BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR AND CANNABIS BOARD

In the Matter of: The Petition of Kittitas County For a Declaratory Order	No. 01-2017 NOTICE OF PROCEEDINGS pursuant to RCW 34.05.240

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

BACKGROUND

On February 17, 2017, the Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (WSLCB or Board) received a Petition for Declaratory Ruling, signed by Neil A. Caulkins, Chief Civil Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, on behalf of Kittitas County, on the issue of whether the Board must comply with local zoning requirements and only issue a marijuana license when the application/location is congruent with local zoning.

Under RCW 35.04.240, any person may petition an agency for a "declaratory order with respect to the applicability of specified circumstances of a rule, order or statute enforced by the agency." Kittitas County's Petition asserts that the Board must consider all local zoning in its license review process, and that issuance of a license that does not comply with local zoning violated RCW 36.70A.103.

RCW 34.05.240 requires the Board, within thirty days of receiving a Petition for Declaratory Order, to take one of the following actions; (1) enter a declaratory order; (2) notify the petitioner that no order will be entered; (3) set a date by which the Board will enter an order, or (4) set a date and time for a hearing. The Board may enter a declaratory order upon a showing that:

(a) Uncertainty necessitating a resolution exists;
(b) There is actual controversy arising from the uncertainty such that a declaratory order will not be merely an advisory opinion;
(c) The uncertainty adversely affects the petitioner; and
(d) The adverse effect of uncertainty on the petitioner outweighs any adverse effects on others or on the general public that may likely arise from the order requested.

NOTICE

This Notice is to provide interested persons to submit a statement of fact and law on the issues raised by the Petition. In particular, the Board requests such a statement from Board Staff. Any statement of fact and law or any other response to the Petition must be received by the Board at its offices in Olympia, Washington at the address below, no later than **April 6, 2017**.

By issuing this Notice, the Board is not determining that the criteria set out in RCW 34.05.240, for entry of a declaratory order, have been met. Interested persons may comment on that issue as well.

The Board believes the Kittitas County's Petition raises a legal issue, and issuance of a Declaratory Order in these circumstances does not require that the Board hold an evidentiary hearing. The Board anticipates that it will issue a Declaratory Order after considering any written submissions, and without oral argument, but the Board will re-evaluate that question after reviewing any written submissions. The Board intends to issue a Declaratory Order on or before May 16, 2017, unless a notice of extension of time is issued, and depending on whether the Board determines that oral argument is necessary or appropriate.

Comments or Statements of Fact and Law may be served on the Board at the following address:

Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board
Attn: Kevin McCarroll
3000 Pacific Avenue Southeast
PO Box 43076
Olympia, WA 98504-3076

NOTICE ISSUED this 8th day of March, 2017.

Jane Rushford, Board Chair

Kittitas County Prosecuting Attorney

GREGORY L. ZEMPEL

KITTITAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE
205 WEST FIFTH, ROOM 213
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926-3129
TELEPHONE: (509) 962-7520
FAX: (509) 962-7022 / SCAN: 962-7520

FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION
205 WEST FIFTH, ROOM 213
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926-3129
TELEPHONE: (509) 962-7521
FAX: (509) 962-7016

KITTITAS COUNTY CIVIL DIVISION
205 WEST FIFTH, ROOM 213
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926-3129
TELEPHONE: (509) 962-7664
FAX: (509) 962-7060

KITTITAS COUNTY

February 15, 2017

RECEIVED
FEB 17 2017
Liquor and Cannabis Board
Board Administration

Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board
P.O. Box 43076
Olympia, WA 98504

Re: In the Matter of the Petition of Kittitas County for a Declaratory Order

Greetings,

Enclosed for filing with the WSLCB are an original and two copies of Kittitas County's Petition to the Board for a Declaratory Order.

Please conform the enclosed copy of the Petition cover page and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed and stamped envelope.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Neil A Caulkins
Chief Civil Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
Kittitas County, Washington

NAC/rs
Enclosures

RECEIVED
FEB 17 2017
Liquor and Cannabis Board
Board Administration

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR AND CANNABIS BOARD

In the matter of the petition of Kittitas County for a declaratory order.	PETITION

Petitioning Party:

1. Kittitas County is a subdivision of the State of Washington, whose address is 205 West Fifth Street, Rm. 108, Ellensburg, WA 98926;

Applicable Rules

2. WAC 314-42-040; WAC 10-08-250, 251, and 252; RCW 34.05.240; RCW 34.05.410-495; RCW 69.50.331; RCW 36.70A.010; RCW 36.70A.103; RCW 36.70A.320; WAC 314-55-050; WAC 314-55-125.

