

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF

LA GUADALUPANA  
3990 HARRAH ROAD  
HARRAH, WA 98933

LICENSEE

License No. 083780

NO. 22,745

OAH NO. 2007-LCB-0017

FINAL ORDER OF THE BOARD

**I. BOARD'S CONSIDERATION**

The above entitled matter coming on regularly before the Board to review the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Initial Order entered by Administrative Law Judge David G. Hansen on October 9, 2007, and it appearing:

1. A formal hearing was held on June 21, 2007 on the issue of whether the application of Martha Patricia F. Camacho and Silvestre M. Flores d/b/a La Guadalupana (La Guadalupana) for snack bar and grocery store liquor license should be denied. On March 22, 2007 the Liquor Control Board issued an Application Processing Report/License Review in which the Board recommended denial of La Guadalupana's application based on an objection made by the town of Harrah, the local government authority, an objection from the Harrah Community Christian School, objections submitted by local citizens, and an objection by the Yakama Indian Nation, as well as a statement of concern from the Yakima County Sheriff's Office. La Guadalupana timely requested a hearing.

2. At the hearing the Licensing Division of the Board was represented by Assistant Attorneys General Jennifer Elias and Kate Reynolds. Victor H. Lara, Attorney, appeared on behalf of the applicants La Guadalupana.

3. On October 9, 2007 Administrative Law Judge David Hansen (ALJ) entered Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Initial Order in this matter which rejected the Board's recommendation to deny the license application and which ordered La Guadalupana's application for "issuance of a grocery store license to sell beer and wine to go and a snack bar license in order to sell bottled or canned beer for on-premises consumption under License No. 083780 is ALLOWED."

4. No parties filed exceptions to the Initial Order.

5. The entire record of this proceeding was presented to the Board for its review and the entry of a final decision.

## **II. FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The Board affirms and adopts each of the ALJ's Findings of Fact and enters additional findings below.

2. The Board notes in particular the facts contained in the ALJ's Finding of Fact No. 4 that the proposed licensed premises is located in the Mt. Adams school district which has been impacted by alcohol problems of a severity sufficient that the school district has received a five year/\$150,000 per year 'Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant' to combat alcohol problems in the school district.

3. The Board further notes the facts contained in the ALJ's Findings of Fact No. 1 and No. 4, and confirmed by the testimony Barbara Harrer, long time town resident and the town's Mayor since 1977, establish the proposed licensed premises is located in the rural, agricultural town

of Harrah, Washington, which has a population of approximately 620-650 and two existing liquor licenses, which is greater than Washington state's average proportion of liquor licenses to population.

4. The town council of Harrah acted in its legislative capacity to formally express opposition to the license application which was then codified in the letter appearing in the records as Exhibit D, p. 7-8. Barbara Harrer, long time town resident and the town's Mayor since 1977, testified as to discussions with the council, codified in the council's formal expression of opposition to the license application, which included the tremendous alcohol problems in the Mt. Adams school district (confirmed by the fact that the Mt. Adams school district is the only district in Yakima County to have received the strategic grant) and the impact of an additional outlet serving alcohol on the town's very limited law enforcement resources.

5. Mayor Harrer testified the town council similarly objected to a liquor license application in the year 2005 sought by an establishment called the "Lazy R", citing the same concerns about adding an additional outlet for alcohol to a community already troubled by issues related to alcohol as well as the impact an additional outlet for alcohol would have on the town's limited law enforcement resources.

6. The council did not oppose renewals for two other establishments already licensed to sell alcohol in Harrah, on the ground they were long established as licensees (since the 1920's in the case of one licensee) and the council had no evidence of problems in the manner in which those establishments were operating. The council's concern when objecting in 2005 and again in this case was to adding an additional license in light of the town's limited capacity for law enforcement and the nature of the community as troubled by alcohol.

7. Mayor Harrer recognized the citizen letters submitted to the Board as including letters from members of a committee working with the Mt. Adams School District to obtain the Strategic Prevention grant.

### III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board affirms and adopts the ALJ's Conclusions of Law Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

2. The Board rejects the ALJ's Conclusions of Law Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 and the Board rejects the ALJ's Initial Order to allow License No. 083780.

3. The Board hereby enters the following conclusions of law to substitute for the ALJ's Conclusions of Law Nos. 6-11.

4. Board's Conclusion's of Law

#### Conclusion of Law No. 6

The Board has discretion to grant or refuse applications for liquor licenses. RCW 66.24.010. In reaching a determination on a liquor license application the Board will give due consideration to input from governmental jurisdictions in which the licensed premises is to be located. WAC 314-09-010 (2).

