your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or	
not a problem?)	
Big problem	
Somewhat of a problem	
Only a slight problem	
Not a problem	
Don't know D	
Refuse R	
Refuse	
64:	Q6D
(The next one is) The amount of crime. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a:	
Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?)	
Big problem	
Somewhat of a problem	
Only a slight problem	
Not a problem	
Don't know D	
Refuse R	
Keiuse	
65:	Q6E
	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?)	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	Q6E Q6F
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	
(The next one is) The amount of drug activity. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?) Big problem	

67:	Q6G
(The next one is) The number of people who are drunk in public. (Would you say in	
neighborhood this is a: Big problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or	
not a problem?)	
Big problem	
Somewhat of a problem2	
Only a slight problem	
Not a problem	
Don't know	
Refuse R	
Ketuse	
68:	Q6H
(The next one is) Unsafe areas. (Would you say in your neighborhood this is a: Big	
problem, somewhat of a problem, only a slight problem, or not a problem?)	
Big problem	
Somewhat of a problem2	
Only a slight problem	
Not a problem	
Don't know	
Refuse R	
Refuse	
69:	Q7
The next few questions are about changes in your neighborhood that you may have noticed	-
over the past year. During the past year would you say that the number of persons drinking	
alcohol in public in your neighborhood has	
INCREASED	
DECREASED	
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME	
No one drinks in public in neighborhood	
Not sure - Don't know	
Refuse R	
70:	Q8
Would you say that the regular chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood are now more	
often intoxicated, less often intoxicated, or about the same as compared with a year ago?	
More often intoxicated1	
Less often intoxicated	
Stayed about the same	
No public inebriates in neighborhood	
Not sure - Don't know	
Refuse	

71: During the past year would you say that the overall cleanliness of your neighborhood has INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 Don't know D Refuse R	Q9
72:	Q10
During the past year would you say that the amount of trash and litter due to chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood has INCREASED	
73:	Q11
During the past year have you noticed a change in the kind of trash and litter associated with chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood Yes - "What kind of changes have you noticed?"	
74:	Q12
During the past year has the number of persons urinating or defecating in public places in your neighborhood	
75:	Q13
Compared with one year ago, would you say that nowadays in your neighborhood you feel more safe, less safe, or have experienced no change in safety? More safe	

How safe do you feel in public places in your neighborhood? Would you say VERY SAFE	76:	Q14
VERY SAFE 1 SOMEWHAT SAFE 2 SOMEWHAT UNSAFE 4 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 77: Q15 How safe do you feel in using the local bus stops in your neighborhood? Would you say	How safe do you feel in public places in your neighborhood? Would you say	
SOMEWHAT UNSAFE		
OR VERY UNSAFE	SOMEWHAT SAFE2	
Not sure - Don't Know	SOMEWHAT UNSAFE3	
Refuse	OR VERY UNSAFE4	
Refuse		
How safe do you feel in using the local bus stops in your neighborhood? Would you say VERY SAFE		
How safe do you feel in using the local bus stops in your neighborhood? Would you say VERY SAFE		Q15
VERY SAFE 1 SOMEWHAT SAFE 2 SOMEWHAT UNSAFE 3 OR VERY UNSAFE 4 Don't use the bus stops 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 78: Q16 How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe Somewhat unsafe 2 Somewhat unsafe 3 Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood	How safe do you feel in using the local bus stops in your neighborhood? Would you say	-
SOMEWHAT SAFE 2 SOMEWHAT UNSAFE 3 ONT VERY UNSAFE 4 Don't use the bus stops 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 78: Q16 How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe 1 Somewhat safe 2 Somewhat unsafe 2 Somewhat unsafe 3 Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood. 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood		
OR VERY UNSAFE		
Don't use the bus stops	SOMEWHAT UNSAFE	
Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 78: Q16 How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe 1 Somewhat unsafe 2 Somewhat unsafe 3 Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood 1 INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D	OR VERY UNSAFE4	
Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 78: Q16 How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe 1 Somewhat unsafe 2 Somewhat unsafe 3 Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood 1 INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D	Don't use the bus stops5	
Refuse R 78: Q16 How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe Somewhat safe 2 Somewhat unsafe 3 Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 4 Don't know D Q18 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood 1 INCREASED 1 1 DECREASED 2 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 4 Don't know D 0	•	
How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe		
How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say) Very safe	78:	O16
Very safe 1 Somewhat safe 2 Somewhat unsafe 3 Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 1 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D		•
Somewhat safe		
Somewhat unsafe	·	
Very unsafe 4 Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D		
Don't use / No local parks in the neighborhood 5 Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D		
Not sure - Don't Know D Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood Lon't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood Don't know D		
Refuse R 79: Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood		
Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED		
Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood INCREASED	79:	017
INCREASED	Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood	•
DECREASED		
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No crime in neighborhood 4 Don't know D Refuse R 80: Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D		
No crime in neighborhood		
Don't know		
Refuse		
Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood INCREASED		
INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D	80:	Q18
INCREASED 1 DECREASED 2 OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME 3 No panhandlers in neighborhood 4 Don't know D	Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood	-
DECREASED		
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME		
No panhandlers in neighborhood		
Don't knowD		

81:	Q19
Over the past year has the number of homeless persons in your neighborhood	
INCREASED1	
DECREASED2	
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME	
No homeless persons in neighborhood	
Don't know	
Refuse	
82:	Q20
Over the past year has the amount of drug activity in your neighborhood INCREASED	
DECREASED	
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME	
No drug activity in neighborhood	
Don't knowD	
Refuse R	
83:	Q21
Over the past year, have you noticed any change in the type of alcohol products consumed	Q
by persons drinking in public places in your neighborhood?	
Yes - "What kind of changes have you noticed?"	
No	
Don't know	
Refuse	
84:	Q22
Over the past year have you noticed any change in how convenience stores, grocery stores,	~
restaurants and bars in your neighborhood deal with chronic public inebriates?	
Yes - "What kind of changes have you noticed?"	
No	
Don't know	
Refuse	
85:	Q23
•••	Q23
Over the past year, would you say that your neighborhood has changed FOR BETTER (why?)	
FOR WORSE (why?) 2 O	
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME	
Not sure - Don't know	
Refuse R	
NOTUDO	

86:	Q24
Over the past year would you say that the problem of chronic public inebriation in your neighborhood has INCREASED	
DECREASED 2	
OR, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME	
No chronic inebriates in neighborhood => Q26	
Don't know	
Refuse	
87:	Q25
May I ask why you feel this way?	
Enter response 1 O	
Don't knowD	
Refuse	
88:	Q26
We are interested in knowing what people in your neighborhood think of restrictions on	
alcohol products. In your opinion should there be more restrictions on the sale of alcohol	
products, fewer restrictions, or no changes to the sale of alcohol products in your	
neighborhood?	
More restrictions	
Fewer restrictions	
No changes	
Don't know	
Refuse R => Q28	
89:	Q27
What is the main reason you feel this way?	
Enter response 1 O	
Don't knowD	
Refuse	
90:	Q28
In total how many adults over the age of 18 live in your household?	
One1	
Two	
Three	
Four4	
Five5	
Six or more6	
Don't know	
Refuse R	

91: (IWR: Ask if necessary - "Are you") Male	Q29
92: What was your age on your last birthday? (INTERVIEWER: Enter the number of years.) Don't know	Q30
93: That's my last question. Thank you for your time and cooperation. If you have any additional comments or questions about this survey or about the problem of chronic public inebriation in your community, I can note them now. Yes, comments	THX

Telephone Survey FAQ

During a telephone interview, if a respondent asked a question, interviewers were trained to only respond with information provided to them from the following Interviewer FAQ.

SESRC 800 line: 800-833-0867

Frequently Asked Questions

O. WHO IS SPONSORING THE SURVEY?

A. We are conducting the survey on behalf of the State of Washington, Washington State Liquor Control Board, and the City of Seattle.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY?

A. The study's purpose is to evaluate the effectiveness of the Alcohol Impact Area (AIA) rules, specifically, to determine whether product restrictions by prohibiting the sale of certain high alcohol content beer and wine products, in conjunction with local community efforts to address chronic public inebriation (CPI), have helped to mitigate the negative effects of CPI in the Seattle Alcohol Impact Areas.

Q. WHO IS THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STUDY?

A. John Tarnai is responsible for the survey at Washington State University and Yi-Jen Wang is the Study Director for this study. You can reach either of them at 800-833-0867.

Q. HOW MANY PEOPLE WILL BE PARTICIPATING?

A. We will be contacting about 1,400 households in the Seattle area.

Q. WHO ARE YOU? WHO IS CONDUCTING THIS INTERVIEW?

A. I am a (student/resident of Pullman, Washington) working part-time for the Social and Economic Sciences Research Center at Washington State University. The Washington State Liquor Control Board has contracted with us to conduct the actual interview to collect necessary information for the study.

Q. HOW DID YOU GET MY NAME?

A. Your number was called at random from a list of telephone numbers in your area. SESRC received the list of telephone numbers from Marketing Systems, Inc. a telephone sampling company.

Q. HOW CAN I BE SURE THIS IS AUTHENTIC?

A. I would be glad to give you our telephone number here at SESRC, and you can call my supervisor. You can contact my supervisor at 800-833-0867. You may also visit our website at www.sesrc.wsu.edu

IF R ASKS FOR A NAME OF SUPERVISOR

My supervisor's name is (Jessie Paulson, Tony Hernandez, or James Segota)

Q. IS THIS CONFIDENTIAL?

A. Yes, most definitely. Your telephone number will be removed from the data set after the survey is completed.

Also, maintaining confidentiality is extremely important to the success of our research center, because we conduct many surveys. Therefore, we are very careful to protect your privacy.

Q. HOW WILL THE RESULTS BE USED? WHAT WILL YOU DO WITH MY ANSWERS?

A. The Washington State Liquor Control Board will evaluate the effectiveness of the Alcohol Impact Area rules, specifically, to determine whether product restrictions by prohibiting the sale of certain high alcohol content beer and wine products, in conjunction with local community efforts to address chronic public inebriation, have helped to mitigate the negative effects of chronic public inebriation in the Alcohol Impact Area.

I want to assure you that all information that may identify you or your family will be protected during the data collection process, and then destroyed. Your answers will be combined so that no one individual's answers can be identified in the final reports.

Q. WHAT ABOUT MY RIGHTS AS A PARTICIPANT?

A. This study has been reviewed and approved by Washington State University's Institutional Review Board for human subject participation. If you have any questions concerning your rights as a participant, please contact the WSU Institutional Review Board at 509-335-9661 or irb@wsu.edu, and mention IRB #10656-001.

I. RESIDENTIAL TELEPHONE SURVEY

B. Crosstab Data

Q1 How would you rate the overall quality of life in your neighborhood? Would you say the quality of life is . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