Facts Relied Upon

3. WAC 314-42-040 adopts the model rules of procedure found in chapter 10-08 WAC. WAC §§ 10-08-250, 10-08-251, and 10-08-252 provide for declaratory orders. WAC 10-08-251 declares RCW 34.05.240 applicable to actions for declaratory orders, which, in turn, makes RCW §§ 34.05.410-495 applicable to actions for declaratory orders. These statutes set forth the provisions for seeking and processing a request for a declaratory order.

4. The review and issuance of a marijuana license by the Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB) is a site-specific determination. RCW 69.50.331(1)(b) provides that denial of a license can be based upon an objection that the premises are the location of chronic illegal activity. RCW 69.50.331(7)(b) makes objections to the premises valid. Similarly, RCW 69.50.331(10) reiterates the barrier to licensure created by chronic illegal activity at the premises of a proposed license operation. WAC 314-55-050(10) requires that licensed marijuana operations be further than 1,000 feet from a school, child care center, park, or library. Additionally,

GREGORY L. ZEMPEL
KITTITAS COUNTY PROSECUTOR
KITTITAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926
TELEPHONE: 509-962-7520

ORIGINAL

RCW 69.50.331(8) provides for local zoning laws to reduce certain setback requirements of state law, and for the Liquor and Cannabis Board to consider and act accordingly to such local regulations. WAC 314-55-125 provides that a change of location requires a whole new application process. All of this only makes sense if the license is site-specific – something about the proposed location itself (not just the person of the applicant) is essential to the review and issuance of the license. The marijuana license is site-specific because, if the site changes, the review must be done over. Hence, the license issued by the Liquor and Cannabis Board are not merely licenses that approve the applicants activity, allowing the successful applicant to conduct the activity wherever allowed by local zoning. Instead, it is a license that has a level of specificity to the proposed site and some consideration of that site and its appropriateness. It is a decision and a license that is tied to the proposed site. If the license is unconnected to the proposed site, then the request for local government input and objections based on law enforcement history is irrelevant and farcical. The issuance of a marijuana license by the LCB is a site-specific decision because of the consideration of potential historic criminal activity at the site, proximity to schools and parks, and the consideration of whether the site may or may not meet local zoning requirements.

5. RCW 36.70A.103 provides that "State agencies shall comply with the local comprehensive plans and development regulations and amendments thereto adopted pursuant to this chapter." RCW 36.70A.320 provides that comprehensive plans and development regulations are valid upon adoption, and remain so until found otherwise by the Growth Management Hearing Board. Kittitas County, and its comprehensive plan and development regulations, are compliant with the Growth Management Act (GMA).

6. Kittitas County has repeatedly objected to issuance of marijuana licenses based upon local zoning nonconformance, yet has been repeatedly told the objections were not valid and that the Liquor and Cannabis Board does not consider local zoning. *See* Exhibit "A".

7. RCW 69.50.331(7) provides that a municipality may object to the premises of a license applicant, but the content and nature of that objection is not specified in the statute. RCW 69.50.331(10) provides that the Liquor and Cannabis Board is to give special weight to objections from municipalities "based upon chronic illegal activity with the operations of the premises proposed to be licensed." This subsection does not say that this sort of objection is

GREGORY L. ZEMPEL
KITTITAS COUNTY PROSECUTOR
KITTITAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926
TELEPHONE: 509-962-7520

the only one the County can make. It merely says that, if the County makes such an objection, the Liquor and Cannabis Board must pay special attention. RCW 69.50.331(1)(b) says an application can be denied because of "without limitation" chronic illegal activity. Again, local objections that could result in denial are not limited to chronic illegal activity.

8. The Liquor and Cannabis Board's rejections of Kittitas County's zoning-based objections are not supported by the statute. The statute, RCW 69.50.331 does not limit what objections the county can make to chronic illegal activity. RCW 36.70A.103 actually required the Liquor and Cannabis Board to comply with local zoning, yet it issues licenses that are site-specific and violate local zoning and then tells the County that it does not need to consider local zoning and that its objections are not valid. This is contrary to state law.