#### Conclusion of Law No. 7

The town of Harrah has extremely limited law enforcement resources which, given the size and nature of the community, would be impacted even by the addition of a single additional liquor license. These facts establish a public safety concern sufficient for the Board to exercise discretion to deny the license application.

Conclusion of Law No. 8

The public expressions of concern surrounding an additional source of alcohol in a small community already troubled by problems associated with alcohol of a degree so severe as to garner state attention in the form of a multi-year grant supplying the community with hundreds of thousands of dollars to address alcohol related issues impacting the Mt. Adams school district are additional and also sufficient reasons the Board elects to exercise discretion to deny the license application.

Conclusion of Law No. 9

The Board finds sufficient factual support to honor the local government's objections and to deny the application for liquor license. However, the Board does not find La Guadalupana or Martha Patricia F. Camacho and Silvestre M. Flores themselves have shown any unwillingness or inability to comply with the Board's laws and rules related to the sale and service of alcohol. Nor does the record establish the applicants to be disqualified from the privilege of holding a liquor license. The Board's conclusion that a license should not issue turns on the location of the proposed licensed premises in a community which, through its citizens and through its institutions and officers of government, has posed legitimate objections to any additional liquor licenses.

Conclusion of Law No. 10

The town of Harrah, through its town council, through its citizenry and by its Mayor's testimony has informed the Board of legitimate factual bases for its objection to an additional liquor license. The Board exercises its discretion to honor the legitimate and factually supported wishes of the local community that an additional liquor license not be issued in the town of Harrah.




**IV. ORDER**

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board ORDERS:

The ALJ's Findings of Fact are AFFIRMED, the ALJ's Conclusions of Law Nos. 1-5 are AFFIRMED and the ALJ's Conclusions of Law Nos. 6-11 are REVERSED. The Board's Conclusions of Law 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 are hereby entered in place of the ALJ's Conclusions of Law Nos. 6-11. The ALJ's Initial Order allowing License No. 083780 is REVERSED. The application for License No. 083780 is DENIED.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this 12<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2007.

WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
\_\_\_\_\_

Reconsideration. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470, you have ten (10) days from the mailing of this Order to file a petition for reconsideration stating the specific grounds on which relief is requested. No matter will be reconsidered unless it clearly appears from the petition for reconsideration that (a) there is material clerical error in the order or (b) there is specific material error of fact or law. A petition for reconsideration, together with any argument in support thereof, should be filed by mailing or delivering it directly to the Washington State Liquor Control Board, Attn: Kevin McCarroll, 3000 Pacific Avenue Southeast, PO Box 43076, Olympia, WA 98504-3076, with a copy to all other parties of record and their representatives. Filing means actual receipt of the document at the Board's office. RCW 34.05.010(6). A copy shall also be sent to Martha P. Lantz,

Assistant Attorney General, 1125 Washington St. SE, P.O. Box 40110, Olympia, WA 98504-0110.

A timely petition for reconsideration is deemed to be denied if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the agency does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. An order denying reconsideration is not subject to judicial review. RCW 34.05.470(5). The filing of a petition for reconsideration is not a prerequisite for filing a petition for judicial review.

Stay of Effectiveness. The filing of a petition for reconsideration does not stay the effectiveness of this Order. The Board has determined not to consider a petition to stay the effectiveness of this Order. Any such request should be made in connection with a petition for judicial review under chapter 34.05 RCW and RCW 34.05.550.

Judicial Review. Proceedings for judicial review may be instituted by filing a petition in superior court according to the procedures specified in chapter 34.05 RCW, Part V, Judicial Review and Civil Enforcement. The petition for judicial review of this Order shall be filed with the appropriate court and served on the Board, the Office of the Attorney General, and all parties within thirty days after service of the final order, as provided in RCW 34.05.542.

Service. This Order was served on you the day it was deposited in the United States mail. RCW 34.05.010(19).

MAILED

OCT 09 2007

OLYMPIA OAH

STATE OF WASHINGTON  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
FOR THE WASHINGTON STATE LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD

In the Matter of:

LA GUADALUPANA  
3990 HARRAH ROAD  
HARRAH, WA 98933

APPLICANT

LICENSE NO. 083780-4D

OAH Docket No. 2007-LCB-0017  
LCB Case No. 22,745

**FINDINGS OF FACT,  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,  
AND INITIAL ORDER**

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

By letter received by the License Division of the Washington State Liquor Control Board, Board herein, Martha Patricia F. Camacho and Silvestre M. Flores d/b/a/ La Guadalupana, Applicant herein, filed an amended application for a snack bar and grocery store liquor license. The application was assigned a prospective license number of 083780-4D. On March 22, 2007, the Board issued an Application Processing Report/License Review in which the Board recommended denial of the application based on an objection submitted by the town of Harrah, the local authority, an objection from the Harrah Community Christian School, objections submitted by local citizens, and an objection by the Yakama Indian Nation, as well as a statement of concern from the Yakima County Sheriff's Office. The Applicant made a timely request for hearing.