			Group									
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q1 How would	EXCELLENT	46	33	121	121	34	12	4	57	59	487
	you rate the		24.1%	15.8%	53.1%	51.7%	28.8%	13.2%	6.5%	47.5%	36.4%	34.4%
	overall quality of life in your	GOOD	98	111	95	99	68	56	34	55	83	699
	neighborhood?		51.3%	53.1%	41.7%	42.3%	57.6%	61.5%	54.8%	45.8%	51.2%	49.4%
	Would you say	FAIR	36	53	11	13	14	20	16	6	17	186
	the quality of life		18.8%	25.4%	4.8%	5.6%	11.9%	22.0%	25.8%	5.0%	10.5%	13.1%
	is	POOR	9	12	1	1	2	2	6	1	3	37
			4.7%	5.7%	.4%	.4%	1.7%	2.2%	9.7%	.8%	1.9%	2.6%
		VERY POOR	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	6
			1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	3.2%	.8%	.0%	.4%
	Total		191	209	228	234	118	91	62	120	162	1415
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q1 How would	EXCELLENT	62	45	115	116	46	18	7	61	89	559
	you rate the		30.7%	22.0%	55.0%	55.8%	40.0%	17.6%	6.7%	58.7%	42.4%	38.3%
	overall quality of	GOOD	99	118	81	82	57	65	57	37	93	689
	life in your neighborhood?		49.0%	57.6%	38.8%	39.4%	49.6%	63.7%	54.3%	35.6%	44.3%	47.2%
	Would you say	FAIR	31	37	13	7	10	17	30	6	26	177
	the quality of life		15.3%	18.0%	6.2%	3.4%	8.7%	16.7%	28.6%	5.8%	12.4%	12.1%
	is	POOR	9	5	0	3	2	2	10	0	1	32
			4.5%	2.4%	.0%	1.4%	1.7%	2.0%	9.5%	.0%	.5%	2.2%
		VERY POOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
			.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.5%	.2%
	Total		202	205	209	208	115	102	105	104	210	1460
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q2 How often do you walk around or shop in the neighborhood in which you live? Would you say . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Group					
			Central					Licton	New Holly	Seattle	Seattle	
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Other	RDD	Total
2006	Q2 How often do	ABOUT EVERY	99	114	89	100	52	26	16	43	66	605
	you walk around	DAY	50.8%	54.5%	39.2%	42.9%	44.1%	28.6%	25.8%	35.8%	41.0%	42.7%
	or shop in the neighborhood in	FEW TIMES A	67	51	105	96	44	41	23	53	59	539
	which you live? Would you say	WEEK	34.4%	24.4%	46.3%	41.2%	37.3%	45.1%	37.1%	44.2%	36.6%	38.1%
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ABOUT ONCE A	12	31	24	23	17	10	9	15	25	166
		WEEK	6.2%	14.8%	10.6%	9.9%	14.4%	11.0%	14.5%	12.5%	15.5%	11.7%
		LESS OFTEN	17	13	9	14	5	14	14	9	11	106
			8.7%	6.2%	4.0%	6.0%	4.2%	15.4%	22.6%	7.5%	6.8%	7.5%
	Total		195	209	227	233	118	91	62	120	161	1416
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q2 How often do	ABOUT EVERY	102	98	87	93	57	33	22	33	80	605
	you walk around	DAY	50.2%	47.8%	41.6%	44.9%	49.1%	32.4%	20.6%	31.7%	38.6%	41.4%
	or shop in the neighborhood in	FEW TIMES A	67	81	85	78	40	47	37	51	86	572
	which you live? Would you say	WEEK	33.0%	39.5%	40.7%	37.7%	34.5%	46.1%	34.6%	49.0%	41.5%	39.2%
	, , , , , , , , ,	ABOUT ONCE A	22	19	27	25	12	11	28	12	31	187
		WEEK	10.8%	9.3%	12.9%	12.1%	10.3%	10.8%	26.2%	11.5%	15.0%	12.8%
		LESS OFTEN	12	7	10	11	7	11	20	8	10	96
			5.9%	3.4%	4.8%	5.3%	6.0%	10.8%	18.7%	7.7%	4.8%	6.6%
	Total		203	205	209	207	116	102	107	104	207	1460
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q3 When you are out and about in your neighborhood, do you ever notice chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	oup				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q3 When you are out and about in your	Yes	134	141	74	82	70	35	17	26	57	636
	neighborhood, do you		69.4%	68.4%	33.0%	35.0%	60.3%	38.5%	28.8%	21.8%	35.6%	45.4%
	ever notice chronic public inebriates in your	No	59	65	150	152	46	56	42	93	103	766
	neighborhood?		30.6%	31.6%	67.0%	65.0%	39.7%	61.5%	71.2%	78.2%	64.4%	54.6%
	Total		193	206	224	234	116	91	59	119	160	1402
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q3 When you are out and about in your	Yes	124	123	56	56	77	37	38	21	61	593
	neighborhood, do you		61.1%	60.0%	26.8%	27.1%	66.4%	36.3%	36.2%	20.2%	29.3%	40.6%
	ever notice chronic public inebriates in your	No	79	82	153	151	39	65	67	83	147	866
	neighborhood?		38.9%	40.0%	73.2%	72.9%	33.6%	63.7%	63.8%	79.8%	70.7%	59.4%
	Total		203	205	209	207	116	102	105	104	208	1459
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q4 How much of a problem is the presence of chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood, to you? Would you say . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Group					
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q4 How much of	A BIG PROBLEM	26	20	9	9	10	4	6	6	5	95
	a problem is the		13.5%	9.8%	4.0%	3.8%	8.6%	4.4%	10.2%	5.0%	3.1%	6.8%
	presence of chronic public	SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	40	54	25	21	17	12	12	4	21	206
	inebriates in your neighborhood, to	AT ROBLEM	20.7%	26.3%	11.1%	9.0%	14.7%	13.2%	20.3%	3.3%	13.0%	14.7%
	you? Would you	A SLIGHT	67	66	51	54	43	30	10	22	38	381
	NO	PROBLEM	34.7%	32.2%	22.6%	23.1%	37.1%	33.0%	16.9%	18.3%	23.5%	27.1%
		NOT A	60	65	141	150	46	45	31	88	98	724
		PROBLEM	31.1%	31.7%	62.4%	64.1%	39.7%	49.5%	52.5%	73.3%	60.5%	51.5%
	Total		193	205	226	234	116	91	59	120	162	1406
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q4 How much of	A BIG PROBLEM	28	14	13	4	11	5	5	6	10	96
	a problem is the		13.8%	6.8%	6.3%	1.9%	9.5%	5.0%	4.8%	5.8%	4.8%	6.6%
	presence of chronic public	SOMEWHAT OF	42	43	17	19	25	12	17	4	29	208
	inebriates in your neighborhood, to	A PROBLEM	20.7%	20.9%	8.2%	9.2%	21.6%	12.0%	16.2%	3.8%	13.9%	14.3%
	you? Would you	A SLIGHT	60	84	45	53	43	31	33	14	44	407
	say	PROBLEM	29.6%	40.8%	21.7%	25.6%	37.1%	31.0%	31.4%	13.5%	21.1%	27.9%
		NOT A	73	65	132	131	37	52	50	80	126	746
		PROBLEM	36.0%	31.6%	63.8%	63.3%	31.9%	52.0%	47.6%	76.9%	60.3%	51.2%
	Total		203	206	207	207	116	100	105	104	209	1457
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6A The amount of trash and litter * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6A The	Big problem	13	37	11	9	6	4	15	4	12	111
	amount		6.7%	17.9%	4.9%	3.9%	5.2%	4.5%	24.6%	3.3%	7.5%	7.9%
	of trash and litter	Somewhat of a problem	55	63	38	27	22	24	19	15	28	291
			28.4%	30.4%	16.8%	11.6%	19.0%	27.0%	31.1%	12.5%	17.5%	20.7%
		Only a slight	83	66	89	105	44	40	16	42	59	544
		problem	42.8%	31.9%	39.4%	45.1%	37.9%	44.9%	26.2%	35.0%	36.9%	38.7%
		Not a problem	43	41	88	92	44	21	11	59	61	460
			22.2%	19.8%	38.9%	39.5%	37.9%	23.6%	18.0%	49.2%	38.1%	32.7%
	Total		194	207	226	233	116	89	61	120	160	1406
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6A The	Big problem	32	24	9	3	6	1	18	1	15	109
	amount		15.7%	11.7%	4.3%	1.4%	5.2%	1.0%	16.8%	1.0%	7.2%	7.5%
	of trash and litter	Somewhat of a problem	47	67	29	35	23	27	31	12	38	309
			23.0%	32.7%	14.0%	16.8%	19.8%	26.7%	29.0%	11.7%	18.2%	21.2%
		Only a slight	73	68	76	89	48	52	35	44	73	558
		problem	35.8%	33.2%	36.7%	42.8%	41.4%	51.5%	32.7%	42.7%	34.9%	38.2%
		Not a problem	52	46	93	81	39	21	23	46	83	484
			25.5%	22.4%	44.9%	38.9%	33.6%	20.8%	21.5%	44.7%	39.7%	33.2%
	Total		204	205	207	208	116	101	107	103	209	1460
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6B The number of homeless people on the street * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6B The	Big problem	44	56	11	5	12	0	2	5	15	150
	number of		22.9%	27.7%	4.9%	2.1%	10.4%	.0%	3.4%	4.2%	9.5%	10.8%
	homeless people on	Somewhat of	54	59	37	42	33	12	10	11	25	283
	the street	a problem	28.1%	29.2%	16.4%	17.9%	28.7%	13.3%	17.2%	9.2%	15.8%	20.3%
		Only a slight	50	43	73	88	46	40	14	28	39	421
		problem	26.0%	21.3%	32.3%	37.6%	40.0%	44.4%	24.1%	23.3%	24.7%	30.2%
		Not a problem	44	44	105	99	24	38	32	76	79	541
			22.9%	21.8%	46.5%	42.3%	20.9%	42.2%	55.2%	63.3%	50.0%	38.8%
	Total		192	202	226	234	115	90	58	120	158	1395
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6B The	Big problem	38	46	14	10	17	6	5	2	13	151
	number of		18.9%	22.5%	6.8%	4.9%	14.8%	6.1%	4.9%	1.9%	6.3%	10.4%
	homeless	Somewhat of	64	60	25	29	33	18	12	7	41	289
	people on the street	a problem	31.8%	29.4%	12.1%	14.1%	28.7%	18.2%	11.7%	6.8%	19.7%	20.0%
		Only a slight	56	53	62	72	41	32	25	21	41	403
		problem	27.9%	26.0%	30.0%	35.1%	35.7%	32.3%	24.3%	20.4%	19.7%	27.9%
		Not a problem	43	45	106	94	24	43	61	73	113	602
			21.4%	22.1%	51.2%	45.9%	20.9%	43.4%	59.2%	70.9%	54.3%	41.7%
	Total		201	204	207	205	115	99	103	103	208	1445
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6C The number of people drinking alcohol in public * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6C The	Big problem	21	19	9	4	5	2	5	4	5	74
	number of		11.4%	9.5%	4.0%	1.7%	4.4%	2.3%	8.6%	3.3%	3.2%	5.4%
	people drinking alcohol in public	Somewhat of a	41	38	17	17	16	7	10	7	20	173
	alconor in public	problem	22.2%	18.9%	7.6%	7.3%	14.0%	8.0%	17.2%	5.8%	12.7%	12.5%
		Only a slight	50	58	53	60	42	27	12	16	32	350
		problem	27.0%	28.9%	23.6%	25.8%	36.8%	31.0%	20.7%	13.3%	20.3%	25.3%
		Not a problem	73	86	146	152	51	51	31	93	101	784
			39.5%	42.8%	64.9%	65.2%	44.7%	58.6%	53.4%	77.5%	63.9%	56.8%
	Total		185	201	225	233	114	87	58	120	158	1381
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6C The	Big problem	19	9	12	2	13	3	5	2	7	72
	number of		9.5%	4.5%	5.8%	1.0%	11.5%	3.0%	4.9%	1.9%	3.4%	5.0%
	people drinking alcohol in public	Somewhat of a	44	46	17	17	21	12	16	6	19	198
	alconor in public	problem	22.1%	22.8%	8.2%	8.4%	18.6%	12.0%	15.5%	5.8%	9.2%	13.8%
		Only a slight	57	59	35	39	41	19	16	18	36	320
		problem	28.6%	29.2%	16.9%	19.2%	36.3%	19.0%	15.5%	17.5%	17.4%	22.3%
		Not a problem	79	88	143	145	38	66	66	77	145	847
			39.7%	43.6%	69.1%	71.4%	33.6%	66.0%	64.1%	74.8%	70.0%	58.9%
	Total		199	202	207	203	113	100	103	103	207	1437
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6D The amount of crime * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6D The	Big problem	22	41	18	14	6	7	18	7	9	142
	amount of		12.0%	20.4%	8.0%	6.0%	5.5%	8.1%	31.0%	6.0%	5.8%	10.4%
	crime	Somewhat of a	64	71	75	68	25	31	19	34	46	433
		problem	34.8%	35.3%	33.5%	29.3%	22.7%	36.0%	32.8%	29.1%	29.7%	31.7%
		Only a slight	67	59	86	105	54	31	13	48	60	523
		problem	36.4%	29.4%	38.4%	45.3%	49.1%	36.0%	22.4%	41.0%	38.7%	38.3%
		Not a problem	31	30	45	45	25	17	8	28	40	269
			16.8%	14.9%	20.1%	19.4%	22.7%	19.8%	13.8%	23.9%	25.8%	19.7%
	Total		184	201	224	232	110	86	58	117	155	1367
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6D The	Big problem	44	25	11	9	7	4	27	3	20	150
	amount of		22.9%	12.5%	5.5%	4.4%	6.4%	4.0%	26.0%	2.9%	9.8%	10.6%
	crime	Somewhat of a	56	82	70	62	27	30	41	38	65	471
		problem	29.2%	41.0%	34.8%	30.5%	24.5%	29.7%	39.4%	36.9%	31.7%	33.2%
		Only a slight	60	63	80	92	52	41	17	39	73	517
		problem	31.3%	31.5%	39.8%	45.3%	47.3%	40.6%	16.3%	37.9%	35.6%	36.4%
		Not a problem	32	30	40	40	24	26	19	23	47	281
			16.7%	15.0%	19.9%	19.7%	21.8%	25.7%	18.3%	22.3%	22.9%	19.8%
	Total		192	200	201	203	110	101	104	103	205	1419
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6E The amount of drug activity * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6E The	Big problem	43	48	21	12	4	12	19	4	12	175
	amount of		24.6%	25.3%	10.0%	5.8%	4.0%	14.3%	34.5%	3.5%	8.0%	13.6%
	drug activity	Somewhat of a	58	56	32	33	18	23	16	25	35	296
	•	problem	33.1%	29.5%	15.3%	15.9%	17.8%	27.4%	29.1%	21.7%	23.3%	23.0%
		Only a slight	38	37	52	45	34	14	12	26	34	292
		problem	21.7%	19.5%	24.9%	21.7%	33.7%	16.7%	21.8%	22.6%	22.7%	22.7%
		Not a problem	36	49	104	117	45	35	8	60	69	523
			20.6%	25.8%	49.8%	56.5%	44.6%	41.7%	14.5%	52.2%	46.0%	40.7%
	Total		175	190	209	207	101	84	55	115	150	1286
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6E The	Big problem	49	33	15	6	6	7	23	2	20	161
	amount of		27.2%	17.6%	8.2%	3.3%	6.1%	7.2%	24.5%	2.1%	9.9%	12.2%
	drug activity	Somewhat of a	50	50	30	34	13	24	25	17	30	273
	,	problem	27.8%	26.6%	16.5%	18.8%	13.3%	24.7%	26.6%	18.1%	14.8%	20.7%
		Only a slight	43	45	36	44	34	27	11	19	54	313
		problem	23.9%	23.9%	19.8%	24.3%	34.7%	27.8%	11.7%	20.2%	26.6%	23.8%
		Not a problem	38	60	101	97	45	39	35	56	99	570
			21.1%	31.9%	55.5%	53.6%	45.9%	40.2%	37.2%	59.6%	48.8%	43.3%
	Total		180	188	182	181	98	97	94	94	203	1317
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6F The number of persons panhandling * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	ир				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6F The	Big problem	32	51	12	10	7	1	4	5	12	134
	number of		16.8%	25.9%	5.4%	4.3%	6.1%	1.1%	6.9%	4.2%	7.5%	9.7%
	persons panhandling	Somewhat of a	57	66	26	38	17	9	13	10	19	255
	parmandling	problem	29.8%	33.5%	11.7%	16.3%	14.9%	10.1%	22.4%	8.4%	11.9%	18.4%
		Only a slight	48	32	69	66	43	32	9	14	26	339
		problem	25.1%	16.2%	30.9%	28.3%	37.7%	36.0%	15.5%	11.8%	16.3%	24.5%
		Not a problem	54	48	116	119	47	47	32	90	103	656
			28.3%	24.4%	52.0%	51.1%	41.2%	52.8%	55.2%	75.6%	64.4%	47.4%
	Total		191	197	223	233	114	89	58	119	160	1384
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6F The	Big problem	38	39	10	12	7	3	2	4	12	127
	number of		19.0%	19.2%	4.9%	5.8%	6.3%	3.0%	2.0%	3.9%	5.8%	8.9%
	persons panhandling	Somewhat of a	51	58	24	23	25	8	16	6	25	236
	parmanumig	problem	25.5%	28.6%	11.8%	11.2%	22.5%	8.1%	16.0%	5.8%	12.1%	16.5%
		Only a slight	49	54	41	51	32	26	28	15	49	345
		problem	24.5%	26.6%	20.1%	24.8%	28.8%	26.3%	28.0%	14.6%	23.7%	24.1%
		Not a problem	62	52	129	120	47	62	54	78	121	725
			31.0%	25.6%	63.2%	58.3%	42.3%	62.6%	54.0%	75.7%	58.5%	50.6%
	Total		200	203	204	206	111	99	100	103	207	1433
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6G The number of people who are drunk in public * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	ир				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6G The	Big problem	25	18	10	5	6	3	3	3	5	78
	number of		13.1%	9.0%	4.5%	2.2%	5.3%	3.4%	5.5%	2.5%	3.2%	5.6%
	people who	Somewhat of a	50	51	19	16	15	6	12	9	19	197
	are drunk in public	problem	26.2%	25.4%	8.5%	6.9%	13.2%	6.7%	21.8%	7.6%	12.0%	14.3%
		Only a slight	62	67	58	63	54	31	14	16	33	398
		problem	32.5%	33.3%	26.0%	27.2%	47.4%	34.8%	25.5%	13.4%	20.9%	28.8%
		Not a problem	54	65	136	148	39	49	26	91	101	709
			28.3%	32.3%	61.0%	63.8%	34.2%	55.1%	47.3%	76.5%	63.9%	51.3%
	Total		191	201	223	232	114	89	55	119	158	1382
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6G The	Big problem	22	11	10	2	10	2	7	1	9	74
	number of		11.0%	5.5%	4.9%	1.0%	8.8%	2.0%	6.9%	1.0%	4.3%	5.2%
	people who are drunk in	Somewhat of a	53	49	16	15	23	13	13	8	16	206
	public	problem	26.5%	24.5%	7.8%	7.5%	20.4%	13.0%	12.9%	7.8%	7.7%	14.4%
		Only a slight	62	70	41	51	41	33	21	17	50	386
		problem	31.0%	35.0%	19.9%	25.4%	36.3%	33.0%	20.8%	16.5%	24.0%	27.0%
		Not a problem	63	70	139	133	39	52	60	77	133	766
			31.5%	35.0%	67.5%	66.2%	34.5%	52.0%	59.4%	74.8%	63.9%	53.5%
	Total		200	200	206	201	113	100	101	103	208	1432
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q6H Unsafe areas * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
			Central					Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q6H	Big problem	16	24	15	6	3	4	12	5	8	93
	Unsafe		8.6%	12.2%	6.8%	2.6%	2.6%	4.4%	21.1%	4.2%	5.1%	6.8%
	areas	Somewhat of a	52	56	39	35	16	20	18	22	32	290
		problem	28.1%	28.6%	17.6%	15.1%	13.9%	22.2%	31.6%	18.6%	20.4%	21.1%
		Only a slight	62	59	67	70	42	28	14	24	46	412
		problem	33.5%	30.1%	30.2%	30.2%	36.5%	31.1%	24.6%	20.3%	29.3%	30.0%
		Not a problem	55	57	101	121	54	38	13	67	71	577
			29.7%	29.1%	45.5%	52.2%	47.0%	42.2%	22.8%	56.8%	45.2%	42.1%
	Total		185	196	222	232	115	90	57	118	157	1372
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q6H	Big problem	29	20	9	3	4	4	18	0	13	100
	Unsafe		14.4%	9.9%	4.5%	1.5%	3.5%	4.0%	17.1%	.0%	6.3%	7.0%
	areas	Somewhat of a	55	54	27	29	16	17	28	15	40	281
		problem	27.2%	26.6%	13.4%	14.2%	14.2%	16.8%	26.7%	14.6%	19.5%	19.5%
		Only a slight	56	63	65	68	33	36	24	19	54	418
		problem	27.7%	31.0%	32.2%	33.3%	29.2%	35.6%	22.9%	18.4%	26.3%	29.1%
		Not a problem	62	66	101	104	60	44	35	69	98	639
			30.7%	32.5%	50.0%	51.0%	53.1%	43.6%	33.3%	67.0%	47.8%	44.4%
	Total		202	203	202	204	113	101	105	103	205	1438
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