9. The Liquor and Cannabis Board has responded to County objections that were based on local zoning that it does not have jurisdiction over local zoning. This response misses the point, because RCW 36.70A.103 makes local zoning have jurisdiction over the Liquor and Cannabis Board. Because local zoning has control of the Liquor and Cannabis Board, when it makes a site-specific license decision, it must do so in accord with that local zoning. This is not what the Liquor and Cannabis Board has done, however.

10. The Liquor and Cannabis Board's statement that it does not have jurisdiction over local zoning, so can ignore it, is nonsensical. It is correct that it does not have jurisdiction over local zoning regulations, because RCW 36.70A.103 gives the local zoning jurisdiction over the Liquor and Cannabis Board. The incorrect, and nonsensical part, is the assertion that, even though RCW 36.70A.103 says the Liquor and Cannabis Board will abide by local zoning, to have the Board say it does not have to, and that license objections based on such zoning are invalid. In the face of RCW 36.70A.103, what possibly makes the Liquor and Cannabis Board think the GMA does not apply to them? When the statute says the state agency will follow local zoning, what possibly gives the state agency the authority to say it does not need to, and that objections to the contrary are not valid?

11. The Liquor and Cannabis Board's response that they are not interested in issues of local zoning, but only issues of health, safety, and welfare, is inconsistent with state law. RCW 36.70A.010 declares that the legislature's intention in passing the Growth Management Act

GREGORY L. ZEMPEL
KITTITAS COUNTY PROSECUTOR
KITTITAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926
TELEPHONE: 509-962-7520

was the promotion of the public interest in health and safety. Local GMA-complaint zoning is a manifestation of this, hence, Kittitas County Code section 17.04.020 introduces the zoning code as something for "the promotion of public health, safety, morals and general welfare." The Liquor and Cannabis Board's charge (WAC 314-55-050(17)) to promote health safety, and welfare can only be achieved by considering, and acting in accord with GMA-compliant local zoning.

12. The Liquor and Cannabis Board must comply with all local zoning. RCW 69.50.331(8) and (9) each provide for local zoning provisions that the Liquor and Cannabis Board must take into account and issue licenses in congruity. Nowhere does the statute state that these are the only local zoning decisions that the Liquor and Cannabis Board must follow. RCW 36.70A.103 actually requires the Liquor and Cannabis Board to follow all local zoning. When RCW 69.50.331(8) and (9), and RCW 36.70A.103 specifically require the Liquor and Cannabis Board to follow all local zoning, how can the Liquor and Cannabis Board respond to zoning-based objections by saying "Local zoning issues are the business of the given city or county and are not within the Board's jurisdiction"? When the statutes say local zoning governs, it is the business of the Liquor and Cannabis Board because the statutes have made the Liquor and Cannabis Board and its issuance of licenses subject to local zoning.

Conclusions

13. The Liquor and Cannabis Board must consider all local zoning in its review process and must only issue licenses when the application is congruous with local zoning.

14. The Liquor and Cannabis Board must consider objections to marijuana licenses based on local zoning as valid, and provide a box for such objection on the notice form sent to municipalities.

15. The Liquor and Cannabis Board must revise its WAC to provide for consideration of local zoning when reviewing applications for marijuana licenses.

16. By issuing site-specific licenses without regard to local zoning, the Liquor and Cannabis Board is violating RCW 36.70A.103 and claiming a level of authority not granted in Ch. 69.50 RCW.

GREGORY L. ZEMPEL
KITTITAS COUNTY PROSECUTOR
KITTITAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926
TELEPHONE: 509-962-7520

Submitted this 15th day of February, 2017.



Neil A. Caulkins, WSBA #31759
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

GREGORY L. ZEMPEL
KITTITAS COUNTY PROSECUTOR
KITTITAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926
TELEPHONE: 509-962-7520

EXHIBIT "A"

Washington State
Liquor and Cannabis Board

February 9, 2016

RECEIVED
FEB 16 2016
KITTITAS COUNTY
CDS

Robert Hansen, Director
Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N Ruby Street, Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re: Response to your letter concerning JEV and Associates, license 415944

Dear Mr. Hansen:

This letter is in response to your letter dated January 11, 2016, regarding our process of issuing licenses, specifically for JEV and Associates, license 415944, in which you objected due to Kittitas County's land use zoning designations.