This matter came on for hearing on due and proper notice on June 21, 2007, in Yakima, Washington, before David G. Hansen, Senior Administrative Law Judge, Office

of Administrative Hearings. The record was held open for the submission of post-hearing briefs and the record closed on July 12, 2007.

At hearing, the Applicant appeared and was represented by Victor H. Lara, Attorney at Law. The Board appeared and was represented by Kate Reynolds, Assistant Attorney General, and Jennifer Elias, Assistant Attorney General. Sharon Hendricks, Retail Licenses Manager, and Joy Rosado, Liquor License Investigator, both with the Board, appeared as witnesses for the Board. Martha Patricia Camacho, Hector Franko, Tim Schilperoort, Gabriela Hernandez, Jim Scott, and Raul Lopez appeared as witnesses for the Applicant. Barbara Harrer, Mayor of Harrah, also appeared and testified.

Based upon the record presented, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge makes the following Findings of Fact:

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Applicants, husband and wife, are owners and operators of La Guadalupana, located in Harrah, Washington. Harrah is a town of approximately 620 people, located in a rural, agricultural area south of Yakima. The town is located on the Yakama Indian Reservation. The town did have four liquor licenses in the past, but at the time of this application had only two.

2. Applicants have owned La Guadalupana since April 2001, and have operated it as a small grocery store and Mexican restaurant. The restaurant area has 16 to 20 tables, and can accommodate 50 to 60 people. The hours of operation are 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. during the summer, and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. or 7:00 p.m. during the winter.

3. The Applicants most recent license applications are for two liquor licenses, a grocery store license to sell beer and wine to go and a snack bar license, in order to sell bottled or canned beer for consumption on-premises.

4. On November 20, 2006, the Board received its second letter from the town of Harrah objecting to the issuance of a license to the Applicants. Exhibit D2, pages 5 and 6. The town council met on November 13, 2006, and voted to object to the Applicants' license. The letter cited that "alcohol causes tremendous problems in our school district. This statement is verified by the fact that in 2006 Mt. Adams School District received a five year-\$150,000/year 'Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant' to combat the alcohol problems in our District." Exhibit D2, page 6. The letter went on to assert that there is an average of 2.05 liquor licenses per 1,000 population in the state of Washington and that Harrah already has two businesses with liquor licenses. Lastly, the letter pointed out that the town of Harrah contracts with the Yakima County Sheriffs Department for law enforcement services. The contract calls for 90 minutes per day of the services for the town.

5. On September 21, 2006, the Board received a letter from the Yakima County Sheriff. Exhibit D2, page 10. In the letter the Sheriff indicates he had been contacted by the Mayor of Harrah, Barbara Harrer, with her concerns about the Applicants' request for a liquor license. He related the concern that the establishment was within 500 feet of a school. The Sheriff related the Mayor's other concerns regarding the locations of the establishment's front door and its proximity to a railroad spur. The letter did not state that the Sheriff objected to the issuance of a liquor license to the Applicants.

6. On August 15, 2006, the Board received a letter from an individual representing the Harrah Community Christian School. Exhibit D2, page 11. They too objected to the issuance of a license on the basis that the nearest public entrance to the establishment was less than 500 feet from the property line of the school. The school went on to express that they were "concerned because the town of Harrah does not have adequate law enforcement to handle another establishment selling alcohol. Another concern we have is that our students and staff pass this business on a regular basis and would not feel comfortable having another such establishment to pass." Exhibit D2, page 11.

7. On December 14, 2006, the Board received a letter from the owner of a business located across the street from the establishment. Exhibit D2, page 12. He objected on four grounds, the first being that he believed two liquor licenses in their community were sufficient. Secondly, he cited the lack of law enforcement in the remote, rural area. Thirdly, he cited the regulation relating to the establishment being within 500 feet of a school. Lastly, he complained that the Applicants were of no assistance in deterring their customers from parking in the complainant's parking area.

8. In late 2006 and early 2007, the Board received approximately 17 written objections from residents of the Harrah and White Swan area. Exhibits D2, pages 13 through 29. Two of the 17 letters had no address for the author, two had Toppenish mailing addresses, and four White Swan addresses. All but one of the letters were structured and formatted almost identically. Each letter raised the same four objections. First, their concern that granting another license would encourage underage drinking. Secondly, that three licensed establishments would be too many for

a town the size of Harrah. Thirdly, the lack of routine law enforcement protection in the area. Lastly, the letters cited the regulation prohibiting liquor establishments within 500 feet of schools.