17 During the past year would you say that the number of persons drinking alcohol in public in your neighborhood has . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	ıp				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/ Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q7 During the	INCREASED	14	15	14	10	9	4	4	6	12	88
	past year would you say that the		7.6%	7.8%	6.5%	4.4%	8.3%	4.7%	7.5%	5.2%	8.0%	6.6%
	number of	DECREASED	23	17	13	10	7	10	10	5	8	103
	persons drinking		12.4%	8.9%	6.0%	4.4%	6.5%	11.8%	18.9%	4.3%	5.3%	7.7%
	alcohol in	STAYED ABOUT	138	149	166	187	84	61	37	84	113	1019
	public in your neighborhood	THE SAME	74.6%	77.6%	76.9%	82.4%	77.8%	71.8%	69.8%	72.4%	75.3%	76.5%
	has	No one drinks in	10	11	23	20	8	10	2	21	17	122
		public in neighborhood	5.4%	5.7%	10.6%	8.8%	7.4%	11.8%	3.8%	18.1%	11.3%	9.2%
	Total		185	192	216	227	108	85	53	116	150	1332
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q7 During the	INCREASED	21	17	15	13	20	10	5	3	16	120
	past year would you say that the		10.9%	8.5%	7.4%	6.6%	17.9%	10.2%	5.3%	3.0%	7.9%	8.6%
	number of	DECREASED	22	19	5	7	3	10	12	1	14	93
	persons drinking		11.4%	9.5%	2.5%	3.6%	2.7%	10.2%	12.6%	1.0%	6.9%	6.6%
	alcohol in	STAYED ABOUT	139	152	151	142	80	64	60	81	134	1003
	public in your neighborhood	THE SAME	72.0%	76.0%	74.8%	72.4%	71.4%	65.3%	63.2%	80.2%	66.3%	71.7%
	has	No one drinks in	11	12	31	34	9	14	18	16	38	183
		public in neighborhood	5.7%	6.0%	15.3%	17.3%	8.0%	14.3%	18.9%	15.8%	18.8%	13.1%
	Total		193	200	202	196	112	98	95	101	202	1399
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q8 Would you say that the regular chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood are now . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Group)				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q8 Would you	More often	14	9	9	3	Dallard 4	1	3	5	9	57
	say that the	intoxicated	7.7%	4.9%	4.2%	1.4%	3.8%	1.2%	5.5%	4.3%	6.0%	4.4%
	regular chronic public inebriates	Less often	13	8	7	5	6	6	8	4	7	64
	in your neighborhood	intoxicated	7.2%	4.3%	3.3%	2.3%	5.7%	7.2%	14.5%	3.5%	4.7%	4.9%
	are now	Stayed about	138	152	145	164	84	59	38	76	99	955
		the same	76.2%	82.6%	68.1%	73.9%	80.0%	71.1%	69.1%	66.1%	66.4%	73.1%
		No public	16	15	52	50	11	17	6	30	34	231
		inebriates in	8.8%	8.2%	24.4%	22.5%	10.5%	20.5%	10.9%	26.1%	22.8%	17.7%
	Total		181	184	213	222	105	83	55	115	149	1307
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q8 Would you	More often	15	8	9	6	6	6	2	1	10	63
	say that the	intoxicated	7.8%	4.2%	4.5%	3.1%	5.8%	6.3%	2.2%	1.0%	5.1%	4.6%
	regular chronic public inebriates	Less often	16	19	4	4	4	7	10	3	13	80
	in your neighborhood	intoxicated	8.3%	9.9%	2.0%	2.0%	3.8%	7.4%	10.8%	3.1%	6.6%	5.9%
	are now	Stayed about	140	146	138	134	83	67	61	64	126	959
		the same	72.9%	76.4%	69.7%	68.4%	79.8%	70.5%	65.6%	66.7%	63.6%	70.4%
		No public	21	18	47	52	11	15	20	28	49	261
		inebriates in	10.9%	9.4%	23.7%	26.5%	10.6%	15.8%	21.5%	29.2%	24.7%	19.1%
	Total		192	191	198	196	104	95	93	96	198	1363
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q9 During the past year would you say that the overall cleanliness of your neighborhood has . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	р				
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly	Seattle	Seattle	
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Other	RDD	Total
2006	Q9 During the past	INCREASED	25	24	15	19	9	12	15	11	20	150
	year would you say		13.3%	12.2%	6.8%	8.3%	7.9%	13.5%	26.3%	9.2%	12.7%	10.9%
	that the overall	DECREASED	25	29	20	15	16	8	10	7	15	145
	cleanliness of your neighborhood has		13.3%	14.7%	9.0%	6.6%	14.0%	9.0%	17.5%	5.9%	9.6%	10.6%
	neighborhood has	STAYED	138	144	187	195	89	69	32	101	122	1077
		ABOUT SAME	73.4%	73.1%	84.2%	85.2%	78.1%	77.5%	56.1%	84.9%	77.7%	78.5%
	Total		188	197	222	229	114	89	57	119	157	1372
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q9 During the past	INCREASED	30	27	19	23	14	18	12	7	23	173
	year would you say		15.0%	13.4%	9.4%	11.2%	12.4%	18.0%	11.9%	6.9%	11.2%	12.1%
	that the overall cleanliness of your	DECREASED	31	25	17	12	23	10	12	5	23	158
	neighborhood has		15.5%	12.4%	8.4%	5.9%	20.4%	10.0%	11.9%	4.9%	11.2%	11.0%
	noighbornood nao	STAYED	139	149	166	170	76	72	77	90	160	1099
		ABOUT SAME	69.5%	74.1%	82.2%	82.9%	67.3%	72.0%	76.2%	88.2%	77.7%	76.9%
	Total		200	201	202	205	113	100	101	102	206	1430
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10 During the past year would you say that the amount of trash and litter due to chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood has . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	р				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q10 During the past year would you say that	INCREASED	21	29	11	12	13	5	8	7	15	121
			11.4%	15.3%	5.1%	5.3%	11.9%	5.9%	14.8%	6.1%	10.2%	9.1%
	the amount of trash and	DECREASED	19	9	6	3	3	4	6	4	9	63
	litter due to chronic public inebriates in your		10.3%	4.8%	2.8%	1.3%	2.8%	4.7%	11.1%	3.5%	6.1%	4.8%
	neighborhood has	STAYED	135	145	166	185	83	66	34	82	101	997
	9	ABOUT SAME	73.0%	76.7%	76.5%	82.2%	76.1%	77.6%	63.0%	71.3%	68.7%	75.2%
		No public	10	6	34	25	10	10	6	22	22	145
		inebriates in	5.4%	3.2%	15.7%	11.1%	9.2%	11.8%	11.1%	19.1%	15.0%	10.9%
	Total		185	189	217	225	109	85	54	115	147	1326
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q10 During the past	INCREASED	19	21	16	6	24	10	11	2	16	125
	year would you say that		9.9%	10.6%	8.2%	3.0%	21.8%	9.9%	10.9%	2.0%	8.0%	8.9%
	the amount of trash and litter due to chronic	DECREASED	17	13	7	9	7	5	11	3	12	84
	public inebriates in your		8.9%	6.6%	3.6%	4.5%	6.4%	5.0%	10.9%	3.0%	6.0%	6.0%
	neighborhood has	STAYED	145	147	133	153	74	72	71	77	138	1010
	•	ABOUT SAME	75.9%	74.2%	68.2%	75.7%	67.3%	71.3%	70.3%	76.2%	69.3%	72.2%
		No public	10	17	39	34	5	14	8	19	33	179
		inebriates in	5.2%	8.6%	20.0%	16.8%	4.5%	13.9%	7.9%	18.8%	16.6%	12.8%
	Total	•	191	198	195	202	110	101	101	101	199	1398
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

11 During the past year have you noticed a change in the kind of trash and litter associated with chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	р				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q11 During the past year have you noticed	Yes - 'What kind of changes have	23	17	15	12	10	5	11	5	10	108
	a change in the kind of	you noticed?'	12.4%	8.8%	6.8%	5.3%	9.0%	5.7%	20.4%	4.2%	6.5%	8.0%
	trash and litter associated with	No	154	174	178	193	92	74	39	103	124	1131
	chronic public		83.2%	90.2%	80.9%	85.8%	82.9%	85.1%	72.2%	87.3%	80.0%	83.9%
	inebriates in your	No public	8	2	27	20	9	8	4	10	21	109
	neighborhood	inebriates in	4.3%	1.0%	12.3%	8.9%	8.1%	9.2%	7.4%	8.5%	13.5%	8.1%
	Total		185	193	220	225	111	87	54	118	155	1348
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q11 During the past year have you noticed	Yes - 'What kind of changes have	20	20	15	9	12	9	13	4	18	120
	a change in the kind of	you noticed?'	10.5%	10.1%	7.5%	4.5%	11.1%	9.0%	12.6%	4.0%	8.9%	8.5%
	trash and litter associated with	No	161	171	164	169	90	85	83	83	159	1165
	chronic public		84.7%	86.4%	81.6%	84.1%	83.3%	85.0%	80.6%	82.2%	78.3%	82.9%
	inebriates in your	No public	9	7	22	23	6	6	7	14	26	120
	neighborhood	inebriates in	4.7%	3.5%	10.9%	11.4%	5.6%	6.0%	6.8%	13.9%	12.8%	8.5%
	Total	·	190	198	201	201	108	100	103	101	203	1405
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q12 During the past year has the number of persons urinating or defecating in public places in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gı	roup				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q12 During the	INCREASED	19	16	12	11	13	2 2	3	5	Seattle NDB	89
	past year has the		10.9%	9.0%	5.9%	5.0%	12.1%	2.4%	5.9%	4.4%	5.4%	7.0%
	number of persons	DECREASED	14	11	7	8	6	5	3	4	7	65
	urinating or defecating in		8.0%	6.2%	3.4%	3.6%	5.6%	6.0%	5.9%	3.5%	4.7%	5.1%
	public places in	STAYED	113	128	132	138	61	50	32	65	97	816
	your neighborhood	ABOUT SAME	64.9%	72.3%	64.4%	62.7%	57.0%	59.5%	62.7%	57.5%	65.1%	63.8%
		No one	28	22	54	63	27	27	13	39	37	310
		urinates/defeca	16.1%	12.4%	26.3%	28.6%	25.2%	32.1%	25.5%	34.5%	24.8%	24.2%
	Total		174	177	205	220	107	84	51	113	149	1280
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q12 During the	INCREASED	23	15	9	8	11	5	2	1	13	87
	past year has the		12.5%	7.9%	4.7%	4.2%	10.5%	5.4%	2.1%	1.0%	6.4%	6.5%
	number of persons urinating or	DECREASED	16	13	8	2	3	5	11	0	9	67
	defecating in		8.7%	6.8%	4.1%	1.1%	2.9%	5.4%	11.7%	.0%	4.5%	5.0%
	public places in	STAYED	111	134	120	125	69	62	54	67	118	860
	your neighborhood	ABOUT SAME	60.3%	70.5%	62.2%	65.8%	65.7%	66.7%	57.4%	69.8%	58.4%	63.8%
		No one	34	28	56	55	22	21	27	28	62	333
		urinates/defeca	18.5%	14.7%	29.0%	28.9%	21.0%	22.6%	28.7%	29.2%	30.7%	24.7%
	Total		184	190	193	190	105	93	94	96	202	1347
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

3 Compared with one year ago, would you say that nowadays in your neighborhood you feel more safe, less safe, or have experienced no change in safety? * Grc * Year Crosstabulation