The Board will not issue a license for those reasons specifically identified under WAC 314-55-050 and while the Board has discretionary authority we are looking at public safety concerns where the Board determines the issuance of a license will not be in the best interest of the welfare, health, or safety of the people of the state.

A license will be issued if an applicant is qualified however, it is still a requirement for the licensee to still abide by local jurisdiction requirements. In accordance with WAC 314-55-020(11) the issuance of a license by the WSLCB shall not be construed as a license for, or an approval of, any violations of local rules or ordinances including, but not limited to: Building and fire codes, zoning ordinances, and business licensing requirements.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Smith

Rebecca Smith, Director
Licensing and Regulation Division

cc: File copy

Washington State
Liquor and Cannabis Board

NOTICE OF MARIJUANA LICENSE APPLICATION

WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR AND CANNABIS BOARD
License Division - 3000 Pacific, P.O. Box 43075
Olympia, WA 98504-3075
Customer Service: (360) 664-1600
Fax: (360) 753-2710
Website: http://lcb.wa.gov

RETURN TO: localauthority@sp.lcb.wa.gov

TO: KITTITAS COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

DATE: 11/23/15

RE: NEW APPLICATION
UBI: 603-255-022-001-0002

License: 416676 - 7U County: 19

Tradename: FEEL BUDDER

Loc Addr: 4721 HANSON RD STE A
ELLENSBURG, WA 98926

Mail Addr: 9050 GREENWOOD AVE N APT 206
SEATTLE, WA 98103-3636

Phone No.: 206-251-2054 RICH KUKUIA

Privileges Applied For:
MARIJUANA PRODUCER TIER 2

APPLICANTS:

NICHE DRIVEN LLC

KUKUIA, RICH
1980-08-13
WOMACH, LANCE
1981-04-27

As required by RCW 69.50.331(7) the Liquor and Cannabis Board is notifying you that the above has applied for a marijuana license. You have 20 days from the date of this notice to give your input on this application. If we do receive this notice back within 20 days, we will assume you have no objection to the issurance of the license. If you need additional time to respond, you must submit a written request for an extension of up to 20 days, with the reason(s) you need more time. If you **need information on SSN, contact our Marijuana CHRI desk at (360) 664-1704.**

	YES	NO
1. Do you approve of applicant?	☐	☐
2. Do you approve of location?	☐	☐
3. If you disapprove and the Board contemplates issuing a license, do you wish to request an adjudicative hearing before final action is taken? (See WAC 314-55-160 for information about this process)	☐	☐

4. If you disapprove, per RCW 69.50.331(7)(c) you MUST attach a letter to the Board detailing the reason(s) for the objection and a statement of all facts on which your objection(s) are based.

DATE

SIGNATURE OF MAYOR,CITY MANAGER,COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OR DESIGNEE

Washington State
Liquor and Cannabis Board

January 4, 2016

Gary Berndt, Chairman
Kittitas County Commissioners
205 West 5th Suite 108
Ellensburg, WA 98926

RECEIVED
JAN - 8 2016
1st 2nd 3rd
KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
CDS
S.O.

Re: Application for Marijuana Producer Tier 3/Processor
Jev Associates
560-3 Hawkhaven Rd
Cle Elum, WA 98922-8421
License #: 415944
UBI #: 603 355 322 001 0001

This letter is to inform you of our decision on the above application. We received your response to our December 2, 2015 notice of application. Your response, dated December 9, 2015, indicated disapproval of the location for this application. Based on WAC 314-55-050, your objection is not grounds for seeking denial of an application because it fails to meet any of the elements contained in that regulation. The Liquor and Cannabis Board cannot support denial of the application based upon a local zoning issue. Local zoning issues are the business of the given city or county and are not within the Board's jurisdiction.

This notification is for courtesy purposes only and we will not be offering nor granting you a hearing on this decision.