9. On December 11, 2006, the Board received a written objection to the Applicants' application from the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation. Exhibit D2, pages 30 and 31. In the letter the Yakama Nation contends that granting of an additional liquor license in the town of Harrah would saturate the area with liquor retailers. The letter went on to state:

The saturation of the Yakama Reservation with alcohol retail licensees creates an endangerment to the public safety, drags down the quality of life for all citizens, creates additional costs for the court systems. Creates additional law enforcement costs, and ultimately increases the amount of funds that have to be devoted to health care costs by both Tribal and non-Tribal institutions.

Exhibit D2, pages 30 and 31. The letter further stated that the Yakama Nation objected "to the licensing of alcohol sellers anywhere within the exterior boundaries of the Yakama Reservation." Exhibit D2, page 30.

10. The Mt. Adams School District operates Harrah Elementary. By letter received August 30, 2006, by the Board, the Mt. Adams School District's Superintendent objected to the granting of a liquor license to the Applicant because the "school is located within 500 feet" of Harrah Elementary School." Exhibit D2, page 40. By letter dated October 16, 2006, the President of the Mt. Adams School Board of Directors advised the Board if the door to the Applicant's establishment is more than 500 feet from the school, the school district "would have no objection to the license... ." Exhibit D2, page 39.

11. The Applicant's establishment is not within 500 feet of the premises of any tax-supported public elementary or secondary school.

12. Hector Franco, a business and financial consultant and member of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of Yakima County testified in favor of the applicants. He has been actively assisting them in obtaining a liquor license. Jim Scott, a Harrah resident of 21 years, testified in favor of the Applicants. Tim Schilperoort works next door to Applicant's establishment, and testified favorably for the Applicants. Frequent customers of the establishment, Raul Lopez, and Gabriela Hernandez also testified favorably for Applicant. They each characterized the individual Applicants as hard working and of good character. They characterized the restaurant as being family oriented. Those supporting the Applicants believed it would be nice to be able to have a beer with their meal.

13. The Applicants' establishment is located next door to a licensed tavern. No objections have been raised by the local authority or the schools in the area when the tavern's license is renewed annually. The Board's investigation of the Applicants found them both suitable, with no reports of conduct requiring involvement of law enforcement authorities.

14. At having had an opportunity to hear and observe the Applicants during the hearing process, the undersigned finds them to be hard working and conscientious in regard to the successful operation of their business enterprise.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are entered:

1. As an Applicant for an initial retail liquor license in the state of Washington, Martha Patricia F. Camacho and Silvestre M. Flores d/b/a La Guadalupana, are subject to the jurisdiction of the Washington State Liquor Control Board. The Board has authority pursuant to RCW 66.24.010 to deny an application for an initial liquor license so long as the applicant is afforded an opportunity for a hearing. A proper hearing was provided in this case.

2. The provisions of RCW 66.24.010 (8)(a) are applicable, and provide in relevant part as follows:

[B]efore the board issues a license to an applicant it shall give notice of such application to the chief executive officer of the incorporated city or town....” RCW 66.24.010 (8)(c) further provides that “The incorporated city or town through the official or employee selected by it...shall have the right to file with the board within twenty days after date of transmittal of such notice, written objections against the applicant or against the premises for which the license is asked. The board may extend the time period for submitting written objections.

3. WAC 66.24.010(8)(d) provides that the written objections are to include a “statement of all facts upon which such objections are based... .”

4. The Board’s responsibility in regard to such objections is set forth as follows:

Before the board issues any license to any applicant, it shall give (i) due consideration to the location of the business to be conducted under such license with respect to the proximity of churches, schools, and public institutions and (ii) written notice, with receipt verification, of the application to public institutions

identified by the board as appropriate to receive such notice, churches, and schools within five hundred feet of the premises to be licensed.... For the purpose of this section, church shall mean a building erected for and used exclusively for religious worship and schooling or other activity in connection therewith. For the purpose of this section, public institution shall mean institutions of higher education, parks, community centers, libraries, and transit centers. WAC 66.24.010(9)(a).

5. Certain entities identified by WAC 314-09-010(1), as well as any person or group may comment to the board regarding the application for liquor licenses or permits. WAC 314-09-010(2) provides, in part as follows: "When deciding whether to issue or deny a liquor license application [or permit], the board will give due consideration to input from governmental jurisdictions in which the premises is located; private schools, churches, and public institutions within five hundred feet of the premises...and other persons or groups."