							Gr	oup				
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q13 Compared with	More safe	13	9	12	8	8	7	9	4	20	90
	one year ago, would you say that nowadays		7.0%	4.5%	5.4%	3.5%	7.0%	7.9%	15.5%	3.4%	12.7%	6.6%
	in your neighborhood	Less safe	17	44	33	29	19	10	6	14	15	187
	you feel more safe,		9.1%	22.2%	14.8%	12.7%	16.7%	11.2%	10.3%	11.9%	9.6%	13.6%
	less safe, or have experienced no	No change	156	145	178	192	87	72	43	100	122	1095
	change in safety?	in safety	83.9%	73.2%	79.8%	83.8%	76.3%	80.9%	74.1%	84.7%	77.7%	79.8%
	Total		186	198	223	229	114	89	58	118	157	1372
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q13 Compared with	More safe	13	6	4	9	2	10	17	1	14	76
	one year ago, would you say that nowadays		6.6%	3.0%	2.0%	4.4%	1.8%	9.9%	16.0%	1.0%	6.8%	5.3%
	in your neighborhood	Less safe	40	47	34	28	20	14	26	8	44	261
	you feel more safe,		20.2%	23.3%	16.9%	13.8%	17.7%	13.9%	24.5%	7.8%	21.5%	18.2%
	less safe, or have experienced no	No change	145	149	163	166	91	77	63	93	147	1094
	change in safety?	in safety	73.2%	73.8%	81.1%	81.8%	80.5%	76.2%	59.4%	91.2%	71.7%	76.5%
	Total		198	202	201	203	113	101	106	102	205	1431
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q14 How safe do you feel in public places in your neighborhood? Would you say . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gr	oup				
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q14 How safe	VERY SAFE	84	73	147	145	61	45	14	82	92	743
	do you feel in		44.2%	36.3%	65.6%	62.5%	55.0%	50.0%	24.1%	68.9%	59.0%	53.8%
	public places in	SOMEWHAT	84	91	61	79	44	38	31	31	55	514
	your neighborhood? Would you say	SAFE	44.2%	45.3%	27.2%	34.1%	39.6%	42.2%	53.4%	26.1%	35.3%	37.2%
		SOMEWHAT	20	36	15	8	5	7	11	4	8	114
		UNSAFE	10.5%	17.9%	6.7%	3.4%	4.5%	7.8%	19.0%	3.4%	5.1%	8.3%
		VERY	2	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	1	10
		UNSAFE	1.1%	.5%	.4%	.0%	.9%	.0%	3.4%	1.7%	.6%	.7%
	Total		190	201	224	232	111	90	58	119	156	1381
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q14 How safe	VERY SAFE	82	82	129	138	65	40	28	76	111	751
	do you feel in		40.4%	40.6%	64.2%	69.0%	56.5%	39.6%	27.2%	74.5%	54.1%	52.4%
	public places in your	SOMEWHAT	82	88	65	55	40	51	47	23	77	528
	neighborhood? Would you say	SAFE	40.4%	43.6%	32.3%	27.5%	34.8%	50.5%	45.6%	22.5%	37.6%	36.9%
		SOMEWHAT	30	29	7	6	8	10	20	3	13	126
		UNSAFE	14.8%	14.4%	3.5%	3.0%	7.0%	9.9%	19.4%	2.9%	6.3%	8.8%
		VERY	9	3	0	1	2	0	8	0	4	27
		UNSAFE	4.4%	1.5%	.0%	.5%	1.7%	.0%	7.8%	.0%	2.0%	1.9%
	Total		203	202	201	200	115	101	103	102	205	1432
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q15 How safe do you feel in using the local bus stops in your neighborhood? Would you say . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gr	oup				
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly			
Year				North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q15 How safe	VERY SAFE	102	106	137	144	63	38	18	79	89	776
	do you feel in		53.4%	52.7%	61.4%	61.8%	54.8%	42.2%	31.6%	66.9%	56.7%	56.0%
	using the local bus stops in your	SOMEWHAT SAFE	58	63	53	62	32	27	20	21	42	378
	neighborhood? Would you say	SAI L	30.4%	31.3%	23.8%	26.6%	27.8%	30.0%	35.1%	17.8%	26.8%	27.3%
		SOMEWHAT	14	14	10	9	6	9	5	6	10	83
		UNSAFE	7.3%	7.0%	4.5%	3.9%	5.2%	10.0%	8.8%	5.1%	6.4%	6.0%
		VERY UNSAFE	3	0	3	0	1	1	6	0	3	17
			1.6%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.9%	1.1%	10.5%	.0%	1.9%	1.2%
		Don't use the	14	18	20	18	13	15	8	12	13	131
		bus stops	7.3%	9.0%	9.0%	7.7%	11.3%	16.7%	14.0%	10.2%	8.3%	9.5%
	Total		191	201	223	233	115	90	57	118	157	1385
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q15 How safe	VERY SAFE	87	97	128	140	63	46	26	69	108	764
	do you feel in		43.1%	47.8%	63.4%	69.3%	54.8%	45.5%	25.0%	67.6%	52.4%	53.2%
	using the local bus stops in your	SOMEWHAT SAFE	73	72	51	42	34	31	43	24	64	434
	neighborhood? Would you say	SALL	36.1%	35.5%	25.2%	20.8%	29.6%	30.7%	41.3%	23.5%	31.1%	30.2%
		SOMEWHAT	22	18	8	8	9	11	20	2	7	105
		UNSAFE	10.9%	8.9%	4.0%	4.0%	7.8%	10.9%	19.2%	2.0%	3.4%	7.3%
		VERY UNSAFE	6	2	1	2	0	2	3	0	5	21
			3.0%	1.0%	.5%	1.0%	.0%	2.0%	2.9%	.0%	2.4%	1.5%
		Don't use the	14	14	14	10	9	11	12	7	22	113
		bus stops	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	5.0%	7.8%	10.9%	11.5%	6.9%	10.7%	7.9%
	Total		202	203	202	202	115	101	104	102	206	1437
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q16 How safe do you feel in using the local parks in your neighborhood? (Would you say . . .) * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							G	roup				
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q16 How safe do	Very safe	73	76	116	126	62	42	22	73	77	667
	you feel in using		38.4%	38.4%	52.3%	54.5%	55.4%	47.2%	37.9%	62.4%	49.4%	48.6%
	the local parks in your	Somewhat	67	63	76	80	36	31	21	26	51	451
	neighborhood? (Would you say)	safe	35.3%	31.8%	34.2%	34.6%	32.1%	34.8%	36.2%	22.2%	32.7%	32.8%
	(,, ,	Somewhat	22	31	12	15	4	7	6	12	14	123
		unsafe	11.6%	15.7%	5.4%	6.5%	3.6%	7.9%	10.3%	10.3%	9.0%	9.0%
		Very unsafe	3	7	4	2	1	2	3	3	3	28
			1.6%	3.5%	1.8%	.9%	.9%	2.2%	5.2%	2.6%	1.9%	2.0%
		Don't use / No	25	21	14	8	9	7	6	3	11	104
		local parks in	13.2%	10.6%	6.3%	3.5%	8.0%	7.9%	10.3%	2.6%	7.1%	7.6%
	Total	-11 -	190	198	222	231	112	89	58	117	156	1373
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q16 How safe do	Very safe	63	80	110	120	55	40	24	61	99	652
	you feel in using		31.2%	39.6%	54.7%	59.4%	48.2%	40.0%	22.4%	59.8%	48.1%	45.4%
	the local parks in your	Somewhat safe	76	87	62	54	35	41	46	30	69	500
	neighborhood? (Would you say)	Saio	37.6%	43.1%	30.8%	26.7%	30.7%	41.0%	43.0%	29.4%	33.5%	34.8%
	,	Somewhat	25	19	14	18	8	11	17	4	16	132
		unsafe	12.4%	9.4%	7.0%	8.9%	7.0%	11.0%	15.9%	3.9%	7.8%	9.2%
		Very unsafe	13	7	5	2	4	1	3	1	5	41
			6.4%	3.5%	2.5%	1.0%	3.5%	1.0%	2.8%	1.0%	2.4%	2.9%
		Don't use / No	25	9	10	8	12	7	17	6	17	111
		local parks in	12.4%	4.5%	5.0%	4.0%	10.5%	7.0%	15.9%	5.9%	8.3%	7.7%
	Total	"	202	202	201	202	114	100	107	102	206	1436
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q17 Over the past year, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gı	roup				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q17 Over the	INCREASED	26	50	44	37	17	12	17	21	23	247
	past year,		16.4%	27.9%	20.9%	17.6%	16.0%	15.0%	30.4%	19.4%	16.1%	19.7%
	has the	DECREASED	11	5	9	8	4	5	6	4	13	65
	amount of crime in your		6.9%	2.8%	4.3%	3.8%	3.8%	6.3%	10.7%	3.7%	9.1%	5.2%
	neighborhoo	STAYED ABOUT	119	122	152	162	82	61	30	78	105	911
	d	THE SAME	74.8%	68.2%	72.0%	77.1%	77.4%	76.3%	53.6%	72.2%	73.4%	72.8%
		No crime in	3	2	6	3	3	2	3	5	2	29
		neighborhood	1.9%	1.1%	2.8%	1.4%	2.8%	2.5%	5.4%	4.6%	1.4%	2.3%
	Total		159	179	211	210	106	80	56	108	143	1252
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q17 Over the	INCREASED	49	53	49	33	22	18	33	15	55	327
	past year,		27.7%	29.1%	25.8%	17.9%	22.0%	19.8%	33.3%	15.5%	27.8%	24.8%
	has the amount of	DECREASED	13	8	4	7	7	7	9	1	15	71
	crime in your		7.3%	4.4%	2.1%	3.8%	7.0%	7.7%	9.1%	1.0%	7.6%	5.4%
	neighborhoo	STAYED ABOUT	113	120	136	142	70	62	53	80	120	896
	d	THE SAME	63.8%	65.9%	71.6%	77.2%	70.0%	68.1%	53.5%	82.5%	60.6%	68.0%
		No crime in	2	1	1	2	1	4	4	1	8	24
		neighborhood	1.1%	.5%	.5%	1.1%	1.0%	4.4%	4.0%	1.0%	4.0%	1.8%
	Total		177	182	190	184	100	91	99	97	198	1318
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q18 Over the past year has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	p				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q18 Over the	INCREASED	36	38	19	19	17	2	3	7	18	159
	past year has		19.6%	19.8%	8.6%	8.5%	15.5%	2.4%	5.4%	6.0%	11.7%	11.8%
	the number of	DECREASED	11	14	9	8	5	7	4	6	9	73
	persons panhandling in		6.0%	7.3%	4.1%	3.6%	4.5%	8.2%	7.1%	5.1%	5.8%	5.4%
	your	STAYED ABOUT	120	132	159	173	77	65	39	75	96	936
	neighborhood.	THE SAME	65.2%	68.8%	71.9%	77.2%	70.0%	76.5%	69.6%	64.1%	62.3%	69.7%
	• •	No panhandlers	17	8	34	24	11	11	10	29	31	175
		in neighborhood	9.2%	4.2%	15.4%	10.7%	10.0%	12.9%	17.9%	24.8%	20.1%	13.0%
	Total		184	192	221	224	110	85	56	117	154	1343
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q18 Over the	INCREASED	32	42	27	31	23	8	9	6	22	200
	past year has		16.5%	21.2%	13.7%	15.6%	20.9%	8.2%	8.9%	5.9%	10.7%	14.3%
	the number of	DECREASED	16	8	4	4	4	2	10	0	8	56
	persons panhandling in		8.2%	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	3.6%	2.0%	9.9%	.0%	3.9%	4.0%
	your	STAYED ABOUT	135	136	123	129	73	74	68	70	141	949
	neighborhood .	THE SAME	69.6%	68.7%	62.4%	64.8%	66.4%	75.5%	67.3%	69.3%	68.8%	67.6%
	• •	No panhandlers	11	12	43	35	10	14	14	25	34	198
		in neighborhood	5.7%	6.1%	21.8%	17.6%	9.1%	14.3%	13.9%	24.8%	16.6%	14.1%
	Total		194	198	197	199	110	98	101	101	205	1403
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q19 Over the past year has the number of homeless persons in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	р				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q19 Over the	INCREASED	35	36	30	28	27	10	4	6	17	193
	past year has		19.3%	18.9%	13.7%	12.7%	24.5%	11.8%	7.3%	5.1%	11.3%	14.5%
	the number of homeless	DECREASED	14	12	13	14	9	8	6	6	11	93
	persons in your		7.7%	6.3%	5.9%	6.4%	8.2%	9.4%	10.9%	5.1%	7.3%	7.0%
	neighborhood.	STAYED ABOUT	119	136	144	163	67	56	33	82	106	906
		THE SAME	65.7%	71.6%	65.8%	74.1%	60.9%	65.9%	60.0%	70.1%	70.2%	68.2%
		No homeless	13	6	32	15	7	11	12	23	17	136
		persons in	7.2%	3.2%	14.6%	6.8%	6.4%	12.9%	21.8%	19.7%	11.3%	10.2%
	Total		181	190	219	220	110	85	55	117	151	1328
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q19 Over the	INCREASED	46	51	25	44	46	13	2	8	35	270
	past year has		24.3%	25.8%	12.7%	22.2%	42.6%	13.3%	2.1%	8.1%	17.4%	19.5%
	the number of	DECREASED	17	12	8	9	3	7	11	1	14	82
	homeless persons in your		9.0%	6.1%	4.1%	4.5%	2.8%	7.1%	11.5%	1.0%	7.0%	5.9%
	neighborhood.	STAYED ABOUT	117	129	136	123	53	69	68	71	115	881
		THE SAME	61.9%	65.2%	69.0%	62.1%	49.1%	70.4%	70.8%	71.7%	57.2%	63.7%
		No homeless	9	6	28	22	6	9	15	19	37	151
		persons in	4.8%	3.0%	14.2%	11.1%	5.6%	9.2%	15.6%	19.2%	18.4%	10.9%
	Total	- 11 1 1	189	198	197	198	108	98	96	99	201	1384
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q20 Over the past year has the amount of drug activity in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	ıp				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q20 Over the	INCREASED	38	39	25	12	14	10	16	11	12	177
	past year has		23.8%	23.6%	12.8%	6.3%	15.2%	13.5%	32.0%	10.4%	8.6%	15.1%
	the amount of	DECREASED	12	9	12	7	7	3	8	4	10	72
	drug activity in your		7.5%	5.5%	6.2%	3.6%	7.6%	4.1%	16.0%	3.8%	7.1%	6.1%
	neighborhood	STAYED ABOUT	102	111	137	152	63	55	25	73	104	822
		THE SAME	63.8%	67.3%	70.3%	79.2%	68.5%	74.3%	50.0%	68.9%	74.3%	70.0%
		No drug activity in	8	6	21	21	8	6	1	18	14	103
		neighborhood	5.0%	3.6%	10.8%	10.9%	8.7%	8.1%	2.0%	17.0%	10.0%	8.8%
	Total		160	165	195	192	92	74	50	106	140	1174
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q20 Over the	INCREASED	39	23	17	11	12	13	18	2	24	159
	past year has		22.9%	13.1%	9.6%	6.4%	13.3%	14.0%	20.2%	2.1%	12.5%	12.7%
	the amount of drug activity in	DECREASED	21	10	6	10	4	7	11	2	8	79
	your		12.4%	5.7%	3.4%	5.8%	4.4%	7.5%	12.4%	2.1%	4.2%	6.3%
	neighborhood	STAYED ABOUT	99	130	124	135	58	62	51	76	125	860
		THE SAME	58.2%	74.3%	70.1%	78.5%	64.4%	66.7%	57.3%	79.2%	65.1%	68.6%
		No drug activity in	11	12	30	16	16	11	9	16	35	156
		neighborhood	6.5%	6.9%	16.9%	9.3%	17.8%	11.8%	10.1%	16.7%	18.2%	12.4%
	Total		170	175	177	172	90	93	89	96	192	1254
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Over the past year, have you noticed any change in the type of alcohol products consumed by persons drinking in public places in your neighborhood? * Ground Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	ıp				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q21 Over the past year, have you noticed any change	Yes - 'What kind of changes have you noticed?'	10	9	8	11	4	2	4	3	6	57
	in the type of alcohol products consumed by		5.7%	5.0%	3.8%	5.1%	3.9%	2.4%	8.0%	2.7%	4.1%	4.5%
	persons drinking in public places in	No	165	172	201	206	99	80	46	107	139	1215
	your neighborhood?		94.3%	95.0%	96.2%	94.9%	96.1%	97.6%	92.0%	97.3%	95.9%	95.5%
	Total		175	181	209	217	103	82	50	110	145	1272
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q21 Over the past year, have you noticed any change	Yes - 'What kind of changes have you noticed?'	18	8	3	2	8	5	5	1	6	56
	in the type of alcohol products consumed by		9.5%	4.2%	1.6%	1.0%	7.8%	5.2%	5.6%	1.0%	3.1%	4.2%
	persons drinking in public places in	No	171	182	188	189	94	92	85	98	188	1287
	your neighborhood?		90.5%	95.8%	98.4%	99.0%	92.2%	94.8%	94.4%	99.0%	96.9%	95.8%
	Total		189	190	191	191	102	97	90	99	194	1343
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q22 Over the past year have you noticed any change in how convenience stores, grocery stores, restaurants and bars in your neighborhood deal with CPIs? Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Grou	ıp				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q22 Over the past year have you noticed any	Yes - 'What kind of changes have you noticed?'	26	18	14	11	13	4	5	4	15	110
	change in how convenience stores, grocery		15.2%	10.1%	6.8%	5.1%	12.0%	4.8%	10.6%	3.7%	10.1%	8.7%
	stores, restaurants and bars in your	No	145	160	193	205	95	79	42	104	134	1157
	neighborhood deal with CPIs?		84.8%	89.9%	93.2%	94.9%	88.0%	95.2%	89.4%	96.3%	89.9%	91.3%
	Total		171	178	207	216	108	83	47	108	149	1267
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q22 Over the past year have you noticed any change in how	Yes - 'What kind of changes have you noticed?'	21	12	9	2	3	6	9	1	13	76
	convenience stores, grocery		11.5%	6.4%	4.8%	1.1%	2.9%	6.4%	11.1%	1.0%	6.8%	5.8%
	stores, restaurants and bars in your	No	161	175	178	187	99	88	72	98	177	1235
	neighborhood deal with CPIs?		88.5%	93.6%	95.2%	98.9%	97.1%	93.6%	88.9%	99.0%	93.2%	94.2%
	Total		182	187	187	189	102	94	81	99	190	1311
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q23 Over the past year, would you say that your neighborhood has changed . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
Voor			Central	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Pollord	Licton	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
Year 2006	Q23 Over the past	FOR BETTER	Core AIA 44	North AIA 27	34	35	Ballard 22	Spr/Grnwd 18	Kainei 15	19	37	251
2000	year, would you	TORBETTER	23.5%	13.8%	15.3%	15.3%	19.8%	20.7%	26.8%	16.4%	23.9%	18.5%
	say that your	FOR WORSE	21	30	21	13	21	5	6	8	14	139
	neighborhood has changed		11.2%	15.3%	9.5%	5.7%	18.9%	5.7%	10.7%	6.9%	9.0%	10.2%
	onangou i i	OR, STAYED	122	139	167	181	68	64	35	89	104	969
		ABOUT THE SAME	65.2%	70.9%	75.2%	79.0%	61.3%	73.6%	62.5%	76.7%	67.1%	71.3%
	Total		187	196	222	229	111	87	56	116	155	1359
	_		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q23 Over the past	FOR BETTER	46	28	22	22	21	17	21	13	33	223
	year, would you		23.4%	14.1%	11.1%	11.0%	19.3%	17.0%	20.8%	12.7%	16.1%	15.8%
	say that your	FOR WORSE	34	33	23	14	17	8	8	5	26	168
	neighborhood has changed		17.3%	16.6%	11.6%	7.0%	15.6%	8.0%	7.9%	4.9%	12.7%	11.9%
		OR, STAYED	117	138	154	164	71	75	72	84	146	1021
		ABOUT THE SAME	59.4%	69.3%	77.4%	82.0%	65.1%	75.0%	71.3%	82.4%	71.2%	72.3%
	Total		197	199	199	200	109	100	101	102	205	1412
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q24 Over the past year would you say that the problem of chronic public inebriation in your neighborhood has . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q24 Over the	INCREASED	20	19	8	10	12	1	4	6	12	92
	past year would		10.9%	9.9%	3.7%	4.4%	10.8%	1.2%	7.7%	5.2%	7.9%	6.9%
	you say that the	DECREASED	17	11	12	7	7	7	4	3	7	75
	problem of chronic public		9.3%	5.8%	5.5%	3.1%	6.3%	8.2%	7.7%	2.6%	4.6%	5.6%
	inebriation in	STAYED ABOUT	136	156	157	179	80	65	36	77	108	994
	your	THE SAME	74.3%	81.7%	72.0%	79.6%	72.1%	76.5%	69.2%	67.0%	71.5%	74.7%
	neighborhood	No chronic	10	5	41	29	12	12	8	29	24	170
	has	inebriates in	5.5%	2.6%	18.8%	12.9%	10.8%	14.1%	15.4%	25.2%	15.9%	12.8%
	Total		183	191	218	225	111	85	52	115	151	1331
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q24 Over the	INCREASED	21	16	12	9	19	8	2	2	13	102
	past year would		10.9%	8.1%	6.1%	4.7%	17.9%	8.1%	2.2%	2.0%	6.5%	7.4%
	you say that the problem of	DECREASED	20	10	5	7	5	4	8	1	11	71
	chronic public		10.4%	5.1%	2.5%	3.7%	4.7%	4.0%	8.8%	1.0%	5.5%	5.2%
	inebriation in	STAYED ABOUT	139	155	142	144	72	71	69	75	137	1004
	your	THE SAME	72.0%	78.7%	72.1%	75.4%	67.9%	71.7%	75.8%	75.0%	68.8%	73.1%
	neighborhood	No chronic	13	16	38	31	10	16	12	22	38	196
	has	inebriates in	6.7%	8.1%	19.3%	16.2%	9.4%	16.2%	13.2%	22.0%	19.1%	14.3%
	Total		193	197	197	191	106	99	91	100	199	1373
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q26 Opinion about restrictions on the sale of alcohol products * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro	up				
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q26 Opinion	More	54	57	38	35	25	22	23	25	39	318
	about	restrictions	30.9%	30.5%	18.5%	15.7%	23.8%	26.5%	41.8%	21.9%	26.5%	24.6%
	restrictions on the sale of	Fewer	22	28	18	24	8	5	3	7	9	124
	alcohol products	restrictions	12.6%	15.0%	8.8%	10.8%	7.6%	6.0%	5.5%	6.1%	6.1%	9.6%
	p	Or, no	99	102	149	164	72	56	29	82	99	852
		changes	56.6%	54.5%	72.7%	73.5%	68.6%	67.5%	52.7%	71.9%	67.3%	65.8%
	Total		175	187	205	223	105	83	55	114	147	1294
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q26 Opinion	More	52	29	34	30	26	17	32	11	43	274
	about	restrictions	28.1%	15.8%	18.3%	15.8%	24.8%	17.9%	34.8%	11.0%	22.6%	20.6%
	restrictions on the sale of	Fewer	24	35	20	30	11	10	7	13	29	179
	alcohol products	restrictions	13.0%	19.0%	10.8%	15.8%	10.5%	10.5%	7.6%	13.0%	15.3%	13.5%
	,	Or, no	109	120	132	130	68	68	53	76	118	874
		changes	58.9%	65.2%	71.0%	68.4%	64.8%	71.6%	57.6%	76.0%	62.1%	65.9%
	Total		185	184	186	190	105	95	92	100	190	1327
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q28 In total how many adults over the age of 18 live in your household? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