If you have any questions, please contact Kaitlin Leeberg, Supervisor, at (360) 664-1643.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Smith, Director
Licensing and Regulation Division

cc: Alena Wentworth, Licensing Specialist Senior, WSLCB Licensing and Regulation Division
Kaitlin Leeberg, Supervisor, WSLCB Licensing and Regulation Division
File copy

KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926
CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US
Office (509) 962-7506
Fax (509) 962-7682

"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

January 11, 2016

JEV and Associates
Mr. James Vaughn
560-3 Hawk Haven Rd.
Cle Elum, WA 98922-8421

RE: Marijuana License 415944, UBI# 603 355 322 001 0001

To Mr. Vaughn or Whom it Concerns;

We received a letter this fall from the Liquor and Cannabis Board requesting our input regarding the location of a proposed Tier3/Processor activity under the name "JEV and Associates." The Board of County Commissioners sent a response letter to the LCB on December 9, 2015 indicating that the land on Hawk Haven Road is zoned "Agriculture 20" which does not allow the processing or production of marijuana, and indicated to the LCB that the County did not approve of the location. While a building permit application for a fence was received by this department in October, 2015, it cannot be used for the processing of marijuana since the use is not allowed.

We also received a letter from LCB on January 4, 2016 that they cannot deny the license on the basis of zoning restrictions even though other State agencies honor the zoning codes of local jurisdictions.

This letter is intended to inform you that, while you may have received, or will receive, license to produce cannabis on the property described in your application, any activity related to the processing or production of marijuana or marijuana products at this property is a violation of Kittitas County Code 17.15.060, and will be processed as a Code violation under Kittitas County Code Title 18, Enforcement. Such action is subject to a $500 per day fine if the use is pursued and established.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please call me at 509-962-7506.

Sincerely,

Robert "Doc" Hansen
Director, Community Development Services

cc. Lisa Iammarino, Code Enforcement Officer
Ms. Rebecca Smith, Director, Licensing and Regulation Division, Liquor and Cannabis Board

KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926
CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US
Office (509) 962-7506
Fax (509) 962-7682
"Building Partnerships – Building Communities"

January 11, 2016

Ms. Rebecca Smith, Director
Licensing and Regulation Division
P.O. Box 43098
3000 Pacific Ave. SE
Olympia WA 98504-3098

RE: Application for Marijuana Production and Processing

Dear Ms. Smith;

I am the Director of the Community Development Services in Kittitas County and question the policy adopted by the Liquor and Cannabis Board in the processing of issuing licenses that are for the allowance of marijuana production and process in areas where such activity is in violation of local code.

Kittitas County allows the production and processing of marijuana in certain land use zoning designations. This was determined in March, 2014 and amended in November of 2014. Because we allow this activity under strict conditions, the County has been interested in being involved in the State's issuance of such licenses.

We were under the impression from the "Notice of Marijuana License Application" and the LCB request to review such notices that our input is meaningful in the determination of issuance or non-issuance of the license. We have found from your actions that this is not the case. Most recently, our commissioners received a letter from your office, a letter dated January 4, 2016 regarding the issuance of a license to JEV and Associates, a letter indicating that you "*cannot* support denial of the application based upon a local zoning issue. Local zoning issues are the business of the given city or county and are not within the Board's jurisdiction" (emphasis mine).

Having been in government administration for over twenty years, I assume that this is a policy decision since nothing exists in the RCW or WAC that prevents you from issuing a license based upon the particular land use zone of the activity. I can find nothing within the RCW or WAC that would prevent you from honoring the desires of the jurisdictions based upon laws that they have established. RCW 69.50.331(9), as matter of view, demonstrates that the State ***must*** become involved in the zoning laws of the local jurisdiction when someone applies for a marijuana license "within areas zoned primarily for residential use or rural use with a minimum lot size of five acres or smaller." The size of the lot and the zoning is supposed to be considered when issuing the license because you can consider the zoning issue in a particular jurisdiction.

WAC 314-55-050, "Reasons the board may seek denial, suspension, or cancellation of a marijuana license application or license" does not prohibit the board from considering local zoning laws in its approval or denial of a license. In fact the Code states "the board *has broad discretionary authority* to approve or deny a marijuana license application for reasons including, *but not limited to*," (emphasis mine) listed reasons. This section references RCW 69.50.331 (9) which demonstrates that the LCB is to be involved in local requests based upon zoning regulations and has "broad discretionary authority to approve or deny" a license application. These statements within State code and law allow me to conclude that this is a policy adopted by LCB and not the result of any requirement. If this is the case, I

would ask that you re-consider this LCB policy. When you issue a license for a particular piece of property and the applicant, often not knowing what the zone of the particular site allows or does not allow, it takes the local government large amounts of resources and time to stop the activity.