6. It is the conclusion of the undersigned that the Applicants have expressed a desire and shown an ability to comply with the rules and regulations of the Board. There has been no showing, nor even an allegation, that the Applicants are unable or unwilling to comply. First, addressing the objection of the city, namely, "alcohol causes tremendous problems in our school district." This might very well be true. However, there has been no showing that the granting of the requested licenses herein would contribute directly to the alcohol problems in the Mt. Adams School District. The city's concern regarding the average liquor license per 1,000 population is of little use because no reference is made to what type of liquor license. The city's concern for law enforcement coverage is unpersuasive. Law enforcement presence is far more necessary near establishments such as taverns and night clubs, as opposed to the type of establishments that have grocery store license or snack bar licenses in a family style restaurant. RCW 66.24.010(8)(d)

requires that written objections include a statement of "facts." Such is not the case with the city's written objection to the Applicants' license request, the basis for their objections being opinion rather than fact.

7. The objection from the Harrah Community Christian School was based upon their mistaken belief that the Applicants' nearest public entrance was less than 500 feet from the property line. They also expressed concern about inadequate law enforcement, and the fact that students and staff would pass the Applicants' establishment routinely. These are opinions, and not facts.

8. The objection from the business located across the street from the Applicants lacks facts as well. It is the owner's opinion that two licensed establishments is sufficient, and that law enforcement is insufficient. He too erroneously reported that the establishment was within 500 feet of a school. Lastly, the business owner's complaint was that the Applicants were of no assistance in controlling parking problems. Such complaints have no bearing on the granting of a liquor license.

9. In regard to the written objection to the granting of licenses to the Applicants from area residents, again, the undersigned finds little in the way of fact, but considerable opinion. Additionally, one doubts that these letters were individually composed by the signers. The letters express concern that the granting of these licenses would encourage underage drinking and that two licenses already existing in the community are sufficient. The letters repeat the law enforcement concerns, as well as the erroneous assumption that the establishment was within 500 feet of a school. Only 11 of the 17 letters were from individuals with Harrah mailing addresses. Lastly, 16 of the 17 letters were in the nature

of a form. Human nature is such that an individual is much more likely to sign a protest already prepared for them, rather than to sit down and thoughtfully create one of their own.

10. The Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation also object to the granting of a liquor license. However, their objection is not based upon the Applicants themselves but to the selling of alcohol anywhere on the Yakama reservation. The undersigned certainly appreciates the Yakama Nation's concern about alcohol consumption on the Yakama reservation. Yet, the undersigned cannot agree with the Yakama Nation's characterization that Harrah would be saturated with liquor retailers should the Applicants' licenses be granted.

11. In sum, no objection whatsoever was raised in regard to the Applicants' character or ability to comply with regulations and rules of the Board in the operation of an establishment with a liquor license to sell beer and wine to go in their grocery store and a snack bar license in their restaurant. There has been no evidence presented that incidents requiring law enforcement involvement decreased when the number of liquor licenses in Harrah decreased. Additionally, there has been no evidence that the incidents of underage drinking, public intoxication, or alcoholism, decreased in the area subsequent to the change from four to two liquor licenses in Harrah. There are simply no facts upon which to base a denial of the Applicants' liquor licenses in this case.

NOW THEREFORE,

INITIAL ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That the current Application submitted by the Applicants for issuance of a grocery store license to sell beer and wine to go and a snack bar license

in order to sell bottled or canned beer for on-premises consumption under License No. 083780-4D, is ALLOWED.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this 9<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2007.

WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

By David G. Hansen  
David G. Hansen  
Administrative Law Judge

DGH: pm

Copies mailed to:

Martha Patricia F. Camacho  
Silvestre M. Flores  
La Guadalupana  
3990 Harrah Rd  
PO Box 294  
Harrah WA 98952-0294

La Guadalupana  
3990 Harrah Rd  
Harrah WA 98933

Victor H. Lara  
Attorney at Law  
411 N 2<sup>nd</sup> St  
Yakima WA 98901  
Telephone (509) 248-4282

Jennifer Elias  
Kate Reynolds  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Office of the Attorney General - GCE Div  
PO Box 40100  
Olympia WA 98504-0100  
Telephone (360) 753-2513 Ms. Elias  
Telephone (360) 664-0542 Ms. Reynolds

Nellie Chavez, Spanish Interpreter  
Centerpoint Language Serv  
6 S. 2<sup>nd</sup> St Ste 915  
Yakima WA 98901  
Telephone (509) 457-2870

Barbara Cleveland  
Office of Administrative Hearings  
PO Box 42488  
Olympia WA 98504-2488