							Gro					
			Cantral				Gio	1	NaIIalli.			
Year			Central Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	Wimi North	Ballard	Licton Spr/Grnwd	New Holly Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q28 In total how	One	102	89	57	82	43	28	19	24	45	489
	many adults over		53.4%	44.3%	25.9%	35.3%	37.4%	31.5%	33.3%	20.7%	29.2%	35.6%
	the age of 18 live	Two	73	82	130	125	67	52	29	71	89	718
	in your		38.2%	40.8%	59.1%	53.9%	58.3%	58.4%	50.9%	61.2%	57.8%	52.2%
	household?	Three	10	14	24	15	2	7	6	17	16	111
			5.2%	7.0%	10.9%	6.5%	1.7%	7.9%	10.5%	14.7%	10.4%	8.1%
		Four	2	8	7	5	1	1	3	3	1	31
			1.0%	4.0%	3.2%	2.2%	.9%	1.1%	5.3%	2.6%	.6%	2.3%
		Five	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	9
			1.0%	.5%	.5%	.0%	.9%	1.1%	.0%	.9%	1.3%	.7%
		Six+	2	7	1	5	1	0	0	0	1	17
			1.0%	3.5%	.5%	2.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	1.2%
	Total		191	201	220	232	115	89	57	116	154	1375
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q28 In total how	One	92	76	59	49	31	39	39	23	51	459
	many adults over		46.5%	38.2%	29.6%	24.4%	27.9%	39.4%	39.0%	23.0%	25.6%	32.6%
	the age of 18 live in your	Two	89	96	116	125	72	51	38	70	122	779
	household?		44.9%	48.2%	58.3%	62.2%	64.9%	51.5%	38.0%	70.0%	61.3%	55.4%
	nouconola.	Three	8	16	18	19	4	7	12	4	16	104
			4.0%	8.0%	9.0%	9.5%	3.6%	7.1%	12.0%	4.0%	8.0%	7.4%
		Four	7	4	4	6	3	2	8	3	8	45
			3.5%	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%	2.7%	2.0%	8.0%	3.0%	4.0%	3.2%
		Five	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	6
			.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.5%	.4%
		Six+	1	5	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	13
			.5%	2.5%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.5%	.9%
	Total		198	199	199	201	111	99	100	100	199	1406
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q29 Gender * Group * Year Crosstabulation

		Group										
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Q29 Gender	Male	110	102	89	97	52	41	24	49	66	630
			57.3%	50.5%	40.1%	41.8%	45.2%	46.1%	42.1%	41.9%	42.6%	45.6%
		Female	82	100	133	135	63	48	33	68	89	751
			42.7%	49.5%	59.9%	58.2%	54.8%	53.9%	57.9%	58.1%	57.4%	54.4%
	Total		192	202	222	232	115	89	57	117	155	1381
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q29 Gender	Male	78	102	78	85	45	45	39	44	72	588
			39.0%	50.5%	39.0%	42.3%	40.2%	45.0%	38.2%	44.0%	35.8%	41.5%
		Female	122	100	122	116	67	55	63	56	129	830
			61.0%	49.5%	61.0%	57.7%	59.8%	55.0%	61.8%	56.0%	64.2%	58.5%
	Total		200	202	200	201	112	100	102	100	201	1418
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Age Recode * Group * Year Crosstabulation

				Group								
			Central			Wimi		Licton	New Holly			
Year			Core AIA	North AIA	Wimi CC	North	Ballard	Spr/Grnwd	Rainer	Seattle Other	Seattle RDD	Total
2006	Age	Refuse										
	Recode	Don't know										
		<21	3	2	3	1	2	0	2	1	2	16
			1.6%	1.0%	1.4%	.4%	1.8%	.0%	3.6%	.9%	1.3%	1.2%
		21-30	26	46	12	24	14	12	8	7	13	162
			13.8%	23.2%	5.8%	10.6%	12.4%	13.6%	14.5%	6.2%	8.6%	12.1%
		31-40	37	50	41	36	28	13	8	15	27	255
			19.6%	25.3%	19.7%	15.9%	24.8%	14.8%	14.5%	13.3%	17.8%	19.0%
		41-50	38	23	43	44	18	22	10	21	42	261
			20.1%	11.6%	20.7%	19.4%	15.9%	25.0%	18.2%	18.6%	27.6%	19.4%
		51-60	39	34	55	74	27	18	14	35	43	339
			20.6%	17.2%	26.4%	32.6%	23.9%	20.5%	25.5%	31.0%	28.3%	25.2%
		61-70	23	18	29	25	9	10	6	18	7	145
			12.2%	9.1%	13.9%	11.0%	8.0%	11.4%	10.9%	15.9%	4.6%	10.8%
		70+	23	25	25	23	15	13	7	16	18	165
			12.2%	12.6%	12.0%	10.1%	13.3%	14.8%	12.7%	14.2%	11.8%	12.3%
	Total		189	198	208	227	113	88	55	113	152	1343
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Age	Refuse	8	8	8	8	3	4	3	1	12	55
	Recode		4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	2.7%	4.0%	2.9%	1.0%	6.0%	3.9%
		Don't know	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
		<21	0	2	0	2	0	2	5	0	2	13
			.0%	1.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	2.0%	4.9%	.0%	1.0%	.9%
		21-30	24	25	10	16	12	6	11	7	11	122
			12.0%	12.4%	5.0%	8.0%	10.7%	6.0%	10.8%	7.0%	5.5%	8.6%
		31-40	41	42	22	33	22	20	13	11	33	237
			20.5%	20.8%	11.0%	16.4%	19.6%	20.0%	12.7%	11.0%	16.4%	16.7%
		41-50	41	41	49	38	21	25	15	29	39	298
			20.5%	20.3%	24.5%	18.9%	18.8%	25.0%	14.7%	29.0%	19.4%	21.0%
		51-60	38	44	49	41	24	20	21	24	45	306
			19.0%	21.8%	24.5%	20.4%	21.4%	20.0%	20.6%	24.0%	22.4%	21.6%
		61-70	30	20	39	45	15	14	22	18	32	235
			15.0%	9.9%	19.5%	22.4%	13.4%	14.0%	21.6%	18.0%	15.9%	16.6%
		70+	18	20	23	18	15	9	11	10	27	151
			9.0%	9.9%	11.5%	9.0%	13.4%	9.0%	10.8%	10.0%	13.4%	10.6%
	Total		200	202	200	201	112	100	102	100	201	1418
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

II. RETAILER MAIL SURVEY

A. English Questionnaire & Cover Letter

Survey of Seattle Retailers

May 2009



This Survey is Sponsored by the

Washington State
Liquor Control Board
&
The City of Seattle

May 2009 Survey of Seattle Retailers

Q1.	How	much	of	а	problem	is	the	presence	of	chronic	public	inebriates	in	your
	_				"chronic p unk in pul			ebriate" is	а ре	erson with	n a sev	ere alcohol	pro	blem
	******	o noque	٠,	, G.	ann in pai	J.1.O.								

- 1. A very big problem
- 2. Somewhat of a big problem
- 3. Only a slight problem
- 4. Or, not a problem
- 5. Not sure

Q2.	Why do you feel this way?							
Q3.	A few years ago the Washington State Liquor Control Board placed restrictions on the sale of certain alcohol products in your neighborhood. Do you know about these restrictions on the sale of alcohol products in your neighborhood?							
	 Yes No → Skip to Q5 Don't know → Skip to Q5 							
Q4.	How did you learn about the product restrictions imposed by the Liquor Control Board?							
Q5.	Has your alcohol distributor advised you on how to deal with these restrictions?							
	1. Yes							
	 2. No → Skip to Q7 3. Don't know → Skip to Q7 							
	3. Don't know 7 okip to Q1							
Q6.	What advice did you get from your alcohol distributor?							

Changes in Your Neighborhood

- **Q7.** Compared with two years ago, has the number of chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood ...
 - 1. Increased
 - 2. Decreased
 - 3. Or, stayed about the same
 - 4. Not sure Don't know
- **Q8.** Would you say that the regular chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood are now more often intoxicated, less often intoxicated, or about the same as compared with two years ago?
 - 1. More often intoxicated
 - 2. Less often intoxicated
 - 3. Or, stayed about the same
 - 4. Not sure Don't know
- **Q9.** Compared with two years ago, has the overall cleanliness of your neighborhood . . .
 - 1. Increased
 - 2. Decreased
 - 3. Or, stayed about the same
 - 4. Not sure Don't know
- **Q10.** Compared with two years ago, has the amount of trash and litter due to chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood . . .
 - 1. Increased
 - 2. Decreased
 - 3. Or, stayed about the same
 - 4. Not sure Don't know
- **Q11.** Compared with two years ago, have you noticed a change in the kind of trash and litter associated with chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood?

1. Yes -	→ What changes? →
2. No	
3. Don't	Know

- **Q12.** Compared with two years ago, has the number of persons urinating or defecating in public places in your neighborhood . . .
 - 1. Increased
 - Decreased
 - 3. Or, stayed about the same
 - 4. Not sure Don't know

Compared with two years ago, would you say that nowadays in your neighborhood you feel more safe, less safe, or have experienced no change in safety 1. More safe 2. Less safe 3. Or, no change in safety 4. Not sure - Don't know
Compared with two years ago, has the amount of crime in your neighborhood 1. Increased 2. Decreased 3. Or, stayed about the same 4. Not sure - Don't know
Compared with two years ago, has the number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood 1. Increased 2. Decreased 3. Or, stayed about the same 4. Not sure - Don't know
Compared with two years ago, has the amount of drug activity in your neighborhood 1. Increased 2. Decreased 3. Or, stayed about the same 4. Not sure - Don't know
Compared with two years ago, have you noticed any changes in the types of alcohol products consumed by persons drinking in public places in your neighborhood 1. Yes → What changes? → 2. No 3. Don't Know
Compared with two years ago, have you noticed any changes in how the convenience and grocery stores, and restaurants and bars in your neighborhood deal with chronic public inebriates? 1. Yes → What changes? → 2. No

3. Don't Know

Q19.	Over the past two years, would you say that your neighborhood has changed							
	 For the better → Why? → For the worse → Why? → Or, stayed about the same → Not sure - Don't know 							
Q20.	Compared with two years ago, would you say that the problem of chronic public inebriation in your neighborhood has							
	 Increased → Why → Decreased → Why → Or, stayed about the same → Why → Not sure - Don't know 							
	Changes in Your Business							
Q21.	Compared with two years ago, has the amount of alcohol sold at your business 1. Increased 2. Decreased 3. Or, stayed about the same 4. Not sure - Don't know							
Q22.	Compared with two years ago, has the number of chronic public inebriates purchasing alcohol at your business 1. Increased 2. Decreased 3. Or, stayed about the same 4. Not sure - Don't know							
Q23.	Compared with two years ago, have you seen any changes in the type of alcohol sold by your business?							
	 Yes → What changes? → No Don't Know 							
Q24.	Compared with two years ago, have you noticed any changes in the demographic characteristics of your customers?							
	 1. Yes → What changes? → 2. No 3. Don't Know 							

Q25.	Compared with two years ago, have you noticed any changes in the kinds of alcohol that chronic public inebriates are buying from your store?							
	1. Yes → What changes?2. No3. Don't Know							
Q26.	In your experience how likely are chronic public inebriates to purchase beer by the single can or single bottle?							
	 Very Likely Somewhat Likely Somewhat Unlikely Very Unlikely Not Sure 							
Q27.	Were you ever approached by the City of Seattle and asked to sign a Good Neighbor Agreement (GNA) as part of a voluntary effort to control chronic public inebriation? 1. Yes 2. No							
	3. Don't Know							
Q28.	Did you sign the Good Neighborhood Agreement (GNA)?							
	 Yes → Skip To Q30 No Not Sure 							
Q29.	What is the main reason that you did not sign the Good Neighborhood Agreement?							
Q30.	What business practices do you believe convenience and grocery stores, restaurants, and bars could use that might be more effective in controlling chronic public inebriation than the restrictions imposed by the Liquor Control Board?							

Q31. Below is a list of problems that may exist in neighborhoods. For each one, please indicate how much of a problem this is in your neighborhood. Please check one box per line.

	Big Problem	Somewhat Of a Problem	Slight Problem	Not a Problem	Not Sure
The amount of trash and litter	4	3	2	1	0
The number of homeless people on the street	4	3	2	1	0
The number of people drinking alcohol in public	4	3	2	1	0
The amount of crime	4	3	2	1	0
The amount of drug activity	4	3	2	1	0
The number of persons panhandling	4	3	2	1	0
The number of people who are drunk in public	4	3	2	1	0
Unsafe areas	4	3	2	1	0

Thank you very much for your participation in this survey of Seattle area retailers. If you have any comments about this survey or about the issue of chronic public inebriates, please write them in the space below.						

Please return your questionnaire in the enclosed envelope to:
Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
Washington State University
PO Box 1801
Pullman, WA 99164-1801

Alcohol Impact Area Retailer Cover Letter

«Tradename»

«Attn»

«Mail Address»

«Mail Address 2»

«City», «STATE» «ZIP» «dash» «zip4»

The Washington State Liquor Control Board (WSLCB) is conducting a study on the problems of chronic public inebriation in Seattle. A few years ago, the WSLCB created an alcohol impact area (AIA) in Seattle, which placed certain restrictions on the sale of some alcohol products. The WSLCB has asked Washington State University to conduct an evaluation of the AIA restrictions.

We are surveying all businesses that sell alcohol products in your neighborhood. Your participation in this survey is voluntary and confidential. I ask that you please <u>complete and return the enclosed questionnaire</u> <u>by June 8, 2009</u>. Your assistance in completing this questionnaire will be very helpful in deciding whether or not the AIA restrictions should be continued.