Other State agencies have sent to local jurisdictions a questionnaire very similar to yours requesting the local jurisdiction's approval of license issuance. The Washington State Patrol, for example, contacts local jurisdictions before issuing a junkyard license. I have professionally been involved in various interactions with the State Patrol where, before they issued the license, I had personal conversations with the license officer to insure that the active junkyard activity is permitted or allowed within a particular land use zone. Such has also been the case with the Department of Health. I fail to understand why this cannot also be a policy of the LCB.

As a matter of professional principal, I find it necessary in my position to contact other jurisdictions to see how a particular action by the County will impact their laws and whether or not and why they might object to the activity. This is required by SEPA and is a matter of professional courtesy in the planning profession. I find that it is necessary, as do many other jurisdictional administrators, to discover the impacts being placed upon other jurisdictions by my department's actions. It seems to me that the LCB should be interested in knowing how their actions are going to impact the jurisdictions in which they issue such licenses.

Finally, I would ask that you re-consider your policy to not recognize laws adopted by local jurisdictions since such policy can be considered before "providing the door" through license to allow an activity that is in violation of local law. While your letters of issuance of a marijuana license does indicate that the applicant review local jurisdictional requirements, it is at the end of the letter, and in one or more circumstances in this and other jurisdictions, this is not understood by the applicants until they buy property and apply for a building permit. Such action often creates a circumstance where one agency does not know the actions of another. Allowing a policy which recognizes the laws of a local jurisdiction before license is issued provides benefit to the State, local jurisdiction, and applicant since all are informed of an existing circumstance and do not have to operate within information silos.

I state this position as a matter of administrative principal, and would be more than happy to discuss this issue with you at your convenience if you so wish. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert "Doc" Hansen
Director, Community Development Services

cc.

Alena Wentworth, Licensing Specialist Senior, LCB
Kaitlin Leeberg, Supervisor, LCB
Neil Caulkins, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Kittitas County

Washington State
Liquor and Cannabis Board

NOTICE OF MARIJUANA LICENSE APPLICATION

WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR AND CANNABIS BOARD
License Division - 3000 Pacific, P.O. Box 43075
Olympia, WA 98504-3075
Customer Service: (360) 664-1600
Fax: (360) 753-2710
Website: http://lcb.wa.gov

RETURN TO: localauthority@sp.lcb.wa.gov

TO: KITTITAS COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

DATE: 12/02/15

RE: NEW APPLICATION
UBI: 603-355-322-001-0001

License: 415944 - 7U County: 19

Tradename: JEV ASSOCIATES

APPLICANTS:

VAUGHN, JAMES EDWIN
1954-07-20
VAUGHN, SALLY JANE
1955-10-17

Loc Addr: 560-3 HAWKHAVEN RD
CLE ELUM, WA 98922-8421

Mail Addr: 14416 168TH ST E
ORTING, WA 98360-9535

Phone No.: 253-241-9634 JASON VAUGHN

Privileges Applied For:
MARIJUANA PRODUCER TIER 3
MARIJUANA PROCESSOR

As required by RCW 69.50.331(7) the Liquor and Cannabis Board is notifying you that the above has applied for a marijuana license. You have 20 days from the date of this notice to give your input on this application. If we do receive this notice back within 20 days, we will assume you have no objection to the issurance of the license. If you need additional time to respond, you must submit a written request for an extension of up to 20 days, with the reason(s) you need more time. If you **need information on SSN, contact our Marijuana CHRI desk at (360) 664-1704.**

	YES	NO
1. Do you approve of applicant?	☐	☐
2. Do you approve of location?	☐	☐
3. If you disapprove and the Board contemplates issuing a license, do you wish to request an adjudicative hearing before final action is taken? (See WAC 314-55-160 for information about this process)	☐	☐

4. If you disapprove, per RCW 69.50.331(7)(c) you MUST attach a letter to the Board detailing the reason(s) for the objection and a statement of all facts on which your objection(s) are based.

DATE

SIGNATURE OF MAYOR,CITY MANAGER,COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OR DESIGNEE