The questionnaires will be returned to and processed by Washington State University. All of the information you provide will be kept strictly confidential. No data will be disclosed that identifies an individual business. A code number is printed on the back page; this is used to check your business off the mailing list when the questionnaire is returned.

I would be happy to answer any questions that you might have about this survey or your participation. Feel free to call me at Washington State University at (800) 833-0867 or send a fax message to me at (509) 335-4688. You can also email me at sesrc@wsu.edu

Thank you for your assistance!

- Tamai

Sincerely,

John Tarnai Director

II. RETAILER MAIL SURVEY

B. Korean Questionnaire & Cover Letter

시애틀 소매업자의 설문 조사 Survey of Seattle Retailers

May 2009 2009 년 5 월



본 설문 조사는

워싱톤주 주류 통제국

&

시애틀 시에서 주최합니다.

2009 년 5 월 시애틀 소매업자를 대상으로 하는 설문 조사

- Q1. 귀하의 업소 주위에 만성 알콜 중독자로 인하여 얼마나 많은 문제들이 일어납니까? 만성 알콜 중독이란 공중 장소에서 만취한 상태를 말합니다.
 - 1. 엄청 큰 문제다.
 - 2. 조금 큰 문제다.
 - 3. 조금 문제다.
 - 4. 아무 문제가 아니다.
 - 5. 아무 문제가 아니다

	5. 아무 문제가 아니다.
Q2.	그렇게 생각하시는 이유는 무엇입니까?
Q3. 몇	년전에 워싱톤주 주류 통제국에서는 최근에 귀하의 지역에 대한 특정한 주류에 관한 판매 규제를 했습니다. 이 제한에 대해서 알고 계셨습니까?
	 네 아니오 → 바로 5 번으로 가세요. 모르겠다 → 바로 5 번으로 가세요.
Q4.	주류국에서 제한한 주류의 물품을 어떻게 알게 되셨습니까?

귀하의 주류 유통업자는 귀하에게 이 규정에 대해서 설명을 했습니까?

1. 네

Q5.

- 아니오 → 바로 7 번으로 가세요.
- 모르겠다→ 바로 7번 으로 가세요.
- Q6. 주류 유통업자로 부터 어떠한 설명을 들었습니까?

귀하의 업소 주변의 변화

Ω7	이 녀저과	- 비교해 볼때	귀하의 주변에	마성 안큼	중독자로	이하 문제는
w.	이번전체	디프에 들떼.	미이의 구단에		ら ¬ ハ エ	진진 판제는

- 1. 늘었다.
- 2. 줄었다.
- 3. 예전과 같다.
- 4. 확실하지 않다 모르겠다.
- Q8. 이 년전과 비교해 볼때, 귀하의 주변에서 일어나는 만성 알콜 중독자들의 상태는 어떠하다고 생각하십니까?
 - 1. 더 많이 취했다.
 - 2. 덜 취했다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다.
 - 4. 확실하지 않다 -모르겠다.
- Q9. 이 년전과 비교해 볼때, 귀하의 업소 주변의 전체적인 청결함은 어떠했습니까?
 - 1. 나아졌다.
 - 2. 나빠졌다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다
 - 4. 확실하지 않다 -모르겠다.
- Q10. 이년전과 비교해 볼때, 만성 음주자로 인한 주변의 쓰레기와 오물의 양은?
 - 1. 늘었다.
 - 2. 줄었다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다.
 - 4. 확실하지 않다-모르겠다.
- Q11. 이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소 주변에 만성 알콜 중독자로 인해서 생긴 쓰레기와 오물의 상태가 변했다고 생각하십니까?

1.	네) 어떤	변화가	있 -	
었	다그	고 생각	하십니까	?	

- 2. 아니오.
- 3. 모르겠다.

- Q12. 이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소 주변 공공 장소에서 소변을 보거나 배변을 보는 사람의 수는...
 - 1. 늘었다.
 - 2. 줄었다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다.
 - 4. 확실하지 않다-모르겠다.
- Q13. 이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 현재에 귀하의 업소 주변이 더 안전하다고 생각하십니까 덜 안전하다고 생각하십니까, 변한 것이 없다고 계십니까?
 - 1. 더 안전하다.
 - 2. 덜 안전하다.
 - 3. 안전성의 변함이 없다.
 - 4. 확실하지 않다 -모르겠다.
- Q14. 이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소 주변의 범죄 숫자는...
 - 1. 늘었다.
 - 2. 줄었다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다.
 - 4. 확실하지 않다-모르겠다.
- Q15. 이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소 주변에 구걸하는 사람의 숫자는...
 - 1. 늘었다.
 - 2. 줄었다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다.
 - 4. 확실하지 않다 -모르겠다.
- Q16. 이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소 주변에서 마약을 하는 사람의 수는...
 - 1. 늘었다.
 - 2. 줄었다.
 - 3. 예전과 같다.
 - 확실하지 않다- 모르겠다.

Q17.	이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 바뀌었다고 생각하십니까?	귀하의 업소에서 고객이 공공 장소에서 마시려는 주류의 종류가
	 1. 네→ 어떻게 바뀌었습니까? → 2. 아니오 3. 모르겠다. 	
Q18.		커비니언 스토어나 그로서리, 음식점, 바등에서 만취한 사람들과의 고 생각하십니까?
	 네 → 어떤 변화인가요? → 아니오 모르겠다. 	
Q19.	이 년전과 미루어볼 때, 귀히	의 업소 주변의 변화에 대해서는
	 더 나아졌다→ 왜냐하면 더 나빠졌다→ 왜냐하면 예전과 같다 → 왜냐하면 확실하지 않다-모르겠다 	!?→ !? →
Q20.	이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀히	하의 업소 주변에 만성 알콜 중독자로 인한 문제는
	 늘었다. → 왜냐하면 → 줄었다. → 왜냐하면→ 예전과 같다. → 왜냐하면 확실하지 않다 – 모르겠 	
		귀하의 사업의 변화
Q21.	이 년 전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀	하의 업소에서 판매하는 주류 판매량은
	 늘었다. 줄었다. 	

-4-

3. 예전과 같다 왜냐하면-4. 확실하지 않다-모르겠다.

Q22.	이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소에서 만취한 사람이 술을 사는 횟수는?
	 늘었다. 줄었다. 예전과 같다. 확실하지 않다 – 모르겠다.
Q23.	이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소에서 판매하는 주류의 종류가 달라졌다고 생각하십니까?
	 네→ 무엇이 달라졌 다고 생각하십니까? → 아니오. 모르겠다.
Q24.	
	1. 네 → 어떤 변화가 있었나요? → 2. 아니오. 3. 모르겠다.
Q25.	이 년전과 비교해 볼 때, 귀하의 업소에서 만성 알콜 중독 상태에서 구입하는 주류의 종류가 바뀌었다고 생각하십니까?
	 네 → 어떻게 바뀌었나요? → 아니오. 모르겠다.
Q26.	귀하의 경험으로 미루어 볼때 만성 알콜 중독자들이 구입하기 원하는 주류의 종류는 낱개로 파는 깡통이나 낱개로 파는 병이라고 생각하십니까?
	 확실히 그렇다고 생각한다. 조금 그렇다고 생각한다. 전혀 아니라고 생각한다. 모르겠다.

Q27.	귀하께서는 만성 알콜 중독자를 관리하기 위한 노력으로 시애틀 시로부터 좋은 이웃 만들기 동의서(Good Neighbor Agreement)에 자율적으로 참여하기를 권유 받은 적이 있으십니까? 1. 네 2. 아니오. 3. 모르겠다.							
Q28.	동의서 (Good Neighbor Agreement) 에 서명을 하셨습니까? 1. 네 - 30 번으로 가십시오. 2. 아니오. 3. 모르겠다.							
Q29.	9. 동의서(Good Neighbor Agreement)에 서명을 하지 않으신 주된 이유는 무엇입니까?							
Q30.	귀하는 주류국의 규정보다 :	그로서리니	나 식당 바	에서 보다	더 효과적	이로 마성	알콜 문제를	
400.	해결할 수 있는 방법이 있다고							
024			140 04718	행소니라 레			nt = ol	
Q31.	아래에는 귀하의 주변에 일어 문제가 있는지 표시해 주시기			깼답니다. 예	당하는 영학	수마다 된마	만큼의	
		많은 문제다	조금 문제다	아주 조금 문제다	문제가 아니다	모르겠다		
쓰레	기와 오물의 양	4	3	2	1	0		
거리여	에 걸인의 수	4	3	2	1	0		
공공	장소에서 술마시는 사람의 수	4	3	2	1	0		
범죄의	의양	4	3	2	1	0		
마약	활동의 양	4	3	2	1	0		
구걸	하는 사람의 수	4	3	2	1	0		
공공	장소에서 술취한 사람의 수	4	3	2	1			
안전	하지 않은 장소	4	3	2	1	0		
			-6-					

설문 조사에 참여해 주셔서 대단히 감사합니다. 본 설문 조사에 대한 귀하의 의견이나 만성

알콜 중독자에 대한 의견이 있으시면 아래에 써 주시기 바랍니다.	알콜 중독자에 대한 의견이 있으시면 아래에 써 주시기 바랍니다.				

작성된 설문지를 동봉된 봉투에 넣어서 아래 주소로 보내주세요. Social & Economic Sciences Research Center Washington State University PO Box 1801 Pullman, WA 99164-1801

Alcohol Impact Area Korean Retailer Cover Letter

«Tradename» «Attn» «Mail_Address» «Mail_Address_2» «City», «STATE» «ZIP»«dash»«zip4»

워싱턴즈 주류 통제국에서는 시어를 지역의 단성 발톱 종독자 문제와 관련하여 연구를 진행 종입니다. 주류 통제국에서는 몇년 전에, 시애들에 특징한 종류의 주론 판매를 제한 하는 주론 관매영향 지역(Alcohol Impact Area) 을 지성 했습니다. 주론 등자국에서는 워싱턴 즉립 내회(Washington State University)에 수류 판매영향 지역지질과 관련해 이어 대한 시행 평가를 소사하고 있습니다.

조류 통제국은 귀하의 주변에서 조류를 판매하는 모든 업소에 이 설문지를 보냈습니다. 이번 설문에 귀하의 참여는 자율적 0 지만, 가급적이면 참여 해 주셔서 한 문항도 빠짐없이 점실하 용답하여 주시면 감치 해졌습니다. 이번 실문 조사를 통해 밝혀지는 귀하의 의견은 앞으로도 주류 판매 영향 지역의 지상과 지수 여부를 결정 하는데 큰 노유이 될 것 입니다.

이번 설문 조사는 위상된 즉립 대학 (Washington State University)에서 말아서 처리 할 것 입니다. 귀하가 제공하는 정보들은 기탈리에 관리 될 것이며 커커인의 사업치와 관련된 정보는 절대 유출 되지 않을 것 입니다. 뒷단에 일본 반호가 적혀 있는데, 이 반호는 귀하가 설문지를 작성하여 보내수지면 전체 설문대상 업소 독특에서 귀하의 단호를 제거하기 위하지 입니다.

본 실로 조차에 다히 궁금한 집이 있으시면, 유성된 주립 대회 (Washington State University) 무료 진화 번호 (800) 833-0867 로 연락 하시거나, 팩스로 메세지를 보내신 경우는 팩스 번호 (509) 335-4688, 이데일로 하실경우 이메일 주스 <u>sesro@wsu.edu</u>로 보내 주시면 감사하겠습니다.

길사한니다.

John Tamai(순 탈타이)

John Tamai

Director (극장)

«RespID»

I. RETAILER MAIL SURVEY

C. Crosstab Data

Q01 How much of a problem is the presence of chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

Group								
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q01 How much of a	A very big problem	Count	4	0	1	0	5
	problem is the presence of		% within Group	9.3%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%
	chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood?	Somewhat of a big problem	Count	9	1	2	3	15
	your neighborhood?		% within Group	20.9%	33.3%	33.3%	37.5%	25.0%
		Only a slight problem	Count	17	0	2	3	22
			% within Group	39.5%	.0%	33.3%	37.5%	36.7%
		Or, not a problem	Count	11	2	1	2	16
			% within Group	25.6%	66.7%	16.7%	25.0%	26.7%
		Not sure	Count	2	0	0	0	2
			% within Group	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%
	Total		Count	43	3	6	8	60
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q01 How much of a	A very big problem	Count	1	0	2	0	3
	problem is the presence of		% within Group	6.7%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	6.0%
	chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood?	Somewhat of a big problem	Count	2	2	5	2	11
	your neighborhood?		% within Group	13.3%	40.0%	27.8%	16.7%	22.0%
		Only a slight problem	Count	8	2	8	5	23
			% within Group	53.3%	40.0%	44.4%	41.7%	46.0%
		Or, not a problem	Count	3	1	3	4	11
			% within Group	20.0%	20.0%	16.7%	33.3%	22.0%
		Not sure	Count	1	0	0	1	2
			% within Group	6.7%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	4.0%
	Total		Count	15	5	18	12	50
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q03 Did you know about these restrictions on the sale of alcohol products in your neighborhood? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q03 Did you know about	Yes	Count	40	0	6	5	51
	these restrictions on the		% within Group	90.9%	.0%	100.0%	62.5%	82.3%
	sale of alcohol products in your neighborhood?	No	Count	3	3	0	2	8
	in your neighborhood?		% within Group	6.8%	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	12.9%
		Don't know	Count	1	1	0	1	3
			% within Group	2.3%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	4.8%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q03 Did you know about	Yes	Count	15	4	17	8	44
	these restrictions on the		% within Group	88.2%	80.0%	94.4%	66.7%	84.6%
	sale of alcohol products in your neighborhood?	No	Count	1	1	0	3	5
	iii youi neighboinoou!		% within Group	5.9%	20.0%	.0%	25.0%	9.6%
		Don't know	Count	1	0	1	1	3
			% within Group	5.9%	.0%	5.6%	8.3%	5.8%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

15 Did your alcohol distributor advise you on how to deal with these restrictions? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q05 Did your alcohol	Yes	Count	14	0	1	0	15
distributor advise you on how to deal with these restrictions?	distributor advise you		% within Group	31.8%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	24.2%
		No	Count	27	3	5	8	43
	these restrictions?		% within Group	61.4%	75.0%	83.3%	100.0%	69.4%
		Don't know	Count	3	1	0	0	4
			% within Group	6.8%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q05 Did your alcohol	Yes	Count	6	3	5	3	17
	distributor advise you		% within Group	37.5%	60.0%	33.3%	25.0%	35.4%
	on how to deal with these restrictions?	No	Count	8	2	10	7	27
	mese restrictions?		% within Group	50.0%	40.0%	66.7%	58.3%	56.3%
		Don't know	Count	2	0	0	2	4
			% within Group	12.5%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	8.3%
	Total		Count	16	5	15	12	48
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

.07 Compared with a year ago, has the number of chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood ... * Group * Year Crosstabulatio

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q07 Compared with a	Increased	Count	3	0	0	0	3
	year ago, has the number		% within Group	6.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8%
	of chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood	Decreased	Count	9	1	1	1	12
	iii youi neigriboinood		% within Group	20.5%	25.0%	16.7%	11.1%	19.0%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	23	1	4	4	32
			% within Group	52.3%	25.0%	66.7%	44.4%	50.8%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	9	2	1	4	16
			% within Group	20.5%	50.0%	16.7%	44.4%	25.4%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q07 Compared with a	Increased	Count	0	0	1	1	2
	year ago, has the number		% within Group	.0%	.0%	5.6%	8.3%	4.0%
	of chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood	Decreased	Count	5	3	7	1	16
	iii youi neigriboinood		% within Group	33.3%	60.0%	38.9%	8.3%	32.0%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	6	1	6	8	21
			% within Group	40.0%	20.0%	33.3%	66.7%	42.0%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	4	1	4	2	11
			% within Group	26.7%	20.0%	22.2%	16.7%	22.0%
	Total		Count	15	5	18	12	50
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q08 Would you say that the regular CPIs in your neighborhood are now? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q08 Would you say	More often intoxicated	Count	1	0	0	0	1
	that the regular CPIs		% within Group	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	in your neighborhood are now?	Less often intoxicated	Count	6	2	0	1	9
	are now!		% within Group	13.6%	50.0%	.0%	11.1%	14.3%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	31	0	4	6	41
			% within Group	70.5%	.0%	66.7%	66.7%	65.1%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	6	2	2	2	12
			% within Group	13.6%	50.0%	33.3%	22.2%	19.0%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q08 Would you say	More often intoxicated	Count	0	0	1	0	1
	that the regular CPIs		% within Group	.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	2.0%
	in your neighborhood are now?	Less often intoxicated	Count	0	1	5	1	7
	are now?		% within Group	.0%	20.0%	27.8%	8.3%	14.0%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	8	3	8	9	28
			% within Group	53.3%	60.0%	44.4%	75.0%	56.0%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	7	1	4	2	14
			% within Group	46.7%	20.0%	22.2%	16.7%	28.0%
	Total		Count	15	5	18	12	50
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q09 Overall cleanliness of your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	iroup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q09 Overall	Increased	Count	10	0	1	2	13
	cleanliness of your		% within Group	22.7%	.0%	16.7%	22.2%	20.6%
	neighborhood	Decreased	Count	5	0	0	1	6
			% within Group	11.4%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	9.5%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	28	4	5	5	42
			% within Group	63.6%	100.0%	83.3%	55.6%	66.7%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	1	0	0	1	2
			% within Group	2.3%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	3.2%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q09 Overall	Increased	Count	4	3	8	1	16
	cleanliness of your		% within Group	23.5%	60.0%	44.4%	8.3%	30.8%
	neighborhood	Decreased	Count	0	0	3	0	3
			% within Group	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	5.8%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	11	2	7	10	30
			% within Group	64.7%	40.0%	38.9%	83.3%	57.7%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	2	0	0	1	3
			% within Group	11.8%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	5.8%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q10 Amount of trash and litter due to chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q10 Amount of trash	Increased	Count	6	0	0	1	7
	and litter due to chronic		% within Group	13.6%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	11.1%
	public inebriates in your neighborhood	Decreased	Count	5	0	2	0	7
	neignbornood		% within Group	11.4%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	11.1%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	25	2	4	6	37
			% within Group	56.8%	50.0%	66.7%	66.7%	58.7%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	8	2	0	2	12
			% within Group	18.2%	50.0%	.0%	22.2%	19.0%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q10 Amount of trash	Increased	Count	0	0	3	0	3
	and litter due to chronic		% within Group	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	5.8%
	public inebriates in your neighborhood	Decreased	Count	3	2	4	0	9
	neighborhood		% within Group	17.6%	40.0%	22.2%	.0%	17.3%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	11	2	8	8	29
			% within Group	64.7%	40.0%	44.4%	66.7%	55.8%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	3	1	3	4	11
			% within Group	17.6%	20.0%	16.7%	33.3%	21.2%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q11 Change in the kind of trash and litter associated with chronic public inebriates in your neighborhood? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q11 Change in the	Yes -> What changes	Count	5	0	1	0	6
	kind of trash and litter		% within Group	11.9%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	9.8%
	associated with chronic	No	Count	23	2	5	6	36
	public inebriates in your neighborhood?		% within Group	54.8%	50.0%	83.3%	66.7%	59.0%
	noighbornood.	Don't Know	Count	14	2	0	3	19
			% within Group	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	33.3%	31.1%
	Total		Count	42	4	6	9	61
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q11 Change in the	Yes -> What changes	Count	1	2	7	1	11
	kind of trash and litter		% within Group	5.9%	40.0%	38.9%	8.3%	21.2%
	associated with chronic	No	Count	9	2	7	10	28
	public inebriates in your neighborhood?		% within Group	52.9%	40.0%	38.9%	83.3%	53.8%
	neighborhood:	Don't Know	Count	7	1	4	1	13
			% within Group	41.2%	20.0%	22.2%	8.3%	25.0%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q12 Number of persons urinating or defecating in public places in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Froup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q12 Number of persons	Increased	Count	3	0	0	1	4
	urinating or defecating in		% within Group	6.8%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	6.3%
	public places in your neighborhood	Decreased	Count	4	0	1	1	6
	neighborhood		% within Group	9.1%	.0%	16.7%	11.1%	9.5%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	20	2	4	5	31
			% within Group	45.5%	50.0%	66.7%	55.6%	49.2%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	17	2	1	2	22
			% within Group	38.6%	50.0%	16.7%	22.2%	34.9%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q12 Number of persons	Increased	Count	0	0	3	0	3
	urinating or defecating in		% within Group	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	5.8%
	public places in your	Decreased	Count	3	1	3	2	9
	neighborhood		% within Group	18.8%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	17.3%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	8	3	7	6	24
			% within Group	50.0%	50.0%	38.9%	50.0%	46.2%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	5	2	5	4	16
			% within Group	31.3%	33.3%	27.8%	33.3%	30.8%
	Total		Count	16	6	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q13 Now feel more safe, less safe, or have experienced no change in safety. . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					Group			
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q13 Now feel more	More safe	Count	5	1	0	1	7
	safe, less safe, or have		% within Group	11.4%	25.0%	.0%	11.1%	11.1%
	experienced no change in safety	Less safe	Count	5	0	1	1	7
	iii salety		% within Group	11.4%	.0%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%
		Or, no change in safety	Count	32	3	5	6	46
			% within Group	72.7%	75.0%	83.3%	66.7%	73.0%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	2	0	0	1	3
			% within Group	4.5%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	4.8%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q13 Now feel more	More safe	Count	3	2	5	1	11
	safe, less safe, or have		% within Group	17.6%	40.0%	27.8%	7.7%	20.8%
	experienced no change	Less safe	Count	1	0	5	2	8
	in safety		% within Group	5.9%	.0%	27.8%	15.4%	15.1%
		Or, no change in safety	Count	12	1	8	9	30
			% within Group	70.6%	20.0%	44.4%	69.2%	56.6%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	1	2	0	1	4
			% within Group	5.9%	40.0%	.0%	7.7%	7.5%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	13	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q14 Amount of crime in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q14 Amount of	Increased	Count	4	2	2	2	10
	crime in your		% within Group	9.1%	50.0%	33.3%	22.2%	15.9%
	neighborhood .	Decreased	Count	5	0	0	0	5
	• •		% within Group	11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.9%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	21	1	4	4	30
			% within Group	47.7%	25.0%	66.7%	44.4%	47.6%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	14	1	0	3	18
			% within Group	31.8%	25.0%	.0%	33.3%	28.6%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q14 Amount of	Increased	Count	1	0	6	3	10
	crime in your		% within Group	6.3%	.0%	33.3%	23.1%	19.2%
	neighborhood .	Decreased	Count	2	0	2	0	4
	• •		% within Group	12.5%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	7.7%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	6	3	4	5	18
			% within Group	37.5%	60.0%	22.2%	38.5%	34.6%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	7	2	6	5	20
			% within Group	43.8%	40.0%	33.3%	38.5%	38.5%
	Total		Count	16	5	18	13	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q15 Number of persons panhandling in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					Group			
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q15 Number of	Increased	Count	8	1	1	1	11
	persons panhandling		% within Group	18.6%	25.0%	16.7%	11.1%	17.7%
	in your neighborhood.	Decreased	Count	3	0	1	0	4
	• •		% within Group	7.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	6.5%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	25	1	4	5	35
			% within Group	58.1%	25.0%	66.7%	55.6%	56.5%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	7	2	0	3	12
			% within Group	16.3%	50.0%	.0%	33.3%	19.4%
	Total		Count	43	4	6	9	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q15 Number of	Increased	Count	3	0	2	2	7
	persons panhandling		% within Group	18.8%	.0%	11.1%	15.4%	13.5%
	in your neighborhood.	Decreased	Count	6	2	6	0	14
	• •		% within Group	37.5%	40.0%	33.3%	.0%	26.9%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	4	3	6	9	22
			% within Group	25.0%	60.0%	33.3%	69.2%	42.3%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	3	0	4	2	9
			% within Group	18.8%	.0%	22.2%	15.4%	17.3%
	Total		Count	16	5	18	13	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q16 Amount of drug activity in your neighborhood . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q16 Amount of drug	Increased	Count	9	0	1	3	13
	activity in your		% within Group	20.9%	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	21.0%
	neighborhood	Decreased	Count	3	0	0	1	4
			% within Group	7.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	6.5%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	9	2	4	1	16
			% within Group	20.9%	50.0%	66.7%	11.1%	25.8%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	22	2	1	4	29
			% within Group	51.2%	50.0%	16.7%	44.4%	46.8%
	Total		Count	43	4	6	9	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q16 Amount of drug	Increased	Count	3	0	4	1	8
	activity in your		% within Group	17.6%	.0%	22.2%	7.7%	15.1%
	neighborhood	Decreased	Count	4	0	2	0	6
			% within Group	23.5%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	11.3%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	3	1	5	6	15
			% within Group	17.6%	20.0%	27.8%	46.2%	28.3%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	7	4	7	6	24
			% within Group	41.2%	80.0%	38.9%	46.2%	45.3%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	13	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

7 Changes in the types of alcohol products consumed by persons drinking in public places in your neighborhood . . . * Grou Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q17 Changes in the	Yes -> What changes	Count	3	0	0	2	5
	types of alcohol products		% within Group	6.8%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	7.9%
	consumed by persons drinking in public places	No	Count	19	2	3	4	28
	in your neighborhood		% within Group	43.2%	50.0%	50.0%	44.4%	44.4%
	iii yodi noignboinood	Don't Know	Count	22	2	3	3	30
			% within Group	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	47.6%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q17 Changes in the	Yes -> What changes	Count	0	0	6	2	8
	types of alcohol products		% within Group	.0%	.0%	33.3%	15.4%	15.1%
	consumed by persons drinking in public places	No	Count	9	3	7	9	28
	in your neighborhood		% within Group	52.9%	60.0%	38.9%	69.2%	52.8%
	iii your noighbornood	Don't Know	Count	8	2	5	2	17
			% within Group	47.1%	40.0%	27.8%	15.4%	32.1%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	13	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q18 Changes in how the convenience and grocery stores, and restaurants and bars in your neighborhood deal with chronic public inebriates? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q18 Changes in how the	Yes -> What changes	Count	0	0	1	0	1
	convenience and grocery		% within Group	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	1.6%
	stores, and restaurants and bars in your	No	Count	23	3	3	6	35
	neighborhood deal with		% within Group	54.8%	75.0%	50.0%	66.7%	57.4%
	chronic public inebriates?	Don't Know	Count	19	1	2	3	25
	•		% within Group	45.2%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	41.0%
	Total		Count	42	4	6	9	61
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q18 Changes in how the	Yes -> What changes	Count	2	1	3	0	6
	convenience and grocery		% within Group	12.5%	20.0%	16.7%	.0%	11.5%
	stores, and restaurants and bars in your	No	Count	6	3	8	12	29
	neighborhood deal with		% within Group	37.5%	60.0%	44.4%	92.3%	55.8%
	chronic public inebriates?	Don't Know	Count	8	1	7	1	17
	•		% within Group	50.0%	20.0%	38.9%	7.7%	32.7%
	Total		Count	16	5	18	13	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q19 Over the past year, would you say that your neighborhood has changed . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q19 Over the past year,	For the better -> Why?	Count	7	0	1	3	11
	would you say that your		% within Group	15.9%	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	17.5%
	neighborhood has changed	For the worse -> Why?	Count	5	0	0	1	6
	changed		% within Group	11.4%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	9.5%
	·	Or, stayed about the same	Count	21	3	5	5	34
			% within Group	47.7%	75.0%	83.3%	55.6%	54.0%
	·	Not sure - Don't know	Count	11	1	0	0	12
			% within Group	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	19.0%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	9	63
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009		For the better -> Why?	Count	8	1	3	4	16
	would you say that your		% within Group	50.0%	20.0%	16.7%	30.8%	30.8%
	neighborhood has changed	For the worse -> Why?	Count	1	0	4	2	7
	changed		% within Group	6.3%	.0%	22.2%	15.4%	13.5%
	·	Or, stayed about the same	Count	6	1	8	6	21
			% within Group	37.5%	20.0%	44.4%	46.2%	40.4%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	1	3	3	1	8
			% within Group	6.3%	60.0%	16.7%	7.7%	15.4%
	Total		Count	16	5	18	13	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q20 Problem of chronic public inebriation in your neighborhood has . . . * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q20 Problem of chronic	Increased -> Why	Count	2	0	0	0	2
	public inebriation in your		% within Group	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	neighborhood has	Decreased -> Why	Count	3	0	2	1	6
			% within Group	7.0%	.0%	33.3%	11.1%	9.7%
		Or, stayed about the	Count	20	2	3	4	29
		same -> Why	% within Group	46.5%	50.0%	50.0%	44.4%	46.8%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	18	2	1	4	25
			% within Group	41.9%	50.0%	16.7%	44.4%	40.3%
	Total		Count	43	4	6	9	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q20 Problem of chronic	Increased -> Why	Count	0	0	2	1	3
	public inebriation in your		% within Group	.0%	.0%	11.1%	8.3%	5.8%
	neighborhood has	Decreased -> Why	Count	5	2	5	1	13
			% within Group	29.4%	40.0%	27.8%	8.3%	25.0%
		Or, stayed about the	Count	5	2	9	8	24
		same -> Why	% within Group	29.4%	40.0%	50.0%	66.7%	46.2%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	7	1	2	2	12
			% within Group	41.2%	20.0%	11.1%	16.7%	23.1%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q21 Amount of alcohol sold at your business... * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q21 Amount of	Increased	Count	10	1	1	3	15
	alcohol sold at		% within Group	23.3%	25.0%	20.0%	33.3%	24.6%
	your business	Decreased	Count	9	0	3	2	14
			% within Group	20.9%	.0%	60.0%	22.2%	23.0%
		Stayed about the same	Count	20	3	1	4	28
			% within Group	46.5%	75.0%	20.0%	44.4%	45.9%
		Not sure	Count	4	0	0	0	4
			% within Group	9.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%
	Total		Count	43	4	5	9	61
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q21 Amount of	Increased	Count	2	1	4	4	11
	alcohol sold at		% within Group	11.8%	20.0%	25.0%	30.8%	21.6%
	your business	Decreased	Count	8	1	7	3	19
			% within Group	47.1%	20.0%	43.8%	23.1%	37.3%
		Stayed about the same	Count	5	3	5	5	18
			% within Group	29.4%	60.0%	31.3%	38.5%	35.3%
		Not sure	Count	2	0	0	1	3
			% within Group	11.8%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	5.9%
	Total		Count	17	5	16	13	51
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q22 Number of chronic public inebriates purchasing alcohol at your business... * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q22 Number of chronic	Increased	Count	1	0	0	0	1
	public inebriates		% within Group	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	purchasing alcohol at your business	Decreased	Count	9	1	3	2	15
	your business		% within Group	26.5%	33.3%	50.0%	28.6%	30.0%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	15	2	2	4	23
			% within Group	44.1%	66.7%	33.3%	57.1%	46.0%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	9	0	1	1	11
			% within Group	26.5%	.0%	16.7%	14.3%	22.0%
	Total		Count	34	3	6	7	50
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q22 Number of chronic	Increased	Count					
	public inebriates		% within Group					
	purchasing alcohol at	Decreased	Count	8	1	9	1	19
	your business		% within Group	61.5%	25.0%	52.9%	9.1%	42.2%
		Or, stayed about the same	Count	3	3	5	6	17
			% within Group	23.1%	75.0%	29.4%	54.5%	37.8%
		Not sure - Don't know	Count	2	0	3	4	9
			% within Group	15.4%	.0%	17.6%	36.4%	20.0%
	Total		Count	13	4	17	11	45
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q23 Changes in the type of alcohol sold by your business? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q23 Changes in the	Yes -> What changes?	Count	9	0	2	1	12
	type of alcohol sold by		% within Group	21.4%	.0%	33.3%	12.5%	20.0%
	your business?	No	Count	28	4	4	7	43
			% within Group	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	87.5%	71.7%
		Don't Know	Count	5	0	0	0	5
			% within Group	11.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Total		Count	42	4	6	8	60
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q23 Changes in the	Yes -> What changes?	Count	5	1	9	1	16
	type of alcohol sold by		% within Group	29.4%	20.0%	50.0%	8.3%	30.8%
	your business?	No	Count	10	4	8	10	32
			% within Group	58.8%	80.0%	44.4%	83.3%	61.5%
		Don't Know	Count	2	0	1	1	4
			% within Group	11.8%	.0%	5.6%	8.3%	7.7%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q24 Changes in the demographic characteristics of your customers? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q24 Changes in	Yes -> What changes?	Count	4	1	0	1	6
	the demographic		% within Group	9.5%	25.0%	.0%	11.1%	9.8%
	characteristics of your customers?	No	Count	25	3	6	7	1 6 1% 9.8% 7 41 3% 67.2% 1 14 1% 23.0% 9 61 0% 100.0% 2 9 7% 17.3% 9 32 0% 61.5%
	your customers?		% within Group	59.5%	75.0%	100.0%	77.8%	67.2%
		Don't Know	Count	13	0	0	1	14
			% within Group	31.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	23.0%
-	Total		Count	42	4	6	9	61
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q24 Changes in	Yes -> What changes?	Count	3	1	3	2	9
	the demographic		% within Group	17.6%	20.0%	16.7%	16.7%	17.3%
	characteristics of	No	Count	11	3	9	9	32
	your customers?		% within Group	64.7%	60.0%	50.0%	75.0%	61.5%
		Don't Know	Count	3	1	6	1	11
			% within Group	17.6%	20.0%	33.3%	8.3%	21.2%
	Total		Count	17	5	18	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q25 Changes in the kinds of alcohol that chronic public inebriates are buying from your store? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q25 Changes in the kinds	Yes -> What changes?	Count	4	0	1	0	5
	of alcohol that chronic		% within Group	10.8%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	9.3%
	public inebriates are buying from your store?	No	Count	22	3	5	7	37
	buying from your store?		% within Group	59.5%	100.0%	83.3%	87.5%	68.5%
		Don't Know	Count	11	0	0	1	12
			% within Group	29.7%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	22.2%
	Total		Count	37	3	6	8	54
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q25 Changes in the kinds	Yes -> What changes?	Count	3	1	6	1	11
	of alcohol that chronic		% within Group	18.8%	20.0%	37.5%	10.0%	23.4%
	public inebriates are buying from your store?	No	Count	9	4	8	7	28
	buying from your store?		% within Group	56.3%	80.0%	50.0%	70.0%	59.6%
		Don't Know	Count	4	0	2	2	8
			% within Group	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	20.0%	17.0%
	Total		Count	16	5	16	10	47
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q26 How likely are chronic public inebriates to purchase beer by the single can or single bottle? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q26 How likely are	Very Likely	Count	13	1	2	2	18
	chronic public inebriates		% within Group	33.3%	33.3%	40.0%	33.3%	34.0%
	to purchase beer by the single can or single	Somewhat Likely	Count	5	0	0	2	7
	bottle?		% within Group	12.8%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	13.2%
	Dottio.	Somewhat Unlikely	Count	5	0	0	0	5
			% within Group	12.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
		Very Unlikely	Count	4	0	3	0	7
			% within Group	10.3%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	13.2%
		Not Sure	Count	12	2	0	2	16
			% within Group	30.8%	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	30.2%
	Total		Count	39	3	5	6	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q26 How likely are	Very Likely	Count	3	1	7	2	13
	chronic public inebriates		% within Group	17.6%	16.7%	38.9%	18.2%	25.0%
	to purchase beer by the single can or single	Somewhat Likely	Count	6	2	4	2	14
	bottle?		% within Group	35.3%	33.3%	22.2%	18.2%	26.9%
	Dottio.	Somewhat Unlikely	Count	1	0	1	0	2
			% within Group	5.9%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	3.8%
		Very Unlikely	Count	2	2	2	0	6
			% within Group	11.8%	33.3%	11.1%	.0%	11.5%
		Not Sure	Count	5	1	4	7	17
			% within Group	29.4%	16.7%	22.2%	63.6%	32.7%
	Total		Count	17	6	18	11	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

27 Were you ever approached by the City of Seattle and asked to sign a Good Neighbor Agreement (GNA) as particle of a voluntary effort to control chronic public inebriation? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q27 Were you ever	Yes	Count	21	0	3	1	25
	approached by the City of Seattle and asked to		% within Group	48.8%	.0%	50.0%	11.1%	41.0%
	sign a Good Neighbor	No	Count	14	2	3	7	26
	Agreement (GNA) as part of a voluntary effort-		% within Group	32.6%	66.7%	50.0%	77.8%	42.6%
	to control chronic	Don't know	Count	8	1	0	1	10
	public inebriation?		% within Group	18.6%	33.3%	.0%	11.1%	16.4%
	Total		Count	43	3	6	9	61
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q27 Were you ever	Yes	Count	10	2	11	3	26
	approached by the City of Seattle and asked to		% within Group	58.8%	33.3%	64.7%	25.0%	50.0%
	sign a Good Neighbor	No	Count	5	3	6	9	23
	Agreement (GNA) as part of a voluntary effort-		% within Group	29.4%	50.0%	35.3%	75.0%	44.2%
	to control chronic	Don't know	Count	2	1	0	0	3
	public inebriation?		% within Group	11.8%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
	Total		Count	17	6	17	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q28 Did you sign the Good Neighborhood Agreement (GNA)? * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	Group		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q28 Did you sign the	Yes	Count	10	1	3	2	16
	Good Neighborhood		% within Group	25.6%	25.0%	75.0%	22.2%	28.6%
	Agreement (GNA)?	No	Count	20	2	1	7	30
			% within Group	51.3%	50.0%	25.0%	77.8%	53.6%
		Don't know	Count	9	1	0	0	10
			% within Group	23.1%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	17.9%
	Total		Count	39	4	4	9	56
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q28 Did you sign the	Yes	Count	7	2	7	1	17
	Good Neighborhood		% within Group	43.8%	40.0%	43.8%	10.0%	36.2%
	Agreement (GNA)?	No	Count	4	3	7	6	20
			% within Group	25.0%	60.0%	43.8%	60.0%	42.6%
		Don't know	Count	5	0	2	3	10
			% within Group	31.3%	.0%	12.5%	30.0%	21.3%
	Total		Count	16	5	16	10	47
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31A The amount of trash and litter * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31A	Not sure	Count	1	1	0	0	2
	The amount of		% within Group	2.3%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	trash and litter	Not a Problem	Count	13	1	1	2	17
			% within Group	29.5%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	27.4%
		Slight Problem	Count	8	0	4	2	14
			% within Group	18.2%	.0%	66.7%	25.0%	22.6%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	15	2	0	3	20
			% within Group	34.1%	50.0%	.0%	37.5%	32.3%
		Big Problem	Count	7	0	1	1	9
			% within Group	15.9%	.0%	16.7%	12.5%	14.5%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31A	Not sure	Count	1	0	2	0	3
	The amount of		% within Group	6.3%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	5.9%
	trash and litter	Not a Problem	Count	1	1	1	3	6
			% within Group	6.3%	16.7%	5.6%	27.3%	11.8%
		Slight Problem	Count	8	1	7	5	21
			% within Group	50.0%	16.7%	38.9%	45.5%	41.2%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	5	4	7	3	19
			% within Group	31.3%	66.7%	38.9%	27.3%	37.3%
		Big Problem	Count	1	0	1	0	2
			% within Group	6.3%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	3.9%
	Total		Count	16	6	18	11	51
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31B The number of homeless people on the street * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	iroup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31B	Not sure	Count	2	0	0	1	3
	The number of homele		% within Group	4.5%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	4.8%
	ss people on the street	Not a Problem	Count	8	2	1	2	13
			% within Group	18.2%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	21.0%
		Slight Problem	Count	13	1	1	3	18
			% within Group	29.5%	25.0%	16.7%	37.5%	29.0%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	14	1	1	1	17
			% within Group	31.8%	25.0%	16.7%	12.5%	27.4%
		Big Problem	Count	7	0	3	1	11
			% within Group	15.9%	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	17.7%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31B	Not sure	Count	1	0	2	0	3
	The number of homele		% within Group	5.9%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	5.7%
	ss people on the street	Not a Problem	Count	4	3	2	3	12
			% within Group	23.5%	50.0%	11.1%	25.0%	22.6%
		Slight Problem	Count	4	0	3	6	13
			% within Group	23.5%	.0%	16.7%	50.0%	24.5%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	4	2	8	2	16
			% within Group	23.5%	33.3%	44.4%	16.7%	30.2%
		Big Problem	Count	4	1	3	1	9
			% within Group	23.5%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	17.0%
	Total		Count	17	6	18	12	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31C The number of people drinking alcohol in public * Group * Year Crosstabulation

					G	roup		
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31C	Not sure	Count	6	0	0	0	6
	The number of people d		% within Group	13.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.7%
	rinking alcohol in public	Not a Problem	Count	10	3	1	3	17
			% within Group	22.7%	75.0%	16.7%	37.5%	27.4%
		Slight Problem	Count	12	0	3	3	18
			% within Group	27.3%	.0%	50.0%	37.5%	29.0%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	14	1	1	2	18
			% within Group	31.8%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	29.0%
		Big Problem	Count	2	0	1	0	3
			% within Group	4.5%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	4.8%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31C	Not sure	Count	1	0	3	0	4
	The number of people d		% within Group	5.9%	.0%	17.6%	.0%	7.7%
	rinking alcohol in public	Not a Problem	Count	4	3	4	5	16
			% within Group	23.5%	50.0%	23.5%	41.7%	30.8%
		Slight Problem	Count	8	2	2	5	17
			% within Group	47.1%	33.3%	11.8%	41.7%	32.7%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	3	1	7	2	13
			% within Group	17.6%	16.7%	41.2%	16.7%	25.0%
		Big Problem	Count	1	0	1	0	2
			% within Group	5.9%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	3.8%
	Total		Count	17	6	17	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31D The amount of crime * Group * Year Crosstabulation

				Group				
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31D	Not sure	Count	10	1	0	2	13
	The amount of crime		% within Group	22.7%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	21.0%
		Not a Problem	Count	6	0	0	1	7
			% within Group	13.6%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	11.3%
		Slight Problem	Count	6	1	1	3	11
			% within Group	13.6%	25.0%	16.7%	37.5%	17.7%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	12	1	3	1	17
			% within Group	27.3%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	27.4%
		Big Problem	Count	10	1	2	1	14
			% within Group	22.7%	25.0%	33.3%	12.5%	22.6%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31D	Not sure	Count	4	1	2	3	10
	The amount of crime		% within Group	23.5%	16.7%	11.1%	25.0%	18.9%
		Not a Problem	Count	3	2	3	0	8
			% within Group	17.6%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	15.1%
		Slight Problem	Count	4	2	4	4	14
			% within Group	23.5%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	26.4%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	4	0	4	4	12
			% within Group	23.5%	.0%	22.2%	33.3%	22.6%
		Big Problem	Count	2	1	5	1	9
			% within Group	11.8%	16.7%	27.8%	8.3%	17.0%
	Total		Count	17	6	18	12	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31E The amount of drug activity * Group * Year Crosstabulation

				Group				
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31E	Not sure	Count	12	2	1	3	18
	The amount of drug activity		% within Group	27.3%	50.0%	16.7%	37.5%	29.0%
		Not a Problem	Count	3	0	0	1	4
			% within Group	6.8%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	6.5%
		Slight Problem	Count	7	1	1	2	11
			% within Group	15.9%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	17.7%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	8	1	2	1	12
			% within Group	18.2%	25.0%	33.3%	12.5%	19.4%
	·	Big Problem	Count	14	0	2	1	17
			% within Group	31.8%	.0%	33.3%	12.5%	27.4%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31E	Not sure	Count	5	3	2	4	14
	The amount of drug activity		% within Group	29.4%	50.0%	11.1%	33.3%	26.4%
		Not a Problem	Count	1	1	3	0	5
			% within Group	5.9%	16.7%	16.7%	.0%	9.4%
	•	Slight Problem	Count	4	1	2	3	10
			% within Group	23.5%	16.7%	11.1%	25.0%	18.9%
	•	Somewhat of a Problem	Count	3	0	6	4	13
			% within Group	17.6%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	24.5%
		Big Problem	Count	4	1	5	1	11
			% within Group	23.5%	16.7%	27.8%	8.3%	20.8%
	Total		Count	17	6	18	12	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31F The number of persons panhandling * Group * Year Crosstabulation

				Group				
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31F	Not sure	Count	5	2	0	1	8
	The number of per		% within Group	11.4%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	12.9%
	sons panhandling	Not a Problem	Count	10	1	1	4	16
			% within Group	22.7%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%	25.8%
		Slight Problem	Count	7	0	2	2	11
			% within Group	15.9%	.0%	33.3%	25.0%	17.7%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	12	1	0	1	14
			% within Group	27.3%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	22.6%
		Big Problem	Count	10	0	3	0	13
			% within Group	22.7%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	21.0%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31F The number of per sons panhandling	Not sure	Count	2	0	3	1	6
			% within Group	11.8%	.0%	16.7%	8.3%	11.3%
		Not a Problem	Count	5	4	2	4	15
			% within Group	29.4%	66.7%	11.1%	33.3%	28.3%
		Slight Problem	Count	6	1	4	3	14
			% within Group	35.3%	16.7%	22.2%	25.0%	26.4%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	1	0	4	3	8
			% within Group	5.9%	.0%	22.2%	25.0%	15.1%
		Big Problem	Count	3	1	5	1	10
			% within Group	17.6%	16.7%	27.8%	8.3%	18.9%
	Total		Count	17	6	18	12	53
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31G The number of people who are drunk in public * Group * Year Crosstabulation

				Group				
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31G	Not sure	Count	5	0	0	3	8
	The number of people who are drunk in public		% within Group	11.6%	.0%	.0%	37.5%	13.1%
		Not a Problem	Count	11	3	1	1	16
			% within Group	25.6%	75.0%	16.7%	12.5%	26.2%
		Slight Problem	Count	10	0	2	2	14
			% within Group	23.3%	.0%	33.3%	25.0%	23.0%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	11	1	2	2	16
			% within Group	25.6%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%	26.2%
		Big Problem	Count	6	0	1	0	7
			% within Group	14.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	11.5%
	Total		Count	43	4	6	8	61
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31G The number of people who are drunk in public	Not sure	Count	3	0	1	1	5
			% within Group	17.6%	.0%	5.9%	8.3%	9.6%
		Not a Problem	Count	5	2	3	5	15
			% within Group	29.4%	33.3%	17.6%	41.7%	28.8%
		Slight Problem	Count	5	3	5	4	17
			% within Group	29.4%	50.0%	29.4%	33.3%	32.7%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	3	0	6	2	11
			% within Group	17.6%	.0%	35.3%	16.7%	21.2%
		Big Problem	Count	1	1	2	0	4
			% within Group	5.9%	16.7%	11.8%	.0%	7.7%
	Total		Count	17	6	17	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Q31H Unsafe areas * Group * Year Crosstabulation

				Group				
Year				CC AIA	CC WIMI	North AIA	North WIMI	Total
2006	Q31H	Not sure	Count	9	2	0	2	13
	Unsafe areas		% within Group	20.5%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	21.0%
		Not a Problem	Count	8	1	1	3	13
			% within Group	18.2%	25.0%	16.7%	37.5%	21.0%
		Slight Problem	Count	11	0	1	1	13
			% within Group	25.0%	.0%	16.7%	12.5%	21.0%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	13	1	3	1	18
			% within Group	29.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	29.0%
		Big Problem	Count	3	0	1	1	5
			% within Group	6.8%	.0%	16.7%	12.5%	8.1%
	Total		Count	44	4	6	8	62
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
2009	Q31H	Not sure	Count	2	1	0	2	5
	Unsafe areas		% within Group	11.8%	16.7%	.0%	16.7%	9.6%
		Not a Problem	Count	3	2	4	2	11
			% within Group	17.6%	33.3%	23.5%	16.7%	21.2%
		Slight Problem	Count	7	1	3	6	17
			% within Group	41.2%	16.7%	17.6%	50.0%	32.7%
		Somewhat of a Problem	Count	4	1	6	1	12
			% within Group	23.5%	16.7%	35.3%	8.3%	23.1%
		Big Problem	Count	1	1	4	1	7
			% within Group	5.9%	16.7%	23.5%	8.3%	13.5%
	Total		Count	17	6	17	12	52
			% within Group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Social & Economic Sciences Research Center Washington State University P.O. Box 644014

Pullman, Washington 99164-4014

Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116

http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu sesrc@wsu.edu